

MINUTES OF THE PUBLIC HEARING FOR EXPANSION OF SUGAR UNIT FROM 5,500.0 TCD to 7,500.0 TCD PROPOSED BY M/S MULA SAHAKARI SAKHAR KARKHANA LTD., VILLAGE – SONAI, TALUKA – NEWASA, DIST – AHMEDNAGAR

The public hearing for proposed expansion in the existing unit of M/s Mula Sahakari Sakhar Karkahna Ltd., Village-Sonai, Tal-Newasa, Dist – Ahmednagar for proposed production of sugar from 5,500 TCD (Expansion 2000 TCD) to 7,500 was conducted on 27th July, 2018 at 11.00 a.m. the existing unit site of the said industry.

Following were the panel members as per Board's Office Order No.E-58 of 2018 under letter no. BO/JD (WPC)/PH/B-2743, dated 25th July, 2018:-

- Shri Prashant Patil, Chairman,
 Addl. Divisional Magistrate, Ahmednagar
 (Representative of District Magistrate, Ahmednagar
- 2) Shri P. M. Joshi Member Regional Officer, MPCB Nashik (representative of MPCB, Mumbai)
- Shri Ajit V. Patil,
 Sub Regional Officer,
 MPCB, Ahmednagar

Convener

Shri Ajit Patil, Convener of the Public Hearing Committee welcomed Hon'ble Chairman of the public hearing committee, company officials and public who were present in large number and informed that as per the EIA Notification of Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate Change, Govt. of India, (i.e. MoEFCC, Gol) dated 14th September, 2006 as amended on 1st December, 2009, it is mandatory to conduct prior public consultation to certain projects which are covered in the Schedule of the said Notification. The Sub Regional Office, MPCB, Ahmednagar was in receipt of application of M/s Mula Sahakari Sakhar Karkhana Ltd., Sonai Village, Tal-Newasa, Dist-Ahmednagar, Maharashtra for their proposed expansion of sugar cane crushing capacity from 5,500.0 TCD to 7,500.0 TCD (i.e.2,000.0 TCD); in the existing premises of unit only. The Convener further informed that, as per EIA Notification, 2006, the project falls under Category B 5 (j).

Convener of the meeting further informed that the aim of conducting public consultation is to make aware, local people who can be participant in the process and they should know the developmental activities and environment management plan of the unit. The project proponent had

submitted prescribed application alongwith pre-feasibility report to the State Environment Appraisal Committee-I, Mumbai and SEAC-I approved the same in its 144th meeting held on 18th November, 2017 and standard ToR as specified by MOEFCC. As per said Notification, 30 days' advance public specified by MOEFCC. As per said Notification, 30 days' advance public notice was published in the local newspapers in Daily 'Lokmat' in Marathi and in 'The Times of India' in English on 26th June, 2018.

He informed that a copy of EIA report and executive summery were made available at various offices of government i.e. Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate Change, Western Zone Office, Nagpur; District Magistrate Additional District Magistrate, Ahmednagar, District Industries Centre, Ahmednagar; Office, Ahmednagar; Grampanchayat offices at Sonai, Parishad, Ahmednagar; Panaswadi, Lohagaon, Zapwadi, Shanishinganapur, Hingoni, Kharwandi, Office-Newasa, Dist, Ahmednagar; Ganeshwadi, Tal- Newasa, Dist- Ahmednagar, at Sub Regional Office, MPCB, Ahednagar; Regional Office, MPCB, Nashik; Head Office of MPCB at Mumbai, Environment Department, Govt. of Maharashtra, Mumbai and on the The public in general were appealed to send any objection / suggestion regarding the above project. The Convener further website of MPCB. informed that not a single objection against the expansion of project is received. Moreover, a single suggestion by mail supporting the project is received by Sub Regional Office, MPCB, Ahmednagar regarding the expansion of the project. He appealed Chairman of the Committee to allow

Shri Prashant Patil, Chairman of Committee welcomed all and informed officials of the project proponent to explain the details of pollution control devices and environment management plan of the project in local control devices and environment management public to give objection / language Marathi. He also appealed general public to give objection / suggestion if any about the project after their technical presentation.

