#### MONITORING OF COASTAL MARINE AND ESTUARINE ECOLOGY OF MAHARASHTRA: PHASE I





राष्ट्रीय समुद्र विज्ञान संस्थान NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF OCEANOGRAPHY Regional Centre : 4 Bungalows, Mumbai - 400 053

HQ : Dona Paula, Goa - 403 004

# MONITORING OF COASTAL MARINE AND ESTUARINE ECOLOGY OF MAHARASHTRA: PHASE I

Part-A (Main Report)

#### 1 Background

The Maharashtra coast that stretches between Bordi/Dahanu in the North and Redi/Terekhol in the South is about 720 km long and 30-50 km wide. The shoreline is indented by numerous west flowing river mouths, creeks, bays, headlands, promontories and cliffs. There are about 18 prominent creeks/estuaries along the coast many of which harbour mangrove habitats. Like elsewhere in the world, the coastal region of the State is thus a place of hectic human activity, intense urbanization in pockets and enhanced industrialization, resulting in degradation, directly or indirectly, of marine environment through indiscriminate releases of domestic and industrial effluents, reclamation, offshore constructions, movement of ships and loading and unloading of a variety of cargo at ports etc.

Several coastal ecosystems along the west coast of India are now thus highly disturbed and threatened, encountering problems like pollution, siltation and erosion, flooding, saltwater intrusion, storm surges and other hazards. Hence, appropriate management strategies are needed to ensure the sustainable development and management of coastal areas and their resources.

Marine environmental management through proper assessment of water quality vis-à-vis the existing wastewater discharges, and reliable impact prediction on the coastal ecosystem due to ongoing activities are prerequisite for optimum utilization of marine areas without harming the ecosystem. A comprehensive programme for coastal area development in a sustainable manner, therefore, requires detailed information on levels of pollutants, quality and quantity of wastewater entering the system, physicochemical characteristics as well as biological productivity at different levels, the flora and fauna inhabiting the area and their community structure, sediment nature, circulation, dispersion potential, tidal flushing etc. Evidently, environmental data requirements are extremely high and it is necessary to adopt a multidisciplinary approach for proper evaluation of ecosystems enabling corrective measures.

With this view Maharashtra Pollution Control Board (MPCB) approached the National Institute of Oceanography, NIO (Mumbai) to undertake two seasons monitoring studies in order to assess the status of coastal ecology along the Maharashtra coast. NIO conducted these studies during February-May 2007 (premonsoon) and October-February 2008 (postmonsoon) as a part (Phase I) of the following long term objectives.

#### 2 Objectives

(i) To monitor ecology of inshore and coastal areas in order to identify changes, if any, in water quality, sediment quality and biological characteristics and utilize the findings to suggest suitable corrective measures (Phase-I).

(ii) To monitor for indicator pollutants in areas identified to be contaminated with specific pollutants and assess recovery of the ecosystems (Phase II).

(iii) To undertake predictive modelling of selective marine areas for planned disposal of industrial and domestic effluents (Phase III).

The findings of the Phase I studies are presented in the two parts.

**Part A:** The brief description of the study area, nature of wastewater influxes, prevailing environment, ecological assessment, predictive modelling (as available with NIO for regions along Maharashtra coast), summary and conclusions and recommendations based on the studies conducted during Phase I and the past data available with NIO are presented in this report.

**Part B:** The data that have emerged from field studies of Phase I monitoring is presented as Part B of the report.

#### 3 Study area

During the present study the sampling stations along the open coast were selected, to represent inshore (0 to 0.5 km), nearshore (2 to 3 km) and offshore (4 to 5 km) region. Estuaries/Creeks were sampled at their lower, middle and upper zones and in many cases the transect extended to the open sea. At least one station on each transect was operated over a tidal cycle and the remaining stations were spot sampled in duplicate. Particular attention was given to sample marine and estuarine areas in the vicinity of significant urban, industrial or maritime establishments. The locations of sampling stations are given in the following table:

Sr. No.	Transect				S	tation				
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1	Dahanu (Coastal/creek)	DH1	DH2	DH3	DH4	DH5	DH6	-	-	
2	Tarapur (Coastal/creek)	TP1	TP2	TP3	TP4	TP5	TP6	-	-	
3	Bassein/Ulhas River (Coastal/estuary)	BS1	BS2	BS3	BS4	BS5	BS6	BS7	-	
4	Manori/ Gorai (Creek)	-	-	-	BYMa4	BYMa5	BYMa6	-	-	
5	Versova (Creek)	-	-	-	BYV4	BYV5	-	-	-	
6	Mahim (Creek)	-	-	-	BYM4	BYM5	BYM6	-	-	
7	Bandra Outfall (Coastal)	BYB1	BYB2		-	-	-	-	-	
8	Worli Outfall (Coastal)	BYW1	BYW2	-	-	-	-	-	-	
9	Thane/Mumbai Harbour (Coastal/creek)	BY1	BY2	BY3	BY4	BY5	BY6	-	-	
10	Patalganga (Estuary)	-	-	-	PT4/4A	PT5	PT6	PT7	PT8	PT9
11	Amba Estuary	-	-	-	AB4	AB5	AB6	AB7	-	
12	Thal RCF, DP (Coastal)	DP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
13	Alibaug (Coastal)	A1	A2	A3	-	-	-	-	-	
14	Kundalika (Coastal/estuary)	K1	K2	K3	K4	K5	K6	K7	-	
15	Murud/Rajpuri (Coastal/creek)	MR1	MR2	MR3	MR4	MR5	MR6	MR7	-	
16	Savitri (Coastal/estuary)	S1	S2	S3	S4	S5	S6	S7	-	
17	Dabhol/Vashishti (Coastal/estuary)	VS1	VS2	VS3	VS4	VS5	VS6	VS7	-	
18	Enron D.P. (Coastal)	-	-	ENDP	-	-	-	-	-	
19	Jaigad/Shastri (Estuary)	J1	J2	J3	J4	J5	J6	-	-	
20	Ratnagiri/Mirya Harbour (Coastal/bay)	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	-	-	-	
21	Bhatye (Bhatye River)	-	-	-	B4	B5	B6	-	-	
22	Pawas (Creek)	-	-	-	P4	P5	P6	-	-	
23	Vijaydurg/Waghotan (Coastal/estuary)	VJ1	VJ2	VJ3	VJ4	VJ5	VJ6	-	-	
24	Deogad (Coastal/estuary)	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	-	-	
25	Malvan (Coastal/Harbour)	M1	M2	M3	M4	-	-	-	-	
26	Vengurla (Coastal)	V1	V2	V3	-	-	-	-	-	
27	Redi (Coastal)	RD1	RD2	RD3	-	-	-	-	-	

The station locations were plotted on satellite imageries and presented under respective Section. Typical examples are illustrated in Figures 1 and 2.

Nearly 25 environmental parameters were monitored at about 125 sampling locations with more than 1100 sampling events.

#### 4 **Parameters**

#### Water quality

Temperature, pH, salinity, Suspended Solids (SS), Dissolved Oxygen (DO), Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD), Nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub>), Nitrite (NO<sub>2</sub>), Ammonia (NH<sub>4</sub>), Dissolved phosphate, Petroleum Hydrocarbons (PHc), Phenols.

#### Sediment quality

Texture, Organic Carbon (Corg), Phosphorous (P), PHc, Total Viable counts (TVC), Total coliforms (TC), Feacal coliforms (FC) etc.

Aluminium (Al), Chromium (Cr), Manganese (Mn), Iron (Fe), Cobalt (Co), Nickel (Ni), Copper (Cu), Zinc (Zn), Cadmium (Cd), Lead (Pb) and Mercury (Hg)

### Flora and Fauna

Microbiology	- TVC, TC, FC etc.
Phytoplankton	- phytopigments, cell counts and total genera
Zooplankton	- biomass, population and total groups
Macrobenthos	<ul> <li>biomass, population and total groups</li> </ul>

#### 5 Wastewater influx

The nature of significant point releases of wastewater received at different

The hature of sign	incant point releases of wastewater received at un
transects were as for	ollows.
1. Dahanu	<ul> <li>Industrial and domestic wastes</li> </ul>
2. Tarapur	<ul> <li>Industrial and domestic wastes</li> </ul>
3. Bassein	<ul> <li>Industrial and domestic wastes</li> </ul>
4. Manori	<ul> <li>Industrial and domestic wastes</li> </ul>
5. Versova	<ul> <li>Industrial and domestic wastes</li> </ul>
6. Bandra	<ul> <li>Domestic waste through marine outfall</li> </ul>
7. Mahim	<ul> <li>Industrial and domestic wastes</li> </ul>
8. Worli	<ul> <li>Domestic waste through marine outfall</li> </ul>
9. Thane	<ul> <li>Industrial, domestic and port-based wastes</li> </ul>
10. Patalganga	- Industrial waste
11. Amba	<ul> <li>Industrial and port-based wastes</li> </ul>
12. Thal	<ul> <li>Industrial waste (RCF, DP)</li> </ul>
13. Alibaug	- Domestic (minor) waste
14. Kundalika	<ul> <li>Industrial and domestic (minor) wastes</li> </ul>
15. Murud	- Domestic (minor) waste
16. Savitri	<ul> <li>Industrial and domestic (minor) wastes</li> </ul>
17. Vashishti	<ul> <li>Industrial and domestic (minor) wastes</li> </ul>
18. Enron	- Industrial waste
19. Jaigad	<ul> <li>Domestic (minor) and Port-based wastes</li> </ul>
20. Ratnagiri	- Industrial (minor), Port-based and domestic wastes

- 21. Bhatye 22. Pawas
- Domestic (minor) waste
- Domestic (minor) and port-based wastes
  - Domestic (minor) and port-based wastes

- Domestic (minor) and fishery harbour wastes

- 24. Deogad Domestic (minor) waste
- 25. Malvan

23. Vijaydurg

26. Vengurla

27. Redi

- Domestic (minor) waste
- -Port-based waste

#### 6 Results

Results of the present monitoring are discussed transectwise in the Chapter 4 of the report "Prevailing environment" under the sub-heads water quality, sediment quality and flora and fauna. To facilitate discussion the data have been grouped under different segments like coastal water, lower segment, middle segment and upper segment. Stations 1 to 3 were the part of the open coastal area. The stations operated in the bay / creek / river / estuary were grouped appropriately depending on the length of the respective water body sampled. Comparison of the two season data i.e. premonsoon and postmonsoon for a given transect is also included in this Chapter. An illustrative example for chlorophyll and phaeophytin is illustrated in the following table:

Zone	Ch	loroph	yll a ³\	F	haeophy	ytin
Vashishti Estuary)	Min	Max	) Av	Min	Max	) Av
Premonsoon						
Coastal water	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.5	6.2	2.5
(Sts VS1 to VS3)						
Lower estuary	0.2	4.9	1.6	0.2	6.7	2.1
(Sts VS4 and VS5)						
Upper estuary	0.2	2.3	0.7	0.2	12.4	4.7
(Sts VS6 and VS7)						
Postmonsoon						
Coastal water	1.0	2.3	1.9	0.1	0.7	0.4
(Sts VS1 to VS3)						
Lower estuary	1.4	1.9	1.8	0.1	2.0	0.5
(Sts VS4 and VS5)						
Upper estuary	1.4	20.3	4.4	0.1	5.0	1.3
(Sts VS6 and VS7)						

The results of temporal variations which would reveal tidal variability of selected parameters were plotted graphically for each parameter and included in this Chapter. An example is shown in Figure 3 and 4.

#### 7 Ecological assessment

For assessment of the data generated during monitoring, it is crucial to define the baseline against which the results of monitoring could be

compared. Fixing the baseline in itself is not easy in the absence of long-term database because a natural marine environment is prone to spatial and temporal changes associated with tidal movements and seasonal fluctuations. The impact of anthropogenic activities on the marine ecosystems can be achieved in a limited manner by comparing the data for a particular parameter obtained prior to the commencement of anthropogenic release. Unfortunately, for several areas such data are not available for the Maharashtra coast. Since, the pre-effluent release baseline for these ecosystems is not available, database generated by NIO over the years through studies conducted from time to time in these areas was considered as the best available approach to assess the impacts.

The ecological assessment of selected parameters for all the 27 transect was done separately for coastal waters and creek/estuarine waters by diving areas in to two regions.

(I) North Maharashtra – Dahanu to Murud and

(II) South Maharashtra – Murud to Redi

The parameters considered for the assessment were **Water quality -** Temperature, pH, salinity, SS, DO, BOD, phosphorous and nitrogen compounds, phenols and PHc

**Sediment quality -**Texture, heavy metals, Corg, Phosphorous, PHc

Flora and Fauna - Bacteria (FC and TC), phytoplankton, zooplankton and macrobenthos

Transectwise average values are presented in the figures and as a representative case reproduced in Figures 5 and 6.

Segmentwise assessment of all transects in combination with the historical data (wherever available) was also made and plotted as bar-carts, illustrations of which are reproduced in Figures 7 and 8.

The analysis of all the results so processed is made in Chapter 5 entitled "Ecological assessment" wherein prevailing status of marine environment along the transects investigated is made to the extent possible.

#### 8 Prediction modelling

Industrialization and urbanization is bound to increase in coastal areas of Maharashtra. This means effluent loadings on various water bodies will progressively increase requiring their integrated management to prevent deterioration in their ecology. This can be scientifically managed if designate water bodies are modeled aimed at quantitative prediction of proposed activity on the water body to enable suitable decision making. In the context of effluent release, the water bodies could be considered for modelling are the Versova, Mahim and Thane Creeks, and Ulhas, Patalganga, Amba, Kundalika, Savitri, Vashishti and Shastri estuaries. NIO is expected to undertake predictive modelling of selected marine areas for planned disposal of industrial and domestic effluents during Phase III.

The water bodies considered for modelling are fairly shallow and 2 Dimensional (2-D) numerical model is considered adequate to meet the objectives. The 2-D models commonly used in India are MIKE-2I and POLSOFT. Modeling of hydrodynamic processes of the designate water body is the first step in water quality modeling. The modeling of water quality will be with respect to prediction of DO for defined BOD loading through multiple sources.

#### Data requirements and modeling domain

For a given water body, hydrodynamic model set up requires data on tides and currents at various sections of the water body obtained simultaneously over a sufficient period of time (at least 15 days). Another important requirement is the bathymetry of the area to be modeled. A large number of variables are required to be determined for water quality modeling. These include simultaneous measurements of DO, BOD, nutrients, chlorophyll, salinity, sediment oxygen demand etc. Thus, the data requirements are large for predictive numerical modeling and each water body may take about a year and one more year before predictions. Hence, it is proposed to study the estuary where such sources are known. After successful completion of the selected estuary the studies can be extended to others.

NIO has data, though not comprehensive, for a few sites along the Maharashtra coast that can be used for preliminary modeling. Based on the available data Dahanu Creek, Kundalika Estuary and Savitri Estuary were modeled and included in this report. The following are the result in brief. It may be noted that these studies need refinement with further observations and data which will be done in Phase III studies.

- A. **Dahanu:** The Reliance Power unit at Dahanu uses the creek system for intake of seawater for the cooling and FGD facilities. The return water enters the creek through a long channel with a weir over flow. The model predicted that a small area around the release would witness marginally higher temperature and sulphates.
- B. **Kundalika Estuary:** The model clearly revealed the advantage of shifting the effluent discharge location downstream from the present location. However, the estuarine system would have negative impacts even with limited shifting. To minimize the impacts it would be necessary to shift the location in the mouth of the estuary or treat to the effluent further than permitted in the consents of MPCB for the CETP
- C. **Savitri Estuary:** The preliminary modeling results for the Savitri Estuary are similar to those emerged for the Kundalika Estuary and if the estuarine quality had to be improved then the effluent release site should be shifted downstream.

The further refining of the models would consider better (longer term) inputs of tide and water quality to predict DO depletion due to different constituents of the effluent. These need be studied in Phase II of the studies and incorporated in modeling proposed in Phase III studies.

#### 9 Summary and Conclusion

The tentative findings of the present study along with summary are given in the chapter 7 entitled "Summary and Conclusions" and selective findings are discussed under (i) General findings and (ii) Segmentwise findings

#### 9.1 General

(i) The data on Water quality as evaluated from various physico-chemical and biological parameters indicated that the coastal waters (unto 5 km) between Dahanu and Redi are healthy except for a few areas near highly industrialized centres of Mumbai along the north Maharashtra. Overall, most of environmental parameters showed normal values along the south Maharashtra coast compared to the north Maharashtra with noticeable deviations. Salinity was generally lower in creeks/estuaries and increase towards open coastal waters. Also, surface waters had lower salinity which increased with the depth, thus indicating some influence of river discharge on coastal salinity. Salinity of the open coast, creek/estuary of north and south Maharashtra was closely comparable suggesting absence of significant freshwater influx to the coastal area during dry season.. The DO of both north and south Maharashtra open coastal waters and southern estuaries was in the range normally recorded for marine areas. However, the creeks/ bays of coastal Maharashtra as well as the estuarine of north Maharashtra indicated occasionally very low DO (<0.5 ml/l) suggesting the impact of organic load in them. BOD levels indicated that the organic load entering the open coast through various creeks/estuaries is effectively consumed and mineralized. The nutrients like PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3</sup>-P, NO<sub>3</sub> -N, NO<sub>2</sub>-N, and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N indicated higher values in the creeks and some estuarine segments with considerable reduction towards the sea. The higher levels of  $NO_2$ -N and  $NH_4^+$ -N in inshore waters along the north Maharashtra as compared to the south seament suggested high organic input to the northern coast through anthropogenic activities leading to severe deterioration in environmental activity in many instances. The values of PHc and phenols indicated relatively more petroleum contamination in the creeks/estuaries of northern than that of southern Maharashtra suggesting high industrial activities along northern shore. High bacterial counts in terms of TVC, TC and FC occurred in water and sediment along the coastal Maharashtra. In general, the bacterial counts were high in selected creeks and estuaries than the open coast suggesting high organic input to these coastal areas. Mumbai coast and the southern estuaries were more affected as compared to the rest of the coastal regions.

Bed sediments were by and large free from anthropogenic trace metals except for Hg in some instances around Mumbai. Elevation from normal trend particularly Cr in the northern area and Cu in the south and occasional high values of Co, Zn, Cd and Pb appeared to be of lithogenic origin. Further detailed studies including analysis of sediment from the catchment and sediment cores is necessary to resolve this issue. The low level PHc contamination in the sediments of selected coastal segments along Maharashtra was noticed.

The biological productivity in terms of phytopigments and cell counts indicated higher primary production potential for the northern coastal segment as compared to the southern areas of Maharashtra. The generic diversity of phytoplankton was relatively more along southern than that of northern coast. Such trend in high primary production along north shore was probably associated with the nutrient input through anthropogenic fluxes such as sewage. The zooplankton standing stock in terms of biomass and population was higher in the open coastal area of south than that of north Maharashtra. The creeks and estuaries of north Maharashtra however sustained high standing stock than that of south. The faunal group diversity of zooplankton was better along south than that of north coast. The benthic production in terms of biomass and population between southern and northern creeks/estuaries was comparable. However, the open coastal segment of south sustained higher benthic production than that of the north. The above trend in biological production suggested organic pollution induced productivity at different trophic levels at some zones. However, the food chain transfer efficiency in polluted areas seemed to be low due to low grazing pressure. dominance of pollutant tolerant organisms and carnivores. The spatial seasonal and temporal variations of biological parameters were in the range of naturally occurring levels.

- (ii) During monsoon the high freshwater flow results in efficient flushing out of contaminants entering the creek/riverine/estuarine zones. The coastal system of Maharashtra experienced poor flushing during the dry season, since majority of rivers have dams and barrages constructed on them to impound freshwater and regulate the flow in many cases thereby starving the estuaries of fresh water to enhance seaward transport of pollutants.
- (iii) The environmental conditions deteriorated considerably in creeks/estuaries due to weak flushing of inner segments leading to build-up of contaminants.
- (iv) The tidal range (2.5 to 5 m) influenced the mouth segment of the estuaries/creeks along the coast by providing good potential for dilution during flood tide for the dispersal of contaminants entering this zone. The dilution was severely limited in the inner reaches of the estuaries/creeks where the ingress of sea water during flood tide was restricted. Moreover, due to unfavorable tidal excursion, the contaminants tend to oscillate within the estuarine segment that could lead to slow build-up of contaminants particularly during summer when the riverine fresh water flow is low or non-existent. Hence, anthropogenic releases to the inner estuarine zones should be discouraged for any new industries likely to set-up. In case of existing discharges, a detailed site-specific survey should be conducted to assess ecology of the estuarine segment receiving the contaminants. If the results, particularly of premonsoon periods are unacceptable the effluent release should be shifted to a suitable downstream location in the estuary where assured dilution is available and impacts on the ecology are minimized.
- (v) Fish catch from the creeks/estuaries was much lower than the catch from the openshore waters. However, estuaries are the breeding/nursing ground for a

variety of commercially important fish and shell fish, therefore deterioration of their ecology could result in decline in marine fish production in the long run. