MINUTES OF THE PUBLIC HEARING FOR PROPOSED PROJECT OF COAL WASHERY OF PRODUCTION CAPACITY 1.5 MTPA IN LAND AREA OF 7.5 HA. AT S. NO. 50, VILLAGE TADALI, TAL. DIST. CHANDRAPUR IN RESPECT OF PROJECT PROPONENT M/S. VIMLA INFRASTRUCTURES (INDIA) PVT. LTD., OFFICE:- F-4, 1ST FLOOR, SHRADHA HOUSE, KINGS WAY, NEAR SBI HEADQUARTER, NAGPUR.

Date : 15/02/2020 Time : 11:00 NOON

Venue: PROJECT SITE, S. NO. 50, VILLAGE TADALI, TAL.

DIST. CHANDRAPUR.

#### Preamble:-

M/s. Vimla Infrastructures (India) Pvt. Ltd., Office:- F-4, 1st Floor, Shradha House, Kings Way, Near SBI Headquarter, Nagpur has applied for Environmental Clearance to the Ministry of Environment Department (MOEFCC), Govt. of Maharashtra, Mumbai for proposed project of Coal Washery of Production Capacity 1.5 MTPA In Land Area Of 7.5 Ha. At S. No. 50, Village Tadali, Tal. Dist. Chandrapur. The matter regarding same was discussed in State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC-1) in the SEAC Meeting dtd. 28/04/2019.The Terms of Reference (TOR) for the said project has been approved in the meeting dated 28/04/2019. It has been mentioned in the said TOR that in public hearing the issues raised and commitments made by the project proponent on the same shall be reported separately.

Accordingly, project proponent had submitted an application to Maharashtra Pollution Control Board (MPCB) for conducting Public Hearing as per the provisions of EIA Notification -2006 and TOR issued by Govt. of India. In this connection, MPC Board decided to hold public hearing in respect of the said project on 09/01/2020 in consultation with District Collector, Chandrapur. However, due to some untoward reason, this scheduled hearing has been postponed & informed to the concern by publishing a public notice on 15/02/2020 in leading newspapers. In this connection, MPC Board decided to hold public hearing in respect of the said project on 14/01/2020 in consultation with District Collector, Chandrapur. Maharashtra Pollution Control Board has published public notices in Marathi newspaper namely "Lokmat" and English newspaper "The Hitvada" on dated 14/01/2020. The appeal was made to the concerned to submit objections, suggestions, complaints, comments, if any, in respect of the said project to concerned regulatory authorities.

Copy of draft E.I.A. report along with executive summary in respect of the said project were made available in English/ Marathi in the following offices to apprise the public.

- Environment Department, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor, New Administrative Building, Govt. of Maharashtra, Mantralaya, Mumbai – 400032
- (2) Joint Director (Water Pollution Control), Maharashtra Pollution Control Board, Kalpataru Point, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, Opp. Cine Planet, Near Sion Circle, Sion (E), Mumbai-400022
- (3) Regional Office, Maharashtra Pollution Control Board, First Floor, Udyog Bhavan, Opposite Bus-Stand, Railway Station Road, Chandrapur
- (4) Sub-Regional Office, Maharashtra Pollution Control Board, First Floor, Udyog Bhavan, Opposite Bus-Stand, Railway Station Road, Chandrapur
- (5) Collector Office, Chandrapur
- (6) Zilha Parishad, Chandrapur.
- (7) District Industries Center, Chandrapur
- (8) Sub-Divisional Office Chandrapur, Tal. Dist. Chandrapur.
- (9) Tahsil Office Chandrapur, Tal. Dist. Chandrapur.
- (10) Panchayat Samiti Office, Chandrapur, Tal. Dist. Chandrapur.
- (11) Gat Gram- Panchayat Office Wandhari, Tal. Dist. Chandrapur.
- (12) Gat Gram- Panchayat Office Chinchala, Tal. Dist. Chandrapur
- (13) Gram-Panchayat Office Sakharwahi Tal. Dist. Chandrapur.
- (14) Gram-Panchayat Office Yerur, Tal. Dist. Chandrapur
- (15) Gram-Panchayat Office Tadali, Tal. Dist. Chandrapur
- (16) Gram-Panchayat Office Sonegaon, Tal. Dist. Chandrapur
- (17) Gram-Panchayat Office Mursa, Tal. Dist. Chandrapur.
- (18) Gram-Panchayat Office Nagada, Tal. Dist. Chandrapur.