The Environment Consultant appointed by the company has gave the presentation of the expansion project and Environment Management Plan in detail

Shri P.M.Joshi, Member of the Public Hearing Committee and Regional Officer, MPCB, Nashik objected that valid consent granted by MPCB and ToR are not enclosed with the presentation and executive summary. It is not enclosed with the copies made available to Public Hearing Committee.PPI enclosed with the copies made available to Public Hearing Committee.PPI submitted copy of consent to operate, TOR on dt.27/07/2018 (Copy Enclose). Member of the Public Hearing Committee further objected that NABET Member of the Public Hearing Committee further objected that NABET approved consultant has submitted documents which are misleading. In the presentation, the monitoring period is just mentioned from December, 2017 to presentation, the monitoring period is just mentioned from December, 2017 to presentation, the monitoring period is just mentioned from December, 2017 to presentation, the monitoring period is just mentioned from December, 2017 to presentation, the monitoring period is just mentioned from December, 2017 to presentation, the monitoring period is just mentioned from December, 2017 to presentation, the monitoring period is just mentioned from December, 2017 to presentation, the monitoring period is just mentioned from December, 2018 (Copy Enclose) period on dt.27/07/2018 (Copy Enclose)

The Public Hearing Committee discussed the above issues and directed to rectify the same while preparing final EIA report.

The Public Hearing Committee appealed general public to raise any objection or give suggestion regarding the proposed expansion of the project.

FOLLOWINGS HAVE PARTICIPATED DURING THE DISCUSSIONS, QUESTIONS AND ANSWER GIVEN BY PROJECT PROPONENT :-

1. Shri Subhash Kisan Rakh, resident of Sonai village, Tal-Newasa, Dist-A'nagar :-

<u>A'nagar</u>	<u></u>	
		Comments/Commitments
2.10	Issue/Question	made by Project
Sr.No.	Raised	Illauc
	Raisca	Proponent
	L (DD)	1) The PP always maintains
4	The project proponent (PP)	the social commitments.
1	l. planning 101 till	DD has 17 000
	expansion, but which social	
	expansion, but White bave	members (i.e. local farmers)
	I implement projects liave	and nearly the same
	been under taken by the	numbers though not the
•		members, giving their
	PP.	members, giving trieff
	·	sugarcane for crushing;
	·	o pp is helping by giving
		training/aware programmes
		to develop crop of sugar
		to develop crop or and
		cane to local farmers;
		3) The developed sugar cane
		seeds and fertilizers are
		seeds and fortunation credit to
		made available on credit to
		noor tarmers, also
		compost, chemical fertilizers
		I available UIII
	·	
		credit;
		4) In nearby 87 villages, the
		barrages are built to
		preserve rain water;
		preserve fair water,
		5) The barrages near Mula-
		Bhandardhara Dain aic baill
		and some have been
		repaired out of the total 350
_		repaired out of the
		barrages.
		members (i.e. local)
		farmers) are covered under
		(Citive - 7)

insurance scheme of which the PP has paid total amount of insurance. The death and accidents are covered under it.

2. Shri Sitaram Haribhau Zine, resident of Hingoni, Dist-A'nagar -

Sr.No.	Issue Raised	ssue Raised Comments/Commitments	
		made by Project	
		Proponent	
	The PP is carrying green belt drive in their own premises. But if the member of the project (i.e.local farmer) and local people outside the project area desires, whether the saplings will be made available by the PP	1) The project is commissioned in 1979 and since 1983, rapid green drive is undertaken by the PP. The 15-20 officers of the PP were	

3. <u>Shri Dattatraya Mitthu Nimse, resident of Sonai village, Tal-Newasa, Dist-</u> A'nagar –

Sr.No.	Issue Raised	Comments/Commitments	
		made by Project	
		Proponent	
1	The PP has planned for the	After expansion, there will be	
	expansion of the project.	increase of 2,000 TCD in sugar	
	But whether local people	production The opportunities in	
·	will be benefitted for the job	direct and indirect employment will	
	opportunities.	definitely increase.	

