

**MINUTES OF THE ENVIRONMENT PUBLIC HEARING FOR PROPOSED PROJECT OF GREENFIELD PROJECT COMPRISING OF ESTABLISHMENT OF DRI KILNS (SPONGE IRON-7,00,000.0 TPA), INDUCTION FURNACE WITH LRF & CCM (HOT BILLETS/MS BILLETS/MS SLAB-6,72,000.0 TPA); ROLLING MILL (TMT BARS, STRUCTURAL STEEL – ANGLES, CHANNELS, GUTTERS, COILS, FLAT BARS, STRIPS, MS PIPES, MS TUBES, GALVANIZED PIPES & ANGLES (85% HOT CHARGING WITH HIT, BILLETS AND REMAINING 15% THROUGH RHF WITH LDO/PRODUCER GAS AS FUEL-7,00,000.0 TPA) COAL GASIFIER FOR ROLLING MILL (6,300 Nm<sup>3</sup>/Hr.) FERRO ALLOYS – 3x9 MVA (FeSi – 21,000.0 TPA/FeMn – 75,600.0 TPA/SiMn – 43,200 TPA/FeCr – 45,000.0 TPA/PIG IRON – 75,600.0 TPA) WHRB BASED POWER PLANT – 60 MW, FBC BASED POWER PLANT – 20 MW, GALVANIZING PLANT (1,00,000 TPA), BRICK MANUFACTURING UNIT (70,000 BRICKS/DAY & BRIQUETTING PLANT (BRIQUETTES – 300.0 Kg/Hr.) LOCATED AT PLOT NO. B-1, MUL GROWTH CENTER, MIDC MUL, TALUKA MUL, DISTRICT CHANDRAPUR, MAHARASHTRA BY PROJECT PROPONENT BHAGYALAXMI METALS PVT. LTD., PLOT NO. B-1, MUL GROWTH CENTER, TALUKA MUL, DISTRICT CHANDRAPUR, MAHARASHTRA**

---

Date : 24-08-2023  
Time : 12:00 Noon  
Place : Project Site, Plot No.B-1, Mul Growth Center, MIDC Mul, Tal. Mul, Dist. Chandrapur

**Preamble:-**

Project Proponent M/s. Bhagyalaxmi Metals Private Limited, Plot No.B-1, Mul Growth Center, MIDC Mul, Taluka – Mul, District - Chandrapur, Maharashtra has applied to Environment, Forest & Climate Change Department (MoEF & CC) Govt. of India, (GoI), New Delhi for permission of carrying out the study in the radius of 10 k.m. of project and preparation of Environment Impact Assessment (EIA) Report for obtaining Environmental Clearance for the

Proposed Project Of Greenfield Project Comprising Of Establishment Of Dri Kilns (Sponge Iron-7,00,000.0 TPA), Induction Furnace With LRF & CCM (Hot Billets/MS Billets/MS Slab-6,72,000.0 TPA); Rolling Mill (TMT Bars, Structural Steel – Angles, Channels, Gutters, Coils, Flat Bars, Strips, MS Pipes, MS Tubes, Galvanized Pipes & Angles (85% Hot Charging With Hit, Billets And Remaining 15% Through RHF With LDO/Producer Gas as Fuel-7,00,000.0 TPA) Coal Gasifier For Rolling Mill (6,300 Nm<sup>3</sup>/Hr) Ferro Alloys – 3x9 MVA (FeSi – 21,000.0 TPA/FeMn – 75,600.0 TPA/SiMn – 43,200 TPA/FeCr – 45,000.0 TPA/Pig Iron – 7,56,000.0 TPA) WHRB Based Power Plant – 60 MW, FBC Based Power Plant – 20 MW, Galvanizing Plant (1,00,000 TPA), Brick Manufacturing Unit (70,000 Bricks/Day & Briquetting Plant (Briquettes – 300.0 Kg/Hr.) at Plot No. B-1, Mul Growth Center, MIDC Mul, Tal. Mul, Dist. Chandrapur, Maharashtra.



The Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate Change, Govt. of India, New Delhi (MOEF & CC, GOI, New Delhi) approved Terms of Reference (ToR) on 20/02/2023. As per Environmental Impact Assessment Notification, 2006, the environment study should be carried in the radius of 10 km from the project site before environmental public hearing and to include in the final environmental impact assessment report the environmental issues raised by the project affected people about the proposed project. As the proposed project falls under Category A Schedule 3 (a) & 1 (d), it is mandatory to the Project Proponent to obtain prior Environment Clearance (EC) from MoEF & CC, GoI, New Delhi.

Project Proponent M/s. Bhagyalaxmi Metals Private Limited, Plot No. B-1, Mul Growth Centre, MIDC Mul, Tal. Mul, Dist. Chandrapur, Maharashtra, has applied Maharashtra Pollution Control Board on 22/05/2023 for conducting Environment Public Hearing.

District Collector, Chandrapur, Maharashtra State, in co-ordination with MPCB Chandrapur Office, approved to hold a public hearing on 24-08-2023 at 12:00 noon Physical as well as Online Environmental Public Hearing at Project Site - Plot No.B-1, Mul Growth Centre, MIDC Mul, Taluka – Mul, District - Chandrapur, Maharashtra. The meeting number and password was made available.

As per EIA Notification, 2006, 30 days' advance public notice was published by Sub Regional Office, MPCB Chandrapur in the Local Newspaper in Daily Navarashtra, Nagpur Edition for Marathi and in National Newspaper Daily Hitavada for English on 19<sup>th</sup> July, 2023. An appeal is made to residents of the area, environmental organizations, residents to be displaced by the project or residents to be affected by the project in any other way to submit their views, comments, suggestions or objections about the proposed project in writing or by email to the local MPCB Chandrapur office till the date of public hearing.

Also copy of draft EIA report and executive summary in Marathi and English were made available at various notified offices of Government for the observation, study and comments of the local people as under:-

- (1) Ministry of Environment, Forests & Climate Change, Indira Paryavaran Bhavan, Jorbagh Road, New Delhi – 110003
- (2) Joint Director (Water Pollution Control), Maharashtra Pollution Control Board, Kalpataru Point, 2<sup>nd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, Opp. Cine Planet, Near Sion Circle, Sion (E), Mumbai-400022
- (3) Regional Office, Maharashtra Pollution Control Board, First Floor, Udyog Bhavan, Opposite Bus-Stand, Railway Station Road, Chandrapur.
- (4) Sub-Regional Office, Maharashtra Pollution Control Board, First Floor, Udyog Bhavan, Opposite Bus-Stand, Railway Station Road, Chandrapur.
- (5) Collector Office, Chandrapur.
- (6) Zilla Parishad, Chandrapur.
- (7) District Industries Center, Chandrapur.
- (8) Sub-Divisional Office, Mul, Tal. Mul, Dist. Chandrapur.
- (9) Municipal Council Mul, Tal. Mul, Dist. Chandrapur.
- (10) Tahsil Office Mul, Tal. Mul, Dist. Chandrapur.
- (11) Panchayat Samiti Office Mul, Tal. Mul, Dist. Chandrapur.



- (12) Gat-Grampanchayat Office Chikhli (Chikhli-1, Chikhli-2, Kanhalgaon Chak, Belaghat-1 & 2), Tal. Mul, Dist. Chandrapur.
- (13) Gat-Grampanchayat Office Morvahi Mal (Morvahi Mal & Morvahi Chak), Tal. Mul, Dist. Chandrapur.
- (14) Gat-Grampanchayat Office Tekadi (Tekadi & Sadagad), Tal. Mul, Dist. Chandrapur.
- (15) Gram Panchayat Office Kosambi, Tal. Mul, Dist. Chandrapur.
- (16) Gram Panchayat Office Maregaon, Tal. Mul, Dist. Chandrapur.
- (17) Gram Panchayat Office Rajgad, Tal. Mul, Dist. Chandrapur.
- (18) Gram Panchayat Office Chimdha, Tal. Mul, Dist. Chandrapur.
- (19) Gram Panchayat Office Akapur, Tal. Mul, Dist. Chandrapur.

It is also informed to the notified government departments (Sr. No. 05 to 19 as above) about giving wide publicity at their level regarding Environmental Public Hearing.

The public hearing was thus widely publicized following the due procedure as per the Notification, so that it would be convenient for the project affected or concerned persons to register their views, comments, suggestions or objections with the concerned department. As per the directives, the public consultation is arranged on the project site.

As per the EIA Notification as issued by the MoEF & CC, GoI, New Delhi dated 14<sup>th</sup> September, 2006, Member Secretary, Maharashtra Pollution Control Board has constituted Environment Public Hearing Committee vide no. E- 51/2023 under letter no. BO/JD(WPC) PH/B-230614-FTS-0119, dated 14-06-2023 as under:-

- |   |   |                 |
|---|---|-----------------|
| 1) District Magistrate-Chandrapur<br>or his representative not below<br>the rank of an Additional District<br>Magistrate                | - | <b>Chairman</b> |
| 2) Representative of Maharashtra<br>Pollution Control Board, Mumbai<br>Regional Officer – Chandrapur,<br>MPCB, Udyog Bhavan, Chandrapur | - | <b>Member</b>   |
| 3) Sub Regional Officer-Chandrapur<br>MPCB, Udyog Bhavan, Chandrapur  | - | <b>Convener</b> |

This office is in receipt 04 notices, complaints, statements, objections regarding the above project and the persons / concerned institutions have been informed to attend the public hearing and submit their views, opinion, suggestions or objections if any, complaints, statements, objections.

The attendance sheets of the participants during the public hearing as well as the Order of the Environment Public Hearing Committee constituted by the Maharashtra Pollution Control Board are attached herewith as Annexure-I & II.

*BBB*

### Minutes of the Environment Public Hearing:-

At the beginning of the meeting, Shri Umashankar Bhadule, Sub Regional Officer, Maharashtra Pollution Control Board, Chandrapur and Convener, Environment Public Hearing Committee welcomed Shri D. S. Kumbhar, Additional District Magistrate, Chandrapur and Chairman, Environment Public Hearing Committee; Shri Tanaji Yadav, Regional Officer, Maharashtra Pollution Control Board, Chandrapur and Member, Environment Public Hearing Committee, Project officials, Journalists, NGOs working in the field of environment and local people who were present in large number and informed all the procedure of the public hearing. He appealed all the participants to raise views, doubts, ideas, suggestions or objections regarding the proposed project in environmental angle only. He said that the public hearing is organized only for the local people and project affected persons, so that the environmental issues and doubts would be resolved.

Convener of the meeting further informed that this meeting is arranged to know the feelings, suggestions or objections of the local people for the proposed project in environmental angle only and this Committee has no right to sanction, reject or recommend the proposed project. The suggestions or objections received during the meeting will be noted and it will be included in the minutes of the meeting in Marathi and in English and it will be submitted alongwith Final EIA report, the written suggestions/objections with the approval of Chairman of the Environment Public Hearing Committee through MPCB Head Office to Environment, Forest & Climate Change Ministry, Govt. of India, New Delhi. An Expert Committee will take further decision accordingly.

Convener of the meeting further informed that as per the provisions of the Notification, the meeting is being photographed and video is being shot and after the presentation, the participants can raise their questions, suggestions, thoughts and objections. The Project Environmental Consultant or Project Proponent will answer the same. While asking questions, first inform your full name and residence village.

With the permission of Chairman of the Environment Public Hearing Committee, Convener asked Environment Consultant to give presentation of Environment Management Plan of the proposed project.

Project Consultant informed regarding expansion of the project and Environment Management Plan in local language Marathi. Following points were explained in the meeting:-

#### Detailed Information of the Project -

- Project Area, Latitude-Longitude, Map, Submerged Area, Beneficiary Area, Forest Area, Project; Features, Project Significance, Consequences, Land Use, Earthquake Stability;
- Project objectives, social status, employment opportunities, development of farmers due to the project;
- Project analysis, summary, findings;



- Analysis of social and economic status
- Information about air, water, land, sound, project affected areas etc.
- Impact and management of air, water, displacement, biodiversity due to planned project
- Information on environmental management after project implementation
- Information on other environmental management

After the Presentation, Convener, Environment Public Hearing Committee appealed all to raise suggestions, views, objections in respect of the proposed project in environmental angle only. While raising it, first full name and name of the residence be mentioned.

**Views, questions, suggestions/objections raised during the Environment Public Hearing and the answers/promises given by the Project Proponent:-**

**1) Shri Rajesh Bele, Founder President, Sanjiwani Paryavaran Samajik Sanstha, Chandrapur:-**

Sr. No.	Objection / Information / Question	Answers / Assurances given by Project Promoter / Environmental Consultant / Environmental Public Hearing Committee as per discussion
1)	<p>An Environmental Public Hearing is called today for the commissioning of the plant of M/s Bhagyalakshmi Metals Pvt. Ltd., MIDC Mul, District – Chandrapur. The Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) report is prepared by the Environmental Consultant of the project and it has been submitted by him here and in the notified government offices. The Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) report and submissions made accordingly are completely and utterly false. The report does not mention temperature, air pollution, water pollution here. This is a tragedy. There is no mention of the extent of air pollution in MIDC. It is legally an offense to submit an Environmental Impact Assessment report that misleads the public in this way. Before organizing this environmental public hearing, the report submitted by the Project Proponent was necessary to be studied by the Regional Officer, Maharashtra Pollution Control Board firstly by checking all the available data on the current state of pollution in the area and how much the pollution will increase due to the said project.</p>	<p>Project Proponent said that, suggestions have been noted. Similarly, it was objected that the data in the report is incorrect. While preparing the EIA report, data has been prepared according to what was observed in the survey. It is also available on the website of Central Pollution Control Board. We all know that the atmosphere changes every hour. So, the situation at the time when the survey was conducted has been presented. The information is as per directives of Central Pollution Control Board. Also this is a completely new project. This is a green field project. No environmental imbalance has been observed in the 10 k.m. periphery of the project site.</p>

*UBA*

	<p>Additional District Collector, representative of District Collector is present here. It was also expected from District Collector Office to conduct this public hearing after studying this report first. Clean environment is the right of every human being. Due to the pollution in the area, the health of the people here is in danger. Domestic animals, birds, wildlife are also affected.</p>	
<p>2)</p>	<p>Hazardous air pollution will be caused by this Bhagyalakshmi Metals. Before this, there are six Iron Plants in Chandrapur District. They cause huge hazardous pollution. Chandrapur district is already polluted and this factory will add the pollution. It is the tragedy of the people that polluting projects are still coming up in this Chandrapur district. Why do Public Representatives and Administration approve such projects in Chandrapur district? Due to this pollution, the future generations of Chandrapur will suffer a lot. This Environmental Impact Assessment Report is completely false. Hence, this environmental public hearing should be cancelled and this report should be submitted completely as per the directives again.</p>	<p>Suggestions have been noted.</p>
<p>3)</p>	<p>Waste material will be released from the project. There is no mention of how it will be disposed of. Will it be disposed of by land filling or chemically?</p>	<p>Project Proponent said that, how the solid waste and air pollution generated in the project will be mitigated. So, the said information presented on page no. 31 is given and it is being read again and shown. So, the waste heat which will be generated in the manufacturing process/while making sponge iron will be recovered and energy will be generated from it. Then the dust from the smoke will be absorbed/trapped in the bag filter and it will used for making bricks. Also the dust from CCM will be absorbed/blocked by applying a bag filter and will be used for bricks making. No polluting components will be generated from the Rolling Mill. Dust particles will be absorbed from the boilers and it will be used for making bricks. Slag which will be generated from Induction Furnace will be crushed and iron will be segregated from it</p>

*VB*

		<p>and remaining slag will be used for construction activities.</p> <p>Project Proponent said that their one such project is in Jalna district of Maharashtra, where the slag is completely used for construction. Also, many metals are removed in the bag filter. These are measures related to solid waste.</p> <p>Project officer said that participant has objected regarding charcoal. Char coal now has a lot of commercial value and is used in boilers. It generates energy. We will not throw away the charcoal.</p>
4)	<p>The law requires an answer to be given immediately after the question is asked. Therefore, a combined answer should not be given. We want an answer immediately after asking a question. This is a public hearing for the benefit of the people and to solve the questions of the public interest, answers should be given immediately after the questions. Factories make a lot of promises in public hearings. But once the public hearing is done, then the companies only look at their profit. Companies do not think about environmental protection and conservation. This project is a polluting project.</p>	<p>Public Hearing Committee said that, suggestions have been noted.</p>
5)	<p>The pollution of Chandrapur MIDC area is high when checked online. Even now, it is observed that the smoke does not come from the chimneys of the companies, it comes out from outside/other side.</p>	<p>Suggestions have been noted.</p>
6)	<p>Similarly, according to Government Rules, 80% employment in the project should be given to locals. It is not given in any project here, it is an injustice to the locals.</p>	<p>Local people will be given priority while giving job opportunities.</p>
7)	<p>Green projects should be brought in Chandrapur district. All Chandrapur residents will agree. Locals and farmers donated land for MIDC. But they have not been given jobs in various industries at MIDC area. Also the companies here are not following the guidelines for the CSR fund as per the Rules. Their's trust remains. They do this through their trusts.</p>	<p>Suggestions have been noted.</p>

*BBB*

	After doing the petty work, they show the bills and say that they have done the work	
8)	However, the villagers are requested to oppose this project. Otherwise, our next generation will not forgive us. No matter how much the company says that we will control pollution, this company is a polluter company. But first answer is how to dispose the waste material in the factory? Similarly in the project manufacturing process, pollutants like PM <sub>10</sub> ; PM <sub>2.5</sub> ; SO <sub>2</sub> ; NO <sub>x</sub> will be generated. An explanation has not been given as how these pollutants will be controlled.	Suggestion is noted. Project Proponent said that, objections have been raised regarding air pollution, for this most advanced air pollution control system will be installed while establishing the project. To avoid dust pollution due to vehicular movements in the project, water will be sprinkled from time to time and the roads will be made of cement concrete. Now suggestions have been made regarding green belt development. So, soon after the approval of the project, green belt development will be started alongwith the establishment of the project. Planting of local species will also be followed as per the directives issued by Central Pollution Control Board and Maharashtra Pollution Control Board.
9)	Hence, being a resident of Chandrapur district, I and my organization openly condemn and oppose this project.	Suggestion has been noted.
10)	Maharashtra Pollution Control Board (MPCB) is for pollution control and its office is at Chandrapur district. It has not raised any objection regarding the errors and discrepancies in the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) report submitted by the project proponent. The summer temperature is 45 <sup>o</sup> Celsius, which is deliberately under estimated in the report. The Air Quality Index here is the highest. But in the EIA report, it is shown less than the prescribed limits. So, the Environment Impact Assessment report should be cancelled. In the EIA, No wildlife is reported. So 7 km from the project upto 15 km. Leopards and Cheetahs have been found in the periphery in 2019-2021. Their habitat is found. This public hearing is on false reports should be cancelled immediately. Whatever true and existing, the Environment Impact Assessment report should be submitted accordingly. However, the project should not be built here, a strong agitation will be started with the villagers. Not a single brick of the project will be allowed to build.	Information about the 10 kilometer radius from the project has been given. It contains information about "Endangered Animals".

UBB



11)	Black smoke from the project is affecting cotton in our fields. The price of cotton is low. The said project is a polluting industry. However, the villagers are urged to strongly oppose the project. We have to bear the pollution, as told in the meeting, local people are not given jobs here. People come here from outside. The prices of cotton, soyabeans are reduced due to the company's pollution.	Suggestions have been noted.
-----	--	------------------------------

**2) Shri Mangesh Potwar, Residence – Takari village, Taluka – Mul, District - Chandrapur:-**

Sr. No.	Objection / Information / Question	Answers / Assurances given by Project Promoter / Environmental Consultant / Environmental Public Hearing Committee as per discussion
1)	I am resident of Tekari village which is just 1-1.5 km from the project. Besides this, I am President of Voice of Media, a Journalists' Organization of the Mul Taluka. Our farm is one km adjacent to this project. So I have experienced the impact of this project on agriculture. I mean, I am aware of how much agriculture is affected by this project. I came here raise my views, objections.	Suggestions have been noted.
2)	The Presentation was shown here. It was shown in such a hurry that the people present did not notice it. I don't know whether it is your fault, ours or the administration's fault. Does the audience even know why the presentation was shown here? Do the villagers know about the project report given to the Gram Panchayat? They brought here by lying. It was said that here is the company program. Collector will come. You will be served food. How many people were told that a company is coming here and that company has an environmental public hearing? As a Tekari citizen, I condemn these things.	Suggestions have been noted.
3)	First of all, it is informed that water samples were taken here. So, whether the People's Representatives, respectable citizens of the particular village were present? Did you first create awareness among the locals about the company? Also, will the company	Suggestions have been noted.

*BBB*

	give a written guarantee to the local Gram Panchayat that the small landholders here who earn their living on that farm, will not have any adverse effect on their agriculture, agricultural crops?	
4)	Further information is given that on behalf of the Company and also on behalf of the Government, a part of the income will be spent as CSR fund for the villages in the project area. So, the companies that have come here have not spent a single rupee here. If CSR funds are to be given to outsiders, why should the locals tolerate the company's pollution?	Suggestions have been noted.
5)	First, it is necessary to conduct a scientific and social survey of the affected villages. Skilled, semi-skilled and unskilled people of the affected villages should be surveyed. Later, public reading of Environmental Impact Assessment Report should be conducted in Gram Panchayat of the affected village by calling the villagers. First the views of citizens at each village level should be taken into consideration. Apart from this, we will not allow even one brick of this company to sit. If you are going to mislead the locals and put the burden of pollution on the locals, we will not tolerate it.	Suggestions have be noted.
6)	The companies here are causing adverse effects on the agriculture in the area. So, for how many companies Maharashtra Pollution Control Board have initiated action? Has there a study has been carried on the effect of this company's pollution on the children of the Zilla Parishad schools in the area? We are opposing to starting this company unless the company gives a written guarantee to the Gram Panchayat regarding the health of the people of the villages in the project area and the responsibility in case of damage to the crops.	Suggestions have been noted.  Convenor, Public Hearing Committee said that, M. P. C. Board officials visits the industries in MIDC & based on observed non-compliances suitable action is initiated against the industry.
7)	Also, the company will be allowed only if it will provide 80% employment to the skilled, semi-skilled, unskilled residents, young men and women in each category in the project area.	Project Proponent answered that in each category i.e. Skilled, Semi-Skilled and Non-skilled, people from Chandrapur District will be appointed.
8)	At this time, local people and Shri Mangesh Potwar objected that the people of the	Project Proponent assured that only skilled, semi-skilled and unskilled persons

*Uda*

	<p>project-affected villages should be given job opportunities first. The problem of pollution is 5.0 km. radius. Only the people on the periphery of 5.0 k.m. have to suffer. Children and people of this village are going to Andhra, Telangana State for employment. They should be given priority while giving the job opportunities. For this, a written guarantee should be given to all the Gram Panchayat of the affected village. Without it, this project will not be allowed.</p>	<p>from the affected villages would be given priority in the project employment. Similarly, Skilled Development Program will be undertaken for the people of the affected villages. He urged the participants to visit his project in Jalna district. Social development works in the project area should be inspected there. There, 80% of the employment in the project is provided to the people of the villages in the project area. The same policy will be implemented here as well. Similarly, health related suggestions were presented. During the Corona period, oxygen cylinders were prepared in 19 days and provided to various hospitals free of charge. That social responsibility will be observed here.</p>
9)	<p>Many public hearings have been held before this, but none of the companies have organized health camps. We are talking because we suffered and are now suffering from pollution. Maharashtra Pollution Control Board is not aware of how many local youths in the area have health problems and how much agriculture has been affected. Until the Gram Panchayats of the affected villages are given a written guarantee of providing 80% employment in each category to the people of the affected villages, the project will not be allowed to commission.</p>	<p>Project Proponent replied that the company is bound to provide 80% employment in each category to the people of the affected villages and there should be no doubt about it. Health camps will be conducted regularly.</p>

3) Shri Gaurav Shamkule, Savachata Mitra, Residence -Mul, Taluka -Mul, District -Chandrapur:-

Sr. No.	Objection / Information / Question	Answers / Assurances given by Project Promoter / Environmental Consultant / Environmental Public Hearing Committee as per discussion
1)	<p>Congratulations on starting a company here. Even here in the past, in the public hearings, everyone only makes promises. Nothing like that has happened, the locals have not got employment. The errors raised by Mangeshbhau in the meeting should be completed. We will allow the company to run only if the discrepancies are resolved.</p>	<p>Project Promoter said that in future, local youth and women in the project area will be given employment.</p>

*BBB*

<p>95% employment should be provided in the project to the locals of the project area. CSR funds should be used for local environmental protection. In MIDC, not a single local person working in various organizations has got employment. All outsiders are employed here. However, only the people of the project area should be given work in the project. The Contractor for the project works should be local. The youth there are hard working. However, employment should be given only to the locals of the project area.</p>	
--	--

4) Shri Deepak Dikshit, Prakruti Foundation, Chandrapur -

Sr. No.	Objection / Information / Question	Answers / Assurances given by Project Promoter / Environmental Consultant / Environmental Public Hearing Committee as per discussion
1)	<p>Congratulations to all who is attending this meeting. Basically, the proposal of Bhagyalakshmi Metals is an environmental proposal. The Environmental Impact Assessment Report (EIA) prepared as per the TOR approved by them is totally misleading. The information given by Project Proponent regarding the sound test in the report is incorrect. The EIA report which was made available to Gram Panchayats in the project area was done in English language. It was mandatory to make it available in the official language of the state i.e. Marathi. Only 10-15 page executive summary reports are given in Marathi. This is wrong. A similar meeting was held in Nagpur last month. The meeting was adjourned as the villagers strongly demanded that the EIA report be made available in Marathi only. Hence, if the common people want to raise the issues of employment and environment in the village, then this public hearing must be postponed first. Only then the project officer will come to your door to accept your demands. Once this public hearing is held, nothing is left in the hands of the local people.</p>	<p>Project Proponent while replying clarified that the Executive Summary Report of the project has been made available in Marathi. Considering the suggestions presented in the meeting, a Public Relation Officer will be appointed in the project to meet the demands of the locals. The problems of the locals will be solved immediately.</p>

*UBA*

2)	Similarly, it has been asserted that the waste water that will be generated from the galvanized project, it will be recycled and reused in the project itself. But it cannot be recycled. That water will be buried in the ground and crop production of 10 km of the peripheral area will reduce. Hence, the Project Management should visit the other galvanized plants to see the pollution control system installed.	The waste water generated from the galvanized project will be recycled only after first neutralizing in the project itself. Therefore, not a single drop of waste water will go out of the project.
3)	Project Proponent were required to submit a plan regarding "forest protection and conservation", which they did not attach.	Suggestions have been noted.
4)	The report mentions that "no endangered plants were detected". It is completely wrong. Endangered plants in this area are i.e. Tudari, Karamag, Hallu, Wild Padra. Wild mint etc. are They appear, but if it had been noted in the EIA report, environmental clearance would not have been obtained, so it has not been noted. However, we are totally opposing this EIA report.	Suggestions have been noted.
5)	Hence, the villagers demand that if their demands are to be met, then this meeting should be postponed. The EIA report should be made available in Marathi so that it can be understood by the villagers. After that, the public hearing should be held again and the issues raised by the locals should be considered. Then get approval.	Suggestions have been noted.

5) Shri Sandip Karamwar, Residence – Atapur, Taluka – Mul, District – Chandrapur :-

Sr. No.	Objection / Information / Question	Answers / Assurances given by Project Promoter / Environmental Consultant / Environmental Public Hearing Committee as per discussion
1)	Presentation of the project was shown. Even if it mentions the CSR fund, no information has been given about the form in which it will be given and how it will be implemented.	Suggestion was noted. The issue of CSR funding related to the company's profitability after its inception. Its expenditure is done under the guidance of Collector, Village Sarpanch, Gram Sabha.
2)	Likewise air and noise pollution. Information is given about which machinery to install and how air and noise	Suggestions have been noted. Project Proponent said that objections have been registered regarding air and noise

*VBK*

	pollution will be brought under control. But due to this project, we are suffering from air and noise pollution in five surrounding villages. Our sufferings will only increase. There is no mention of a detailed plan to control it. It is necessary to show it	pollution. The Environmental Management Plan will be implemented as shown in the presentation. Maharashtra Pollution Control Board gives further permission only after its prior confirmation/trial.
3)	For giving explanation about local employment, agriculture and animals, Gram sabha will be held in all the concerned villages to explain the company in this regard. Information about the project will be given in each Gram Sabha of the respective village. Detailed information will be asked about whether there is any effect on agriculture and animals in that village. Similarly, if the company guarantees job opportunities and if the villagers agree with their views, if there is no opposition and if their demands are guaranteed by the company, then the agreement will be given to go ahead with the project. Project Officials have considered the periphery of 08 k.m. while preparing the report. Hence, all the villages in the periphery should be provide detailed information about the project and the EMP - Environmental Management Plan. It is our demand and request that the commissioning of the said project should be started only after it.	Project Proponent said that as answered in the meeting, a Public Relations Officer will be appointed immediately to address the expectations of the locals about the project and any misunderstandings in the radius of 10 k.m. All information about the project and Environmental Management Plan (EMP) will be shared in the gram sabha of each village in the periphery. When the company administration conducted the survey, it was found that there is a great need for improvements/changes in Farm Technic Improvements. The water required for the project will be supplied by MIDC. They will bring water from far away without touching the local water sources and provide water for the project. Regarding employment, it is repeatedly assured that only the people of the project affected villages will be given 80% employment opportunities in each post.

6) Shri Akash Waghudkar, Member, Aregaon Gram Panchayat, Taluka – Mul, District – Chandrapur -

Sr. No.	Objection / Information / Question	Answers / Assurances given by Project Promoter / Environmental Consultant / Environmental Public Hearing Committee as per discussion
1)	No tax has been paid to the Gram Panchayat by the companies operating here which are within the limits of the Gram Panchayat. Gram Panchayat has corresponded in this regard. Nothing is done by the company. So now after this project is in operational, will the Gram Panchayat tax be paid? This should be answered.	Project Proponent said that he is bound to pay the taxes to the government departments and local bodies from time to time.

*UBA*

2)	<p>Now there is the issue of environment. We live in the village next to the factory. A letter has been sent to Sub Regional Office, Maharashtra Pollution Control Board, Chandrapur regarding the pollution here. No further action has been taken in this regard. We continue to suffer from noise pollution, air pollution. How much suffering should we suffer? Farmers in the area are suffering a lot due to water pollution.</p>	<p>Suggestions have been noted.</p> <p>Convenor, Public Hearing Committee said that, M. P. C. Board Officials will investigate &amp; suitable action will be initiated.</p>
----	---	---

7) **Shri Sheetalkumar Sangidwar, Mul Taluka Resident, District – Chandrapur:-**

Sr. No.	Objection / Information / Question	Answers / Assurances given by Project Promoter / Environmental Consultant / Environmental Public Hearing Committee as per discussion
1)	<p>Lack of job opportunities on one hand, increasing number of unemployed, problems of local people due to pollution on the other hand, considering all these aspects and the questions raised by honorable persons in the meeting, the summary of the questions is that the company is giving guarantee to follow the prescribed standards as laid down by Maharashtra Pollution Board. But does the government system that monitors it monitor it. The answer is No. This problem is not of the company, but of the concerned government department. If they keep constant watch, the problem will definitely be under control. Although opposing the project is a mistake, it would be better to take a proper co-ordinating role</p>	<p>Suggestions have been noted.</p>
2)	<p>We have wildlife habitat. This means that the air here is not completely polluted. Because wild animals are more aware of breathing than us. In the ten kilometer periphery from the project site, all the animals like deer, sambal, chital are found in the fields. It means wild animals roam here. That means the air is nourishing for them</p>	<p>Project Proponent answered that as per the directives issued by Central Pollution Control Board and Maharashtra Pollution Control Board, Continuous Online Monitoring System will be implemented in the project and its one connection is in the office of Central Pollution Control Board at New Delhi and in the office of Maharashtra Pollution Control Board at Mumbai. Therefore, if the prescribed limits is exceeded even a little, the concerned company and MPCB immediately receive message. So the level of pollution will</p>

*UBA*

		remain below the prescribed standards. A similar system - Continuous Online Monitoring System is also operational in Jalanya project. In this project, a whole time Continuous Online Monitoring System will be implemented and its display will be placed outside the main door of the company.
3)	As long as the problems of the locals are not fully resolved, it is the job of the Government Administration to solve them. The company can take a coordinating role here. However, I request as a citizen to take such a middle path and move forward by satisfying everyone. I am 15 km from here. Lives at a distance, so I'm not impressed. It may be objected that I am not affected, so I am speaking. Unemployment is also a problem in my village. I am also unemployed after completion of M.Phil. Unemployment is a national problem. But take the role of a proper coordinator. Until the problems of the local people are resolved or not, generate awareness among. We have expert people. Seek their co-operation. This is a modest request to the Administration. Because the focus of Administration is important while implementing anything. While starting the company, everyone makes promises, but when those promises are fulfilled, everyone goes back. Don't let that happen. It is our duty to keep an eye on it. However, attention should be paid on these points.	Regarding job opportunities, the company has clearly stated in the meeting that the students /young boys and girls in the project affected villages who have requisite educational qualification will be given priority in the job opportunity.

8) Sou. Jyotsana Babanwar, Residence – Tekari village, Taluka – Mul, Distirct – Chandrapur -

Sr. No.	Objection / Information / Question	Answers / Assurances given by Project Promoter / Environmental Consultant / Environmental Public Hearing Committee as per discussion
1)	We went for jobs in different companies. It is informed us that jobs are not suitable for you as women. However, jobs should be made available for women. I am a widow. There are jobs for women in other	Project Proponent opined that we are aware that India's progress will not happen without women empowerment. In our project in Jalna district, 10%-12% women are working along with my wife. In this project, the

*VB*



<p>industries. So here also jobs should be given for women. I am a widow. My husband died due to Corona. So how should I nurture my child? I went to a company. In fifteen days, they told us not to come. Give us work. Otherwise we will come here together by organizing the women.</p>	<p>women of the affected villages will be given employment opportunities. A Special Skill Development Program for women will be implemented by the company to acquire the skills required for a post.</p>
--	---

Here Shri. Mangesh Potewar again objected that it was demanded that all matters be placed before the Gram Sabha. The Gram Sabha will take a decision. However, the Environment Public Hearing Committee and company administration does not make any comments about it here. Majority of the people in the village do not know about this project and the meeting. Therefore, this public hearing should be cancelled. When he asked a question to the participants, some of the participants supported him.

Shri. Mangesh Potewar objected that project information is being given in technical language. First the approval of Gram Sabha should be obtained. Only after that, the commissioning of the project should be started. Many companies come here, only talk in public hearings, then don't believe anything. Later if the demands are made, then the police force be brought and the villagers are dispersed.

We all agree that the company should come. Industry must grow, but human life, animals and birds should not be harmed during the growth of industry. What is the difficulty in taking the subject in the Gram Sabha. People come here as if there is a company event, food is served.

However, the Environmental Public Hearing Committee should decide here that the matter should be taken before the Gram Sabha. Only if 70% of Gram Sabhas approve the project construction should be approved. Otherwise this project should be canceled from here.

Here Mr. Sandeep Karamwar, Residence-Atapur, Taluka-Mul, District-Chandrapur opined that the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) report presented here should be put up before the Gram Sabha. The company officers should give the date, according to which the gram sabha will be arranged. A presentation should be made there. The queries of the villagers should be answered there by the company officials.

We will have no objection for the commissioning of this project, if the Gram Sabha approves it. But we villgaers and Gram Sabha, have to be given written guarantee from the company. A written guarantee should be given regarding pollution and employment.

*VPB*

9) Sou. Seema Pankaj Wargantiwar:-

Sr. No.	Objection / Information / Question	Answers / Assurances given by Project Promoter / Environmental Consultant / Environmental Public Hearing Committee as per discussion
1)	We women came here, because we came to get something. Projects are started here, but men and women from outside and from other States have been brought to work here. They are given work. But if local women go to work, they are rejected. However, work is given to people and women from outside the village. But the women of the project affected villages here are not given work. However, the women of the project-affected villages, the educated youths of the villages should be given work. The local women here want us to work with the men.	Project Proponent assured that like the project in Jalna district, in this project too, women will be given priority in all cadres. A special Skill Development Program will be undertaken for women.

10) Shri Hivraj Hemant, Grampanchayat Member, Chimda, Taluka – Mul, District – Chandrapur:-

Sr. No.	Objection / Information / Question	Answers / Assurances given by Project Promoter / Environmental Consultant / Environmental Public Hearing Committee as per discussion
1)	Here Chimda, Tekari, Alapur, Maregaon etc. 4-5 villages were mostly agricultural activities are there. Agriculture here was rich. But now these Chimda, Tekari, Atapur, Maregaon etc. 4-5 villages are more affected. Bulls, cows and other animals are also affected here. If a white cow is left to graze, it turns black. The grass here has also turned black. Dung is also black. Children here are suffering from heart disease, skin diseases. However, I tell this company that 80% of the employment in all cadres of the project must be given to the youths and women of the affected villages. The youth here go to Telangana for jobs, but outsiders are given jobs here. The youths here have to go out and do work. The company should solve agriculture, irrigation issues. If sewage goes into the lake today, how will agriculture grow due	Project Proponent replied that once again it is said that 80% employment will be given to the youth, men and women of the affected villages in all cadres of the project. Local people will be given jobs on priority.

*UBB*

to polluted water? There is a problem of water pollution, air pollution. Farming is becoming barren. However, the locals are told that they want to raise their voice against these companies. You have to get your rights.	
---	--

Convener, Environmental Public Hearing Committee, appealed to the participants to raise any environmental suggestions, objections, if any, regarding the proposed project.

Convener, Environment Public Hearing Committee asked if anyone was present online. No one was present online.

**11) Shri Prasad Vilasrao Samarth, Corporator – Mul, District – Chandrapur -**

Sr. No.	Objection / Information / Question	Answers / Assurances given by Project Promoter / Environmental Consultant / Environmental Public Hearing Committee as per discussion
1)	Actually, I came to congratulate the project management, but my colleagues from the affected villages here informed them about the pollution problems they are facing. The villages of Maregaon, Atapur, Chimda, Chitegaon, Tekari near the project should not suffer. Support will be only if company is going to be built by taking into confidence of gram sabhas of the villages. And villages are becoming Sujlam, Supharam, people of affected villages will get 95% employment in the project. Here in the meeting the participants rightly suggested that employment is increased, progress is made. But when there is progress, when the village develops. The village should not be damaged. If the working companies do not spend CSR funds in the project area, do not organize health camps after a certain period, then the company is of no use to the area. Hence, it is necessary to provide employment to the people of the affected villages, progress of the village, organizing health camps after a certain period. Work should be done by trusting everyone.	Project Proponent said that an officer will be appointed by the project. It will go to each project affected Gram Sabha. Further action will be taken only after discussing it with everyone.

*VB*

At this time, Shri Rajesh Bele demanded that within a period of one and half months after obtaining No Objection from all Gram Sabhas of all the affected villages, again the Environment Public Hearing should be called.

Shri. Bele demanded that firstly, this Environment Public Hearing meeting should be cancelled.

Shri. Rajesh Bele appealed to the meeting attendees that once the public hearing is held, the company gets Environmental Clearance (EC). Then no matter how many complaints are made, nothing happens. Environment Public Hearing Committee prepares the minutes of the meeting and submits it to the Government of India. However, only if this public hearing is cancelled, the public hearing will have to be held again. Not otherwise. However, the present villagers should protest 100%. People have to fight for their rights. However, show your right to the Government.

At that time, Project Proponent said that as mentioned in the meeting, an Officer from the project will visit the Gram Panchayat of the affected village, the questions asked by the villagers will be answered, and employment will be guaranteed. All villages will be satisfied.

Similarly, health camps will be organized in the affected villages in the next 10-15 days only by taking the villagers into confidence. This process will be implemented time to time.

**12) Shri Gajanan Mandade, Residence – Chimda, Taluka – Mul, District – Chandrapur -**

I am an illiterate person. The road from Chimdyia to Sanal village is old. Our road is closed. We have no way to go.

**13) Shri Sandip Motghare, Taluka – Mul, District – Chandrapur -**

Sr. No.	Objection / Information / Question	Answers / Assurances given by Project Promoter / Environmental Consultant / Environmental Public Hearing Committee as per discussion
1)	There is no opposition to the company. The company should be operational. People in our village will get work. But jobs should be given only to the people of the project affected villages. A written assurance should be taken from the company.	Project Proponent replied that the problems faced by the people of the village will be resolved.
2)	Many companies started here. But Biharis are appointed. Children here are smart and hard working. The problems of the people of the affected villages here should be resolved immediately, but let the company should be started. Training should be started for the children here. According to the demand of the villagers,	Second suggestion Training Center – While setting up such a big project, the officers and employees of the project have to be trained. A Training Center has to be set up for that. It is initiated before the project starts. Project Proponent said that the experience of other companies should not

*[Handwritten signature]*

written assurance should be given regarding pollution control, employment and health. Local contractors should be employed in the construction and operation of the project. So the local contractors here will go ahead.	be applied to us. We will always support the locals.
---	--

At this time, Shri Mangesh Potwar said that there is no opposition to the company. But we have to plan solutions for the pollution we suffer from. The human derision and human values that have been done by the companies that have taken place here should not be done by this company. Unless the company is approved by the Gram Sabha, the company will not be allowed to start.

He suggested that a written assurance should be taken as demanded by the participants. He said that Company will appoint a Public Relations Officer. But first people's problems should be solved. Farmers are committing suicide. Plans should be made so that they do not do so. Set up the project, but the problem of these 4-5 affected villages should be sorted out.

Project Proponent further suggested that a study group consisting of two members of their choice from each gram panchayat should be formed to see the project in Jalna district and the social work done by the company in the villages in its vicinity. They can also study how local people were employed in that project. This can be done in the next fifteen days. We want the locals to know what we have done. We assure that your confidence about the project will definitely increase after the study group visit.

At this time, Shri. Rajesh Bele objected that the decision of the company is always taken by the owner. Officers and employees of the company cannot take. At that time, Project Proponent said that he is the owner, director of the company and my speech is being taped. You should rest assured.

Project Proponent said that the process of employing local people to meet the basic needs of the project has already started. A pavilion erected at the project site, Mike is a local. All of them are from Mul and Chandrapur.

**14) Shri Pramod Bomilwar, Village – Bedadi, Taluka – Mul, District – Chandrapur:-**

Sr. No.	Objection / Information / Question	Answers / Assurances given by Project Promoter / Environmental Consultant / Environmental Public Hearing Committee as per discussion
1)	This is a public hearing and it can be withdrawn as per the demand of the people. We should take a period of one month for that. Public Relations Officer you are going to appoint, you should send your Public Relations Officer now on 29th 30th (August). He will tell views of the	Project Proponent expressed thanks.

*UBB*

<p>company first. Locals here will tell their problems. There is no problem with taking that report and holding another public hearing. People will be very happy. Good luck with the new project.</p>	
--	--

Project Promoter said that a Director of the company was present in the meeting. Taking into account the feelings expressed by the participants in the meeting, the Director will attend every Gram Sabha to reduce the gap. That consent is now given here.

Convener, Environment Public Hearing Committee again appealed all the participants to raise any views, doubts, suggestions, and objections regarding the proposed project in environmental angle only.

Convener, Environment Public Hearing Committee again asked about any participants present Online. There was no person Online.

15) Shri Sandeep Karamwar -

Sr. No.	Objection / Information / Question	Answers / Assurances given by Project Promoter / Environmental Consultant / Environmental Public Hearing Committee as per discussion
1)	Congratulations to the owner of the company for accepting the demand of Gram Sabha.	Noted.
2)	He also approved another issue regarding giving employment contract to women. Congratulations to him for that too. We have no dispute with you. If you want to understand our problems and take further solutions, we will support them. Because of the previous experiences, there is dissatisfaction among the locals. Hence, if we are satisfied, we will definitely support you in developing the project. Good luck with the project.	<p>Project Proponent said that the questions raised by the participants in serious expression understood their feelings, that is why we have already taken some decisions regarding it, which are as follows:-</p> <p>a) Company is inviting two members from the project affected village panchayat. You don't want to spend for it. You will understand the project management mindset. You will understand how we have worked. Later, if you agree, our officer will come to the Gram Sabha. That means we are ready to give an exam before coming to the Gram Sabha;</p> <p>b) Emotions expressed by are important to us. That is what this public hearing was for. Project Proponent apologized for any offense caused during the discussion.</p>

*UBB*

**16) Shri Arvind Ramdas Karpe, Residence – Tekari, Taluka – Mul, District – Chandrapur:-**

Sr. No.	Objection / Information / Question	Answers / Assurances given by Project Promoter / Environmental Consultant / Environmental Public Hearing Committee as per discussion
1)	The employment facilities you are going to provide, it should be to the affected villages, Tekari, Maregaon, Chimda, Malkapur – the unemployed in these affected villages should be given first priority. Then the rest should be considered.	Project Proponent gave positive reply.

Convener, Environment Public Hearing Committee appealed all to raise any suggestions, objections regarding the proposed project in environmental angle only.

**17) Shri. Nitin Kumbhare, Rajoli Resident -**

I listened the problems of the villagers here for the last two hours. I am a resident of Rajoli village and came here spending the petrol of Rs.100. I am a post graduate and go to lay pipeline in Dhanori village. Because I have no employment in my village. How many trees have the environmentalists planted here? I have planted fifty trees in Navghar School with my own hands, worked in Tadoba and laid pipeline there. Care should be taken while laying the pipe line that the tree should not die.

If something is good, it should be preferred. Many people come here to provoke the youth. Here the Project Director is humbly responding to the suggestions/objections of the locals. If you get employment, some good things will happen. If development is desired, there will be some trouble. The people of the affected villages say that the people of the affected villages should be given priority in employment. that's right, totally acceptable.

But those who are well-educated like me from other villages, attention should be paid to them. Roasted chilies on the stove like us and ate tamotobar. Because there was no food at home. However, stay away from brain teasers. A good thing is happening, but it is wrong for us to oppose it.

**18) Shri Tushar Kisan Chinnure, Residence- Chimda,, Taluka – Mul, District – Chandrapur:-**

Shri. Tushar Chinnure opined that the views presented by the participants are correct. Children of our poor people need employment. There were public hearings of other companies, at that time the same demands were made by the people, who are demanding now.

At that time, Shri. Mangesh Potwar, Residence-Tekari Village, opined that we have no opposition to the company. But the villages which are affected by the

*UBB*

company's pollution, the educated unemployed people of those villages should be given 80% employment in the project.

However, do a survey of the unemployed youth in the affected village and give work to the boys and girls of the affected village according to their ability. Boys and girls of affected villages should be given priority in employment. Then decide the taluka and district. But don't take away the rights of locals by bringing people from Bihar, Madhya Pradesh.

Shri. Tushar Chinnure said that 87% of the children in five villages of Mul taluka viz Chimda, Atapur, Tekari, Maregaon, Chitegaon are well educated. However, priority should be given to the children of these five villages. It comes only that the children were informed about the job. But who will talk about educated children, women?

He further asked that if we do not get employment, who will guarantee employment?

Shri. Tushar Chinnare objected that why only two members of each Gram Panchayat? Educated persons should also be taken here.

At that time. Project Proponent replied that even though it has been suggested to him as a representative of the people, it is the village's right to send it to whom? The people of that village should decide who should come. It is not our right. We will welcome two persons from Gram Sabha.

Project Proponent further said that as per the discussion in the meeting one thing was noticed that many people of the village have completed various technical courses from ITIs with engineering degrees, diplomas and so on. They should send their applications to that address.

We intend to immediately train and employ ten of them in the project at Jalna before the project at Chandrapur starts. Then you should allow the Chandrapur project or not, because from the sentiments you have expressed, we have realized your difficulties here.

We are taking the suggestions and objections raised by those present here positively and there are no misunderstandings about anyone. However, we will build the project by adopting an all-inclusive policy. Employment is the answer to many problems in the society.

However, before forming an opinion about our project, we should be tested.

Convener, Environment Public Hearing Committee said that after receiving the application of any company, the decision is taken according to the category. After the application of the said company was received by the Sub-Regional Officer, Maharashtra Pollution Control Board, Chandrapur, the said application was submitted to the Headquarters, Mumbai. The decision is not made at the local level, but the CSC committee at the headquarters takes the decision.

*VB*



Shri. Tushar Chinnure inquired that he had made 4-5 pollution complaints, to which no reply was received.

Convener asked to give a reminder and said that further action will be initiated as per the Rules.

**Here, Project Proponent answered that -**

- a) 80% employment will be provided to the people of the affected villages;
- b) Regarding the Gram Sabha – The project management is of the opinion that first all questions should be brought before the Gram Sabha;
- c) Then two persons from the village – whichever the village decides will come and study the Jalna project. After reporting to them, the people of the company will come before the Gram Sabha. All questions will be answered;

Shri. Tushar Chinnure objected that once the process of setting up the company is complete, they will forget.

Here, Chairman, Environmental Public Hearing Committee said that the public hearing is being held for three hours from 12 noon. Everyone has been given an opportunity to register suggestions and objections. Feelings are the same for everyone. District Administration has also come to know about it. The process of environmental public hearing has also been mentioned repeatedly in the meeting. However, I repeat the process of environmental public hearing, this is not my views, but as per the Notification of the Central Government. One knows why everyone is talking with their hard emotions in the meeting.

Chairman further said that India is leading the "World Environment" in G20. Therefore, the District Administration is giving an assurance that the environment will not be neglected by the Government. Any errors, suggestions, objections regarding EIA should be submitted

Video recording of these meetings is done and minutes of the meeting will be prepared and submitted to the Ministry of Environment, Forests and Climate Change, Government of India, New Delhi after approval of the Chairman through the Headquarters, Maharashtra Pollution Control Board. The Expert Committee takes a decision after thinking about it all. All suggestions, objections will be submitted to the government with the minutes of the meeting.

There are many young people in the meeting. Their desire job opportunities in the company which is quite right. The project also generates tax revenue for the local government. It is also our duty to support the project by giving guarantee regarding environmental protection and conservation. Development will be achieved if both are combined.

However, on behalf of the Government, I assure that the project will protect and conserve the environment. Written suggestions, objections submitted by you, objections, errors will be submitted along with Final EIA will be submitted to the Government along with EIA.



Chairman directed the Project Administration to develop "Skill Development Program" to empower the uneducated, semi-educated women in the area. Skill development programs are also taken in hand in all the districts by the government. However, I suggest to develop the said project as an idealistic project with the co-operation of all.

Chairman, Environment Public Hearing Committee thanked all participants, project officers, government officials, MPCB officials and declared that the Environmental Public Hearing meeting is concluded.

Meeting ended extending thanks to the Chair.

Enclosed 04 written suggestions/objections as received.



(Umashankar Bhadule)  
Convener, Environment  
Public Hearing Committee &  
Sub Regional Officer,  
M. P. C. Board,  
Chandrapur



(Tanaji Yadav)  
Member, Environment  
Public Hearing Committee  
& Regional Officer,  
M. P. C. Board,  
Chandrapur



(D. S. Kumbhar)  
Chairman, Environment  
Public Hearing  
Committee &  
Additional District  
Magistrate, Chandrapur.