The above authorities at Sr. No. 5 to 18 above, were also requested to arrange vide publicity within their respective jurisdiction requesting the affected persons to send their comments to the concerned regulatory authorities.

The venue for the said public hearing was decided at Project Site, S. No. 50, Village Tadali, Tal. Dist. Chandrapur. The said venue was finalized considering easy accessibility to local people on the site of proposed project.

A Public Hearing Panel comprising of the following members was constituted by Maharashtra Pollution Control Board on 14/08/2019 in accordance with the EIA Notification dated 14<sup>th</sup> September, 2006 and as amended thereto issued by Ministry of Environment, Forest, Climate Change, Govt. of India, New Delhi:-

 Shri. Ghanshyam Bhugaonkar, Additional District Magistrate, Chandrapur

Chairman

 Shri Madhukar Lad Regional Officer, MPCB, Chandrapur

Member

 Shri Ajit Patil, Sub-Regional Officer, MPCB, Chandrapur

Convener

The written submissions were received and attendance sheet of Public Hearing Panel as well as the concerns participated for the said public hearing is attached as Annexure-"II" and "III" respectively.

#### **MINUTES OF PUBLIC HEARING:**

At the outset, on behalf of committee of the public hearing panel, Shri. Ajit Patil, Sub-Regional Officer Chandrapur, MPCB welcomed all those present and commenced the public hearing. He apprised the people with the introductory information about the purpose of the public hearing and appealed them to come forward with suggestions, complaints, objections & comments, if any, about the environmental aspects of the proposed project. He informed the people that, due to some untoward reason, Mr. Ghanshyam Bhugaonkar, Additional District Magistrate, Chandrapur could not remain present for the said Public Hearing. Then Committee Convenor & Member contacted to District Collector Office, Chandrapur, regarding who will be chairperson for today's Public Hearing. Then it was informed by Collector Office, Chandrapur that Mr. T. W. Wadhai, Nayab Tahsildar, Election Department, Collector Office Chandrapur will be coming to attend the said Public Hearing. Thereafter, Public Hearing Convenor told the public present that, as per EIA Notification of Govt. of India dtd. 14/09/2006 & 01/012/2009, officer below the rank of Additional District Magistrate (ADM) shall not be a chairperson for Public Hearing. Then Shri. Ajit Patil, Public Hearing Convenor requested to the committee member & Nayab Tahsildar Saheb that can we start the Public Hearing or not? Then Public Hearing Panel informed in front of all the public that we may start the Public Hearing. Then, Public Hearing Convenor, thereafter requested Hon'ble Chairman to commence the hearing procedure.

Hon'ble Chairman briefed people about the concept of proposed project of Coal Washery of Production Capacity 1.5 MTPA in Land Area of 7.5 Ha. At S. No. 50, Village Tadali, Tal. Dist. Chandrapur and about the objective of Public Hearing. He made an appeal to participants & all to attend the public hearing peacefully and place their views regarding Environmental aspects. He stated that videography of the said public hearing is being carried out by MPCB as per the procedure laid down in EIA Notification 2006. Chairman further stated that the presentation about the proposed projects will be given

by the project proponent and then the questions/ objections shall be raised by participants. He made an appeal to participants to come forward with their name & address before raising any query/objections so that the name of the participant along with views will be included in the proceedings of meeting. Then Chairman requested project proponent to give the presentation of the projects along with its salient features.

After that Environment Consultant on behalf of Project Proponent made a Power Point Presentation on the Environment aspects of the projects including salient features of EIA Report in Marathi as well as in English language. The project proponent elaborated the details of proposed project on following grounds;

- Project Description.
- Base Line Data with respect to Air, Water, Land, Noise, Ecology, Settlements, etc.
- Impact likely to occur due to the project on Air, Water, Noise, Hydrology, Settlements and Bio-diversity and forest.
- Mitigation measures and Environment management plan for the project during construction phase as well as operation.
- Other details as outlined in the EIA Report.

Thereafter, convener of Public Hearing announced that the forum is open for question answer. The summary of issues raised and reply submitted by project proponent is as below.

FOLLOWING HAVE PARTICIPATED DURING THE DISCUSSIONS, QUESTIONS AND COMMENTS / MADE BY PROJECT PROPONENT/PROJECT CONSULTANT/PUBLIC HEARING COMMITTEE

#### 1) Shri Bharat Gupta, Tal. Dist. Chandrapur

| Sr. | Objection/Suggestion/ | Comments / made by Project   |
|-----|-----------------------|--|
| No. | Question              | Proponent/ MPCB  |
| 1   |                       | Project Proponent informed that, if<br>there is support to this project then<br>local people will get employment &<br>development will take place. |

## 2) Shri Naagdev Dahule, Sakharwahi, Tal & Dist Chandrapur

(PSS) 1950 (1950 ) 14.

| Sr.<br>No. | Objection/Suggestion/<br>Question   | Comments / made by Project Proponent/ MPCB                                |
|------------|---|---|
| 1          | I support this project. We recommend for commissioning of the project. Employment will be generated due to this project. We hope that due to commissioning of the project more employment opportunities will be generated which will be helpful for local people. | Project Proponent informed that, if there is support to this project then |

## 3) Sau. Pratibha Thakur, Tal. Dist. Chandrapur

| Sr. | Objection/Suggestion/          | Comments / made by Project  |
|-----|--------------------------------|---|
| No. | Question                       | Proponent/ MPCB   |
| 1   | of the project. I give my best | Project Proponent informed that, if there is support to this project then local people will get employment & development will take place. |

## 4) Shri Ibadul Siddhiqui, Social worker, Ghuggus, Tal Dist Chandrapur

| Sr. | Objection/Suggestion/   | Comments / made by   |
|-----|---|--|
| No. | Question  | Project Proponent/ MPCB  |
|     | I oppose the project. I am a resident of Ghuggus, Work of pollution control not done properly by Maharashtra Pollution Control Board in Ghuggus area. I am opposing the project in public interest. I am not opposing the project for my personal benefits. National survey reports state that, pollution levels have increase in Chandrapur Area. How the Project Proponent will dispose off the generated industrial/domestic effluent? Will it be discharged into Local Nalla? It is stated that, coal | Project Proponent informed that:  Measures will be taken for environment protection. In washing section segregated Coal will be processed to improve its quality. Domestic |

atmosphere thereby causing pollution & damages to nearby crops. Suicide cases will increase due to air pollution of this project. Air pollution will increase in this area while coal transportation & it will affect the health of local people. Project Proponent stated in the presentation that, employment will be given to 45 persons only. This will not solve the problem of unemployment in the area & will not lead to development of this area. As Chandrapur is critically polluted area, commissioning of the project will increase the pollution levels, therefore, I, as social worker oppose the proposed Coal Washery Project.

cleaning of toilets.100% effluent generated will be treated and will be reused. Proposed Air Pollution Control Measures - To use railway siding to maximum extent as compared truck transport, carrying trucks will be covered by tarpaulin. Internal roads will be constructed. Continuous water sprinkling will be done during loading & unloading of railway wagons. adequate water sprinkling will be done at all disposal centres, bag filters will be installed at coal crusher. Necessary measure will be adopted to prevent noise pollution.

# 5) Shri Manoj Amte, Sarpanch Grampanchayat Yerur, Tal. Dist. Chandrapur.

| Sr. | Objection/Suggestion/                   | Comments / made by             |
|-----|---|--------------------------------|
| No. | Question                                | Project Proponent/ MPCB        |
| 1   | I oppose this project. The railway      | Project Proponent informed     |
|     | siding of Vimla Infrastructure has      | that, As per the notification  |
|     | commissioned since last 10 years, but   | dtd. 01/05/2018, CER will be   |
|     | it is causing harm to environment.      | implemented. Budgetary         |
|     | How much CSR funds has M/s. Vimla       | provision of 2% of the total   |
|     | Infrastructures has spent since past 10 | project cost i.e. Rs. 45.2     |
|     | years for Yerur village. M/s. Vimla     | Lakhs have been made under     |
|     | Infrastructures has not spent anything  | Corporate Environment          |
|     | from CSR funds. Mr. Manoj Amte          | Responsibility. It is proposed |
|     | stated that, earlier, during Public     | to develop selected villages   |
|     | Hearing of M/s. Grace Industries,       | in area.CER will be based on   |
|     | same unsatisfied presentation was       | valuation of necessity         |
|     | given which mislead the local people    | observed during survey. After  |
|     | & also not provided adequate air        | deciding chronology of         |

pollution control systems which resulted in increase in air pollution levels. Crops have been damaged. Survey shall be carried out through officials of Agriculture department.

events the CER implementation will be started in coordination with district authorities. Job opportunities will increase due to this project. Todays public is of M/S Vimla Infrastructure ltd and not of M/S Grace industries ltd. MPCB will inspect the problem of pollution caused by M/S Grace industries Ltd., and nearby industries. Report will be called from Agricultural department regarding agricultural damages. Under chairmanship of officer of revenue department committee will be formed and after that decision will be made regarding agricultural damages.

## 6) Shri Jadish Shamrao Jogi, Yerur, Tal & Dist. Chandrapur

| Sr. | Objection/Suggestion/  | Comments / made by  |
|-----|--|---|
| No. | Question   | Project Proponent/ MPCB   |
| 1   | I oppose the proposed project. Govt. has not taken remedial measures for environment resulting increase in pollution, damage of crops, etc. You can verify regarding damages of crops from Agriculture Department. In my agricultural land, black dust found accumulated on white cotton crops due to which, quality of cotton has deteriorated. Presently, 13 Quintals of | Project Proponent informed that: Measures will be taken for environment protection. 100% effluent generated will be treated and will be reused. Adequate measures will be adopted for prevention of air pollution. MPCB will inspect pollution. |

cotton was returned from nearby or ginning mills due to black dust Maximum from Selling Price of my blackish cotton gets Rs. 2000/- rather to get Rs. 5500/- per Quintals.

other industries of Tadali MIDC. Report will be called from Agricultural department regarding agricultural damages. Under chairmanship of officer of revenue department a committee will be formed and after that decision will be made regarding agricultural damages.

#### 7) Shri Rajeshwar Vaidya, Yerur, Tal & Dist. Chandrapur

| Sr. | Objection/Suggestion/  | Comments / made by      |
|-----|--|-------------------------|
| No. | Question   | Project Proponent/ MPCB |
| 1   | I opposed the proposed project. At present pollution is increasing day by day. |                         |

# 8) Shri Suraj Gawande, Bhadrawati, Tal. Bhadrawati, Dist. Chandrapur.

| Sr.<br>No. | Objection/Suggestion/<br>Question  | Comments / made by<br>Project Proponent/<br>MPCB   |  |  |
|------------|--|--|--|--|
| 1          | I support the proposed project. If the project will be established, I welcome it. The objections raised by Mr. Manoj Amte & other residents of Yerur regarding pollution due to Grace Industries Ltd., is not correct. Today's Public Hearing is for the proposed coal washery project of M/s. Vimla Infrastructures Pvt. Ltd. | informed that, if there is support to this project then local people will get employment & development will take |  |  |

## 9) Shri Namdev Dahule, Sakharwahi, Tal & Dist. Chandrapur.

| Sr. | Objection/Suggestion/  | Comments / made by  |
|-----|--|---|
| No. | Question   | Project Proponent/ MPCB   |
| 1   | I support the proposed project. If the project will be established, I welcome it. Today I ask the villagers of Yerur village that why these people had not opposed while MIDC Department was acquiring land for MIDC at Tadali. Due to establishment of MIDC, lot of projects have come in this area. Due to commissioning of the projects, employment opportunities have been generated, local people got employment and villages have developed. If we continuously oppose the proposed projects then no investor will be ready to come to Chandrapur. | Project Proponent informed that, if there is support to this project then local people will get employment & development will take place. |

## 10) Dr. Sharad Randive, Srapanch, Nagala Tal. & Dist. Chandrapur

| Sr. | Objection/Suggestion/   | Comments / made by  |  |  |
|-----|---|---|--|--|
| No. | Question  | Project Proponent/ MPCB   |  |  |
| 1   | Nagala Village shall be given employment opportunities as our | Project Proponent informed that, Residents of Nagala village will also get jobs/employement If there is |  |  |

# 11) Shri Milind Shende, Bhadrawati, Tal Bhadrawati, Dist. Chandrapur.

| Sr.<br>No. | o ojection/ Suggestion/ |                                 |  |  | Comments / made by<br>Project Proponent/ MPCB |         |           |          |
|------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|---|---------|-----------|----------|
| 1          | I                       | I support the proposed project. |  |  |   | Project | Proponent | informed |

Unemployment is increased due to shut down of companies like Nippon & Emta, therefore, the proposed coal washery of M/s. Vimla Infrastructure shall be commissioned but it should not cause air pollution in the area.

that, if there is support to this project then local people will get employment & development will take place.

#### 12) Shri Nitesh Bandurkar, Sonegaon, Tal. & Dist. Chandrapur.

| Sr.<br>No. | Objection/Suggestion/<br>Question  | Comments / made by   |
|------------|--|--|
| 1          | I am a driver. Information regarding commissioning of the project shall be given. Vimla company shall take care of trees upto their growth of approx. 10-feet height and after that the project shall be commissioned. | Project Proponent/ MPCB Project Proponent informed that, Tall growing trees will be planted. Monitoring will be done till height of trees is up to 10 feet. Measures will be adopted for protection of environment. 100% effluent generated will be treated and will be reused. Adequate measures will be adopted for prevention of air pollution. Adequate measures will be adopted for control of noise pollution. |

#### 13) Shri Madhukar Lad, Regional Officer, M.P.C.B. Chandrapur

| Sr. | Objection/Suggestion/                                  | Comments / made by   |
|-----|--|--|
| No. | Question   | Project Proponent/ MPCB  |
| 1   | Vimla Coal Washery should carry out Avenue Plantation. | Project Proponent informed that avenue plantation will be carried out. |

# 14) Shri Suresh Gharode, Grampanchayat Member, Yerur, Tal & Dist. Chandrapur.

| Sr.<br>No. | Objection/Suggestion/<br>Question   | Comments / made by<br>Project Proponent/ MPCB |
|------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| 1          | I oppose the proposed project.      | Project Proponent informed                    |
|            | Maharashtra Pollution Control Board | that, Measures will be                        |

has levied fine on some of the industries located in Tadali Area. But levied fine will be deposited to Government accounts & how will it be beneficial to the farmers? We request you to give written assurance regarding compensation in respect of crop damaged will be given to the farmers.

adopted for protection of environment. 100% effluent generated will be treated and will be reused. Adequate measures will be adopted for prevention of air pollution. Adequate measures will be adopted for control of noise pollution. Public hearing panel informed that MPCB has issued notices industries & imposed environmental compensation of Rs One Crore on each industry. MPCB will inspect pollution caused by M/S grace industries ltd. and other industries of Tadali area. Report will be sought from agricultural department regarding crop damage. A committee will be formed under chairmanship of officer of revenue department & then decision will be taken about crop damage.

## 15) Shri Ramakant Balki, Yerur, Tal & Dist. Chandrapur.

| Sr.<br>No. |                                  | tion/Suggestion/<br>Question         |         | Comments / made by<br>Project Proponent/ MPCB |
|------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------|---|
| 1          | I oppose the shall give farmers. | proposed project.<br>compensation to | You the | Report will be sought from                    |

#### 16) Shri Rupesh Kshirsagar, Sakharwahi, Tal & Dist Chandrapur

| Sr.<br>No. | Objection/Suggestion/<br>Question      | Comments / made by<br>Project Proponent/ MPCB |
|------------|--|---|
| 1          | I support the proposed project. If the |   |
|            | project will be established, I welcome | that, if there is support to this             |
|            | it.                                    | project then local people will                |
|            | 11.0                                   | get employment &                              |
|            |  | development will take place.                  |

#### 17) Shri Deepak Dixit, Tal. & Dist. Chandrapur

| Objection/Suggestion/                              | Comments / made by  |
|--|---|
|  | Project Proponent/ MPCB   |
|  | Project Proponent informed  |
|  | that, Environmental impact  |
| said project is wrong as local people              | assessment is made after  |
| are opposing to establish the said                 | notification and all technical  |
| project. Government issues                         | & other aspects are included  |
| Environment Clearance by imposing                  | in it. Environmental clearance  |
| certain terms & conditions but neither             | will contain many terms &   |
| the Project Proponent nor MPCB                     | conditions and it will be   |
| complies with the terms & conditions               | binding on project to comply  |
| of Environmental Clearance. Today,                 | them. Shri Deepak Dixit told  |
| during hearing, one farmer has shown               | that white cotton crop turned   |
| blackish cotton which proves that,                 | in to black due to pollution.   |
| there is already air pollution in the              | Public hearing panel replied  |
| vicinity and if the proposed project is            | that MPCB will inspect the  |
| established there will be definitely rise          | pollution caused by industries  |
| in pollution levels. Regarding air                 | which are nearby and report   |
| pollution, Chandrapur is ranked at 4 <sup>th</sup> | will be sought from   |
| place in CEPI. As per NGT directions               | agricultural department   |
| dtd. 14/11/2018, it is mandatory to                | regarding crop damage. A  |
| recover Environmental Compensation                 | committee will be formed  |
| from the industry & as per orders,                 | under chairmanship of officer   |
| there is ban on establishing new                   | of revenue department & then  |
| projects within 10 k.m. area under                 | decision about crop damage  |
| CEPI. The proposed project site is                 | will be taken.CER will be   |
| within 10 km from MIDC Tadali but                  | implemented and budgetary   |
| the project proponent has shown the                | provision of 2% of the total  |
| same distance as 15 km in the EIA                  | project cost i.e. of Rs. 44.25  |
|  | I oppose the proposed project. EIA report prepared by consultant of the said project is wrong as local people are opposing to establish the said project. Government issues Environment Clearance by imposing certain terms & conditions but neither the Project Proponent nor MPCB complies with the terms & conditions of Environmental Clearance. Today, during hearing, one farmer has shown blackish cotton which proves that, there is already air pollution in the vicinity and if the proposed project is established there will be definitely rise in pollution levels. Regarding air pollution, Chandrapur is ranked at 4th place in CEPI. As per NGT directions dtd. 14/11/2018, it is mandatory to recover Environmental Compensation from the industry & as per orders, there is ban on establishing new projects within 10 k.m. area under CEPI. The proposed project site is within 10 km from MIDC Tadali but the project proponent has shown the |

report. Therefore, EIA report is wrong & I demand not to give permission to the said project. Today, water sprinkling is done on roads by the Project Proponent because all government officials are coming to conduct Public Hearing.

Lakhs is made under Corporate Environment Responsibility. Measures will be adopted for protection of environment. There will be no discharge of domestic effluent and of process effluent outside project premises. Process effluent will be treated in thickener and treated effluent will be used for Coal washing, dust suppression, trees conservation and for gardening.100 % effluent will be treated and will be reused. Necessary provisions will be made for prevention of air pollution.

## 18) Shri. Manish Das, Tal & Dist. Chandrapur.

| Sr.<br>No. | Objection/Suggestion/<br>Question  | Comments / made by   |
|------------|--|--|
| I          | I support the proposed project. Commissioning of the project will reduce unemployment in the vicinity. | Project Proponent/ MPCB Project Proponent informed that if there is support to this project then local people will get employment & development will take place. |

## 19) Shri Prem Jogi, Yerur, Tal. & Dist. Chandrapur

| Sr.<br>No. | Objection/Suggestion/ Question  | Comments / made by<br>Project Proponent/ MPCB |
|------------|---|---|
| 1          | I oppose the proposed project. Fine coal dust and other dust gets deposited on the crops of my agricultural field due to coal transportation. | Project Proponent informed                    |

| covered by tarpaulin. Internal |
|--------------------------------|
| roads will be constructed.     |
| Continuous water sprinkling    |
| will be done during loading &  |
| unloading of railway wagons,   |
| adequate water sprinkling      |
| will be done at all disposal   |
| centres, bag filters will be   |
| installed at coal crusher.     |

#### 20) Shri Mangesh Tankar, Sakharwahi, Tal & Dist. Chandrapur

| Sr. | Objection/Suggestion/          | Comments / made by   |
|-----|--------------------------------|--|
| No. | Question                       | Project Proponent/ MPCB  |
| 1   | I oppose the proposed project. | Project Proponent informed that if there is support to this project then local people will get employment & development will take place. |

#### 21) Shri Niraj Bonde, Sakharwahi, Tal & Dist. Chandrapur.

| Sr. | Objection/Suggestion/          | Comments / made by   |
|-----|--------------------------------|--|
| No. | Question                       | Project Proponent/ MPCB  |
| 1   | I oppose the proposed project. | Project Proponent informed that if there is support to this project then local people will get employment & development will take place. |

#### 22) Shri Dinesh Chokhare, Tadali, Tal Dist. Chandrapur

| Sr.<br>No. | Objection/Suggestion/<br>Question   | Comments / made by<br>Project Proponent/ MPCB  |
|------------|---|--|
| 1          | How many complaints regarding pollution is received to Maharashtra Pollution Control Board? I am not opposing the proposed project but adequate measures to control air & water pollution shall be taken by the | Project Proponent informed that, Measures will be adopted for protection of environment.100% domestic and process effluent will be |
|            | Project Proponent & employment  |  |

shall be given to local people. I own a agricultural land of 25 acres and dust is also accumulated on my agricultural crops. Local people has lodged several complaints at **MPCB** regarding pollution due to industries located in Tadali Area. Has MPCB taken any action on it? I demand to investigate the complaints raised by farmers and compensation shall be given to them. I support the proposed project of M/s. Vimla Infrastructures condition to not make pollution.

of air pollution. Also necessary measures will be taken for control of noise pollution. MPCB will inspect the pollution caused by Grace Industries Ltd and other industries of Tadali area .Report will be sought from agricultural department about crop damage. A committee will be formed under chairmanship of officer of revenue department and after that decision will be taken about crop damage. Shri Dinesh Chokhare expressed his views as follows: His support Vimla Coal washery project with condition that industry shall not cause pollution.

#### 23) Shri Deepak Dixit, Tal. Dist. Chandrapur.

| Sr. | Objection/Suggestion/   | Comments / made by         |
|-----|---|----------------------------|
| No. | Question  | Project Proponent/ MPCB    |
| 1   | I have noticed that minutes of public hearing are not being written. Only discussion is going on. | Convenor of public bearing |

### 24) Shri Niraj Bonde, Sakharwahi, Tal Dist. Chandrapur.

| Sr. | Objection/Suggestion/               | Comments / made by         |
|-----|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| No. | Question                            | Project Proponent/ MPCB    |
| 1   | I support the proposed project. The | Project Proponent informed |

Project Proponent must fulfil the social responsibility. Why local people had not opposed while MIDC Department was acquiring land for MIDC at Tadali. Local people has lodged several complaints at MPCB regarding pollution due to industries located in Tadali Area. Has MPCB taken any action on it? I demand to investigate the complaints raised by farmers and compensation shall be given to them. I support the proposed project of M/s. Vimla Infrastructures only on condition to not make pollution.

that if there is support to this project then local people will get employment & development will take place.

The Chairman of the Public Hearing briefed the people present if there are any other question /issues, the same may be asked. However, nobody came with any question. He announced that copy of the proceedings and CD of the public hearing will be made available in due course of time in MPCB office at Regional Office, Maharashtra Pollution Control Board, First Floor, Udyog Bhavan, Opposite Bus-Stand, Railway Station Road, Chandrapur.

The Chairman of the Committee while concluding the proceedings, summarized various points raised and declared that public hearing is ended, and concluded the public hearing with vote of thanks.

(Ajit V. Patil)

Convener of the Public Hearing Committee and Sub Regional Officer, MPCB, Chandrapur Madhukar Lad)

Member of the Public Hearing Committee and Regional Officer, MPCB, Chandrapur T. W. Wadhai)

Nayab Tahsildar, Election Department, Collector Office, Chandrapur & Chairman of the Public Hearing Committee