4. Shri Dhondiram Mohan Darandale, resident of Belhkarwadi, Dist-A'n agar

Sr.No.	Issue Raised	Comments/Commitments made by Project
		Proponent
1	After expansion, what	The management of the PP
	benefits the members of the	planned the expansion considering
	sugar factory (i.e. local	the increase in sugar cane
	farmers) will get.	production in the vicinity. After
		expansion, the total sugar cane of
		the area will be crushed in the
		manufacturing process. Hence
		there will not be any financial loss
		of local farmers due to non-lifting of
		their crop for crushing.

5. <u>Shri Dadasaheb Dhondiram Darandale, resident of Shanishignapur, Dist-A'nagar –</u>

Sr.No.	Issue Raised	Comments/Commitments
		made by Project
	·	Proponent
1	1	It is informed that molasses will be
	planned to dispose of press	used in the distillery. Press mud
	mud and molasses after	will be used for compost and the
	expansion of the project.	compost will be made available at a
		reasonable cost.

6. Shri Bajarang Janardhan Tupe, resident of Kangoni village, Dist-A'nagar

Sr.No.	Issue Raised	Comments/Commitments
		made by Project
		Proponent
1	The PP has planned for	The PP has enough land and there
	expansion which will	is no requirement of additional land
	increase approximately 1	for the expansion.
	1/2%. For this expansion,	
	the PP will require	
·	additional land and whether	
	it is available or whether	
	additional land will be	·
	purchased for the same.	

Shri Nitin Shete, resident of Shanishignapur, Dist-A'nagar –

7. Shri Nitin Shete, resident of Shanis		Comments/Commitments
Sr.No.	Issue Raised	made by Project
		Proponent
1	After expansion, what steps will be taken by the PP for control of pollution.	 As per the directives of MoEFCC, the project is ZLD i.e. Zero Liquid Discharge. The total effluent will be treated and will be recycled and will be reused in the process. As per the directives, online monitoring system is already installed in the project. For air pollution control, the ESP i.e. Electro Static Preciparator is installed which gives 99.9% effiency.

8. Shri Jayawant Baburao Lipane, resident of Kharwandi, Tal-Nevasa, Dist-A'nagar –

	Sr.No. Issue Raised Comments/Commitmen		nitments	
Sr.No.	issue Raiseu	made	by	Project
		Proponen		
1	Whether sugar cane will be available enough for the expansion of the project.	The management the excess sugar of the area. nearly 13 ½ lake after expansion. production of survicinity was nearly	r cane The P h Ton s Last	production Prequires sugar cane year, the ne in the

9. Shri Prakash Natha Undre, resident of Kharwandi, Tal-Newasa, Dist-

A'nagar -Comments/Commitments Issue Raised Sr.No. **Project** by made Proponent It is answered that as informed After expansion, there will 1 earlier, the project will be ZLD i.e. be increase in the effluent Zero Liquid Discharge project. quantity and which steps will be taken for the treatment of effluent

10. Shri Shivaji Sopan Sonawane, resident of Hingoni, Dist-A'nagar –

Sr.No.	Issue Raised	Comments/Commitments made by Project Proponent
	For the expansion of the project, how much expenditure will be incurred?	There will be nearly Rupees 11 crores will incur for the proposed expansion.

11. Shri Sunandan Reddy, an Environmentalist from Hyderabad –

Shri Sunandan Reddy read the suggestions which are given in writing. He opined that the biggest pollution in India is unemployment. There are more than 40 Crores unemployed youths in India, who are eagerly waiting for job opportunities. Shri Reddy wished that with industrial development, ecological balance should be maintained. He suggested that —

- a) The health and crop data of the 10 k.m. radius of the project be prepared immediately;
- b) The rain water should be preserved with big construction of storage tank and the water should be used at the time of scarcity. There should be minimum use of raw water. The rain water should be recycled.
- c) The PP should take in hand to plant the local species/green belt development at both sides of inner roads of nearby villages;
- d) While developing green belt, medicinal plants should be given preferences;
- e) The skilled development courses to the local male and females students be given periodically;
- f) A Co-ordination Committee should be constituted with the local representatives by the PP for the budgetary provisions under CSR Fund to implement various social development activities in the area;
- g) Encourage farmers to use minimum raw water in the agriculture activities;

Shri. Sunandan Reddy has given the suggestions in writing to the Public Hearing Committee.

12. Shri H. Madhu Babu, an Environmentalist from Hyderabad started to read the written suggestions. The Committee asked him to give the writing suggestions.

Chairman of the Public Hearing Committee Shri Prashant Patil asked Environment Consultant of the project to give explanation regarding input of effluent in Effluent Treatment Plant, loss of water while recycling in production activities and treatment of waste water after expansion. Whether there is enough space is available for recycling and reuse of effluent after expansion.

The Environment Consultant of the Project Proponent informed that at present the total raw water of 2,367.0 M3 is required for the production of sugar, co-gen and distillery. As the Project Proponent has planned to use of water from sugar cane in the production activities and also planned to recycle and reuse the treated effluent in the process, the requirement of fresh water will be minimized. The total freshwater will require to the tune of 2,162,0 M3. Hence there will be less requirement of water of 205.0 M3.

Member of the Public Hearing Committee Shri P.M.Joshi and Sub Regional Officer of the MPCB Nashik asked to give details regarding explaining the steps to be taken for use of less of water for production activities. The matter is discussed thoroughly by the Public Hearing Committee Members.

The Environment Consultant gave water consumption, water loss, recycled water and dischargeable effluent of Mill House, Boiler Section, Boiling House and Other Water sectionwise.

The Environment Consultant was asked to give details of Boiler Section. It is informed that from Boiler No.I-80 TPH, the water consumption will be 1923.0 M3/day. The water loss will be 93.0 M3 and water will be recycled 1816.0 M3/day. There will be 14.0 M3/day dischargeable effluent. From Boiler No.-II 85 TPH, the water will be recycled 1,936.0 M3/day and there will be discharge of effluent of 13.0 M3/day.

Shri P.M.Joshi, Member of the Public Hearing Committee asked about the details of effluent from DM Plant and Gland water of all pumps. It is informed that from DM Plant, there will effluent discharge of 57.0 M3/day. In Gland water of all pumps, there will be water loss of 10.0 M3/day and the effluent will be 10.0 M3/day.

The Committee discussed about the points made in the presentation. After detailed discussions, Member of the Public Hearing Committee asked Environment Consultant to rectify the discrepancies while preparing the final EIA report. Chairman of the Committee informed that the technical issues raised by the Member and Convener of the meeting should be sorted and corrected while preparing final EIA report.

Shri P. M. Joshi, Member of the Public Hearing Committee informed that with the expansion, there should be sustainable development.

Project proponent provided copy of existing consent and copy of TOR. and also they have submitted hard copy of the same (copy enclose)

With the permission of the Chairman of the Public Hearing Committee to the conclude the meeting, Shri P. M. Joshi, Member of the Public Hearing Committee informed that suggestions, objections raised during the meeting will be incorporated in the minutes of the meeting and it will sent alongwith the final EIA report and writing suggestions, objections received during the meeting to the Expert Committee of the Govt. of Maharashtra. The Expert Committee will take further decision. The Committee directed Environment Consultant to rectify the discrepancies while preparing final EIA report.

Member of the Public Hearing Committee declared the meeting is concluded.

The meeting ended extending thanks to the Chair.

(Prashant Patil)

Chairman of the Public Hearing Committee and

Additional District Magistrate, Ahmednagar

(P. M. Joshi)

Member of the Public Hearing Committee and Regional Officer,

MPCB, Nashik

(Ajit V. Patil)

Convener of the Public Hearing Committee and Sub Regional Officer, MPCB,

Ahmednagar