

Minutes of the public hearing on the draft Coastal Zone Management Plan of Ratnagiri district.

The Public Hearing of Coastal Zone Management Plan (CZMPs) for Ratnagiri District was held on Thursday 12th March 2020 at 11.00 am at Alfabachat Hall, Collectorate Premises, Ratnagiri.

The following committee members were present for the public hearing of CZMP:

1. Hon'ble. Mr. Laxminarayan Mishra
Collector, Ratnagiri. - Chairman
2. Mr. Ravindra Andhale
Regional Officer, M.P.C. Board, Kolhapur
(Representative of MPC Board) - Member
3. Mrs. Indira Gaikwad,
Sub Regional Officer, M.P.C. Board,
Ratnagiri. - Coordinator

The minutes of the public hearing on CZMP are as follows: -

In the beginning Mrs. Indira Gaikwad, Sub Regional Officer Ratnagiri and the convenor of the public hearing conducted the public hearing process with the permission of the Hon'ble Collector. She stated that the Union Ministry of Forests and Environment and Climate Change, New Delhi has been announced the new CRZ notification on January 18, 2019. In this connection, the National Centre for Sustainable Coastal Management has prepared the Coastal Zone Management Plan 2019 for the Ratnagiri District & published it on the website on January 22, 2020. Accordingly, the public

hearing Notice came to be published in two daily newspapers "Ratnagiri Times" and "Tarun Bharat" on 10.02.2020.

At this time Shri. Sharad Baliram Borkar, Gaon Varvade, former Zilla Parishad Sabhapati (Education) and District President of Ratnagiri District Bhandari Samaj said that Marathi is the local dialect of Ratnagiri District. However, the notification is not in local language Marathi but in Hindi and English, If the public hearing in Sindhudurg was postponed to be held in Marathi and it will be held on 27.03.2020, then he hopes to get an answer as to why the public hearing in Ratnagiri was not postponed. It is important to publish any notification in the local language so my objection should be noted. Also you have said that the draft plans will be with the panchayat, those plans have not been received by the panchayat, which we have not seen so it has not been studied, so how to tell now? Mrs. Gaikwad brought to his notice that all the maps have been posted on the website which you can see & check and your say have been recorded.

Mrs. Gaikwad said that the public hearing of Sindhudurg District CZMP has only been postponed and requested the representatives of Maharashtra Coastal Zone Management Authority and Environment Department to present the proposed draft.

Mr. Borkar said that since the information was not in Marathi at this time, it was the first point that we don't accept this public hearing. Mr. Borkar said that the draft plan has been prepared as per the notification and it should be noted that no matter how many floods and storms have hit our Konkan coast, there is no threat to our coastal area.

Representative of Hon'ble District Collector, Ratnagiri briefed about the process of the public hearing. 428 objections have been received in connection with the public hearing. After the presentation, you may raise the suggestions / objections. Your suggestions / objections will be send to

Environment Department. The Public Hearing committee is not making decisions.

Mr. Gajanan Kamlakar Patil, Panchayat Samiti Member, Gaon Malgund said, "We all want to convey to the Central Government the strong feelings of the people of Konkan about the proposed project." The second is the gazette you have published on Google given only in English and Hindi, as Marathi is local language, tell us first, why it was not done in Marathi?

Representative of Hon'ble District Collector, Ratnagiri said that since this Gazette has been published by the Central Government, it is in English and Hindi. Patil said that we don't know. Representative of Hon'ble District Collector, Ratnagiri said that, but the presentation is in Marathi, you may raise the suggestions and objections.

Dr. Vinay Natu, a former MLA, said that the public's objections and suggestions were not as well publicized as they should have been. The coast is related to the area, just like the beach, the creek also falls in the CRZ, so 8 Talukas in this District are affected. even if it was published a month ago, it has to be published again four days before the public hearing and you have to take note of what we are going to say here as well as the written letters. On this, Representative of Hon'ble District Collector, Ratnagiri said, "I have read all the 428 written objections." In general, the opinion of the citizens of every gram panchayat in every village is the same. 90% of them have suggested that the CRZ line should be changed from 200 meters to 50 meters and it is related to all those villages.

Mr. Borkar said, "My question is that the public hearing in Sindhudurg has been postponed till the notification is in Marathi. The Sindhudurg to Vasai coast is entire Marathi area. I have read but we could not understood all the words, if it was written in Marathi, we could have studied it. We cannot understood once you present in Marathi.

Attendees were asked to make the notification available in Marathi at first and then conduct the public hearing.

Representative of Hon'ble District Collector, Ratnagiri said that public hearings of other districts have been held in the state of Maharashtra and remaining i.e. In Sindhudurg District it is going to take place after the assembly is over. Henceforth Shri. Borkar said, "When I spoke to the MLAs of Sindhudurg about this, they demanded that they should get the notification in Marathi, let it reach to all the people, let the people know all the information and then you can conduct a public hearing." Hon'ble. MLA Ranesaheb has also raised this issue, we live in the Konkan coast by tradition, We are spiritual and God-worshipping people, this is the land of Lord Parshuram, so we have never had storms, bad times, we are Bhandari people have been protecting the coast since the time of Chatrapati Shivaji Maharaj, We are fishermen and hard working people. We should get the notification in Marathi, it should be studied and then conduct the public hearing.

Mr. Tushar Uttam Nagvekar, stated that the notification should be in local language, Marathi language is the dialect of the people here and it is considered as the second language in the India. What is shown in Marathi does not mean that it will be known and understood by all who are present here.

Representative of Hon'ble District Collector, Ratnagiri stated that if you do not understand any issue, then the concerned officials will tell it in Marathi to you and all doubts will be resolved in Marathi.

Mr. Tushar Uttam Nagvekar said that our perception is not so strong(talented). I say that the public hearing should be held again, the marking on the maps you have made, is not understood.

Mr. Rajesh Bendal, Chairman of Guhagar Nagar Panchayat, Guhagar said, "I don't know about today's public hearing even when I am the Chairman of Guhagar Nagar Panchayat, we got the information very late.

The information is in English. The public hearing should be postponed. We will not understand anything immediately after the presentation. The publicity was not done properly.

Hon'ble Mr. Laxminarayan Mishra, District Collector and Chairman of Public Hearing Committee said that after today's public hearing, your suggestions will be forwarded to the Environment Department and accordingly decision regarding public hearing will be taken.

After that Shri. Khade, Expert Member, Maharashtra Coastal Zone Management Authority presented information about Coastal Zone Management Plan 2019 through slide show. These include coastal area management objectives; Drawing of Coastal Regulatory Zone Notification, 2019, Tidal Lines and Ecologically Sensitive Areas; Environmentally sensitive area. CRZ- (IA) drawing; CRZ- (IB) drawing; CRZ-III, CRZ-IVA and B, Coastal Regulation Zone Classification (as per notification, 2019); Various sources of information; Districts falling under the Coastal Regulation Zone in the State of Maharashtra; Coastal Zone Management Plan Ratnagiri; 34 sheets, length of tidal line and number of municipalities, ecologically sensitive areas: numerical classification etc.

Mr. Borkar asked that if the population is small and if they are presently living there only by age-old tradition, then what about them?.

After the above presentation, suggestions / objections were received from the people who were present, which are as below:-

Q. 1. Dr. Vinay Natu, former MLA.

At the time of the survey, Guhagar was a Gram Panchayat, so it was considered as a Rural Panchayat, today it has become a Nagar Panchayat and now all the to the Municipality Laws are applicable to there and if you consider CRZ area, the rural Laws are applicable. Therefore, it can be transferred from CRZ-III to CRZ-II, because it is a Nagar Panchayat. At this

time, Dr. Natu submitted a written statement. He also requested to get the house repair permissions through the Local Authority.

Q. 2. Mr. Rajesh Bendal, Chairman of Guhagar Nagar Panchayat, Guhagar.

Mr. Rajesh Bendal emphasized upon the same issue and the demand which came to be demanded by Dr. Vinay Natu the Former MLA regarding inclusion of Guhagar Nagar Panchayat into CRZ-II and be included into map also .

Q. 3. Mr. Gajanan Kamlakar Patil

My first question regarding the information you have now submitted in which the map you have shown, whether it is prepared according to the survey of 2011 or of 2019?

Answer:

The maps were prepared in accordance with the notification of 2011, which there include CRZ-I, CRZ-II,III and IV area as sanctioned. Now a new notification is published in 2019 and according to this the area of CR Z-III and the area of the creek has been changed from 100 meters to 50 meters. The said change and the change in no-development zone is reduced from 200 meters to 50 meters. Accordingly there is modification into the map such as CRZ-II A & B.

Q. 4. Mr. Gajanan Kamlakar Patil

The Chennai-based organization has been given the said task. They have not yet submitted a new map as per the survey conducted in 2019, so it does not make any sense out of it. In Konkan, especially in Ratnagiri, we want to increase tourism. The government is trying its best, but it has not revealed what exactly it has banned in the no development zone. He says that in some places the Government has given concessions for fishermen, he says that it should be brought up to 50 meters, but look at the map, even today, in

the map it is mentioned 200 meters as though Government says it would be 50 mtrs, but the government has mentioned / included the survey numbers which are coming within 200 meters. When we approach to get the permissions, you will see the concerned survey number in the map and refuse the permission, which prepared according to the survey of 2011, which is completely wrong. According to the survey of 2011, they have made a plan, taking into account the population of 2011. It should have happened according to the current population but it did not happen. There is a village called Kharviwada in Varavade, It is of entire fishing community, In fact this village belongs to the Kharvi community & has a very large population. If you look at this map, the whole village is of 235 acres of land and the said map is encircled with red stripes and survey numbers on all four sides. What can these people do? All the area of 235 Acres of land excluding 27 Acres of land have been included in to the no development zone. 'My point is that if we increase tourism, if we do not develop the sector, then there will be no industries that depend on tourism. If you would have provided us with information in our dialect (Marathi), we could have studied it better and spoken on it, but it has not been happened. Exactly what is prohibited in the said area by saying that no development should take place there. There will be no development in Varavade. Therefore, we humbly request you to convey our feed back & the feelings to the Central Government and exclude us from 'no development zone' completely.

Q. 5. Mr. Deepak Vichare, Dapoli, Dist. Ratnagiri.

Adding to the above question, It was asked that whatever the aerial distance has been taken into account that has not considered the elevation aspect for Ratnagiri district? Due to this, the high tide lines which been have demarcated they have gone one and half to two kilometers away at some places. This elevation aspect is very important for Ratnagiri district, so you

will face difficulties for development and, as mentioned now it is necessary to explain that the work outside 200 meters is allowed. It need to be explained to us that whether we will have to get permission from Delhi or the District Collector would be the Competent Authority? And if the Collector has the Authority about it, then what is permissible for us? If the whole of Konkan region is considered regarding the condition of population then the population of any village is not more than 2161 (except for the fishing village of any Gram Panchayat) and if this is the criteria then population less than 2161 will be a major obstacle for the development of Konkan. Where MTDC has sanctioned "C" class villages as tourism villages as notified villages by the government and even though these villages have a small population, I request to relax such villages up to 50 meters considering the floating population.

Answer:

Hon'ble collector said to submit the say in writing in this respect.

Q.6. Adv. Tushar Narvekar.

Considering the geographical situation of Ratnagiri, every village has no required population by law. You can't fix that number right now. The industries of low population people are related to this. If it is converted into the law tomorrow & if it is decided as "no development zone", then in the coming days people will not get any permission from the government because you will look into the map and refuse permission. If the District Collector has powers, he should state in what form they will be? During the presentation, it was stated that these maps are based on survey of year 2011. It is a joke that the 'low tide' in this map is shown inside the high tide in the map of village Juve & Ambeshet. If it happens in future, the District Collector will be held responsible. Therefore, I would like to say that a letter of objection be forwarded to the GOA, through the Hon'ble Collector.

Answer -

Hon'ble collector replied that the changes would be carried out in this regard by the Environment Department.

Q.7. Mr. Deepak Vichare,

C-class tourism notified villages approved by the M.T.D.C are concerned and as per changing population if you consider the people living there, you will find CRZ-II in it. if you set the criteria as Landward and Seaward, then you will get the notified road i.e. MDR. If people get permission on the backward side of the MDR, we can increase tourism a lot & the decision in this regard will be taken by Hon'ble Collector. Take up this issue to the government strongly. Also the existing villages wherein the citizens have been living there for generations will have to build houses for natural growth but N.A. Orders are not issued as they falls in CRZ. If you don't get the N.A. order, then you don't get a loan from the bank. You have to come up with a concrete solution on this problem. Rural farmers are not allowed to build houses there.

Q. 8. Mr. Rupendra Shivalkar, President Bhandari Samaj Ratnagiri.

I have submitted a statement. According to the 2011 census, 3A & 3B are classified which are based on the population criteria . If a village is spread over 3 to 4 km, and one kilometer of it has a population of more than 2161, and the next kilometre where there population is less.

Then what would be the criteria in such cases? Another thing to keep in mind is the fact that, when there occurred " tsunami hit", the sea water level rise in Ratnagiri District did not cause any damage. The third thing is that there is a village called Kalbadevi in Ratnagiri, with the sea on the back side and the creek on the front side. So, the question is what should people do?.

Q. 9. Mr. Sharad Baliram Borkar.

I am a resident of village Varavade, whether the coastal population of this village is actually measured, Varavade has a fishing center, a temple, a creametory, a jetty and the Maritime Board's 1914 Tiwari port, how did you show it as a "no development zone" while drawing a map?

Answer:

Hon'ble Collector replied that, as per your suggestions, we will do the analysis, today's 'Public Hearing' has been organized to communicate and discuss with all people and will provide the information in Marathi to you.

Q. 10. Dr. Vinay Natu:

Nearly half of the land owned by the landowner is in the 0 to 500 meters area goes into the "non-development zone", nothing can be done from 0 to 200 meters, only the municipal area has a large population, Ratnagiri and Guhagar are the two municipalities as well as at Chiplun Bay. We demand that this area be included in CRZ-II instead of CRZ-III so that half of the issues that we are raising will be resolved. So we can qualify for industry, business etc. We demand that the District administration should issue the repair permits, provide us the industries.

On this point, Representative of Hon'ble District Collector, Ratnagiri replied that, the rest of the old municipalities are included in CRZ-II.

Q. 11. Mr. Amit Kamat, Proprietor Kamat & Associates: -

My suggestion is that, in the new notification, there is a provision that a tourist plan for coastal area must be prepared. Tourism spot should be super imposed on CRZ map for temporary facilities to increase the tourism.

Shri. Vichare said that while preparation of the DP of the municipality & if the issue of 50 meters is highlighted in it, this issue will be resolved immediately.

Q. 12. Chairman, Guhagar, Nagar Panchayat.

CRZ regulations do not interfere with the development of Crematories.

Q. 13. Mr. Alji, Sarpanch, Village Kurdhunda.

Our area falls in CRZ and Highway. The strip from Nawadi to Talekante and wherein the population of any village will not be 2161. We cannot do any construction within 75 meters from the highway, we do not get any permission within 200 meters from High Tide, the rest area is hilly area. So we can't get N.A. Permission. In this strip, We Can't do any activity like Industry. So we want relaxation in it.

Q. 14. Adv. Tushar Narvekar.

A company has purchased land at village Varavade Kharviwada. It is 65 meters above the High Tide level, and only 27 acres out of a total of 235 acres of land is available for useful at One side, the government says tourism should be increased, and on the other hand, 'no development zone' has been created. The common man cannot approach to the central government for permission.

Q. 15. Mr. Sharad Baliram Borkar, village Varvade.

On March 3, 2020, In the Vidhan Sabha, Hon'ble MLA Nilesh Rane has suggested that, instead of keeping Konkan Coastal Zone with MTDC, there should be created a new administrative system and a resolution was also passed to that effect. Also, how does the Karhateshwar temple of Jaigad, which is in the sea, how can it be included in the plan? He also said that, there are many such examples. Hon'ble Bhaskarrao Jadhav has raised the issues.

Q. 16. Mr. Shantaram Laxman alias Appa Vandarkar.

The three villages such as Bhatimirya, Jakimirya and Sadamirya are at a distance of 3 to 4 km from here. In front of these villages there is the creek

and behind them there is the sea. The distance between the creek & the sea is not even 200 meters. In some places the distance between sea and creek is 50 meters. And the situation is extremely dangerous if viewed from the White Sea. Department has studied in 2011 and then the project in Ratnagiri, Mirkarwada phase no. 2 was carried out. In 2016-17, the Asian Bank paid Rs 16 crore to fill a 70 tonne bags of sand, It has a life span of 40 years, but it has been seen floating on the low tide in just four months period. If you want to build a house there, then residents of mirya will not get permission anywhere hence fourth at village Mirya. It has a population of 750 to 800 people. The government has granted technical sanction for project of Bandhara but CRZ criteria becomes an obstacle. Today I will give you two examples of Mirya and Jindal. If you look at Jindal today, where there was a fishing ground, Jindal created the land by adding thousands of acres in the sea, there is no problem, how do they get permission? The port Mirya is built for the protection of the people. In January 2019, two to three thousand of us staged a protest, but the cases were filed against us only. They have not been withdrawn yet. People on this coast are asking where to go and whom to ask the solution? None of the Representatives of people support us in this issue. It was noticed that the Guardian Minister's car was blocked by people that time the cognizance was taken. CRZ is seating scruff of our neck. You should study the fact that there is sea water in our yard today. The people from Chennai wasted Rs 16 crore in sea water. We all opposed to them. We are told that this is a scheme of the Asian Development Bank, do not oppose it. The proposal of the Bandhara is pending in the Ministry. Ratnagiri engineers does not pay attention, they do the wrong things.

Q. 17. Mr. Rajiv Keer

I have given you a representation for and on behalf of Mandvi Premises Tourism Organization. The entire Ratnagiri district is situated on the sea shore. Once upon a time, there was a golden smoke rising from the shore. The Murlidhar temple, near the Congress Bhuvan building in the city

of Ratnagiri, there was a port and trade was going on. This is the bad situation today because of the stoppage of the trade. At present there are standing houses & many hurricanes of mud, also made up of grass since 1905, they have survived, even after tsunamis Storms etc. Nature has not done anything wrong with the people of this coast. The worst things that are created by the government. The water level has been increased due to, the wrong systems. Mirkarwada Phase 2 work is done by wrong methodology and that is why the sea is swallowing up the village Mirya, which is due to government. At Kalbadevi village the sea on one side and the creek is on the other side and the distance between them is hundred (100 mtr) to two hundred meters (200 mtr). If CRZ is implemented then where do the people can live? The law has been amended 25 times so far. The poor should not be demolished, if they want to build a new house, they should not be ask to seek permission.

Q. 18. Mr. Sachin Todankar, Karde, Dapoli Sarpanch, Nagregaon.

Our objection is that the CRZ-IIIA, which has a criteria of population of 2161, should be relaxed for the villages which are class 'C' tourism villages.

Q. 19. Raised the question without mentioning the name.

There is intrusion of creek water in our village, we have a creek on one side of the village and a mountain on the other side. Not even 500 meters wide, the high tide line is 30 km upto Rajapur, whether it is going is to be considered.

So Hon'ble. collector told the decision would be taken after verification of the map.

Q. 20. Mr. Santosh Borkar, Village Varvade Bhandarwada.

Due to 'no development Zone' there is big shock to the local youth. Unemployed youth are struggling to do some business in terms of tourism, but there is sea at the back of Varavade-Bhandarwada, the creek on both

sides, and the Kandalvan belt has been declared at ahead of it so you should think about problem that the youth are not able to do business.

Q. 21. Mr. Shivalkar, Bhatimirya.

CRZ was first introduced in 1990 and surveyed in 2011. But in CRZ has been violated by the government itself. Because the court building is in CRZ. You agree it, but the poor people should not build huts so study the fact & do the justice to the poor. I have the documents and I will prove that the building of court is unauthorised.

Q. 22. Mrs. Sana Nazari, Sarpanch, Jaigad.

We have not received any letter from the government regarding the decision that has been taken regarding CRZ, and We have filed complaint in this regard to you. Today there are two or three companies working in the sea and they have constructed the building.

I have given you a letter 15 to 20 days ago, where to do Ganapati immersion? Our house is flooded with water. We have given a written representation. Companies work wherever they want, where we should go?

Q. 23. Question raised without mentioning own name.

There are two main businesses in Ratnagiri namely fishing and mango. As per Town Planning Scheme, on 12.12.1974 and 27.04.2000 Fish Notified Industrial Zone has been set up at Pethkilla and that zone falls in CRZ. It is Prohibited Zone like CRZ. New people have purchased the lands in that area. Then will be CRZ 2018 Notification apply to them?

Q. 24. Mr. Deepak Vichare, Dapoli, Dist. Ratnagiri.

I want to talk about Magrove Development in the Periphery. Herein the people are doing traditional farming and day by day. Besides there is encroachment of mangroves along the creek and the sea & which is not recorded anywhere. It is considered as 'eco-sensitive zone'. Because of this,

it has become difficult to do farming in the periphery. Therefore, there should be demarcation of periphery and mangroove in the notification.

Q. 25. Devanand Dhulubulu, Ambolgad, Rajapur,

The natural condition of Ambolgad village is that the western part has gone into the sea and a complete horizontal hill has been formed, there are villages and beaches behind it so the waves of the sea hit the mountains and rocks and they do not come back so the whole beach behind it is safe. As such, the said beach falls into CRZ-III B. The village of Ambolgad is in Class C tourist area. There is a monastery of Gagangiri Maharaj. The population of said village was not less/small but people have migrated to Mumbai for business, so the population has become less. If the business is started here then the business of local people will come back to Ambolgad. All I can say is that, it is a safe beach for the tourism sector. You have shown that the beach is not safe. Government should reduce the condition from 200 meters, to 10 meters. (As far as Ambolgad is concerned) This will be noticed when you look at the map. Secondly, the danger line to the north of the village is misrepresented. Where the location is shown in percentages is Where the shown in the map. Where shows turtle nesting? there is a place of coral in the sea.

Q. 26. Mr. Mangesh Chavan, Juve Ratnagiri

I am a resident of Juve Island in Bhatye creek/Bay. I have submitted my representation. The sheet in which CRZ of Bhatye Creek is proposed shows that there is no human habitation on the sand, But there should be marking of human habitation on the island because there is human habitat. The part of the Juve in the CRZ should be marked. Section 10.2 of the CRZ notification has a CRZ marking of 20 meters from the high tide for the back water. The administration needs to take note that this is traditionally an island. The high tide line on all four sides of Juve Island requires correction. In that correction, CRZ according to the notification, no development zone

of 50 meter belt should be shown at 20 meters. Juve Island should be marked on the sand instead of top of the hill area (table top). Which is biologically active wherein Kandallan grows naturally, Many kinds of traditional fish, crabs live there, thousands of fishermen livelihood is dependent on these sea foods. There is also a Juve Island in Bhatye Bay and a Juve Island in Jaitapur, which should requires marking of 20 mtr.

There is no much of forest area left in Bhatye Bay area. There is mango (Hapus) farming & bird Nesting area. It should be noted that Bhatye Bay has the largest mangroove. Some omissions and mistakes have been made. The map that is attached on the last page is referred back by Advocate Tushar Narvekar before sometime of this session. The 600 meter embankment of village Karla and Juve, which was built in 1970, is shown as a high tide line, it should be corrected/rectified. The embankment on the road leading to Sadya is shown as a high tide line, it should be corrected/rectified. Similarly the embankment leading to Ambeshet is shown as a high tide line. It should be corrected, its gates are not even mentioned which need to be mentioned. I have also marked the connection of the village of Juve to the Eastern side of us in the form of two Bandhara which are in a condition of decay. We noticed that in many places the low tide line is shown inside the high tide line, it requires correction. Mud Flats in Karla and Juve should be shown in IA. In the specification of CRZ and its further development from 1991 to 2019, no Gram Panchayat or Gramsevak or Talathi can explain surely which part of this CRZ falls in. Therefore, there is a need for making awareness about, CRZ for Talathi, Gramsevak etc. by arranging awareness camps. Also Sustainable and Ecologically Safe Tourism is mentioned in the notification. Sustainable tourism needs to be planned for the proposed activity in the villages where the Forest Department has set up co-operative societies for mangroove. The most important thing is 'Appropriate Consultation' will take place when it happens in the local language only. The Hon'ble Collector should pay attention to our problems. CRZ covers the

entire district but only four Sarpanches, one Member of the Panchayat and one Former MLA are present for this Public Hearing. All these will be agreed upon through consultation and final suggestions can be made and then CRZ will be final. It will be people oriented.

Q. 27. Pradip Salvi, former Sarpanch, Jaigad.

‘Jaigad Fort’ is not shown in the map, it is not shown though there are majority of fishermen. Let us give permissions for constructions & FSI should be increased.

Thereafter, Hon’ble Collector offered/gave vote of thanks to all the participants and declared that the ‘Public Hearing’ is over.

(Laxminarayan Mishra)
Chairman and
The District Magistrate, Ratnagiri

Copy: -..... For information to all concerned.

(Indira T. Gaikwad)
Coordinator and
Sub Regional Officer,
MPCB, Ratnagiri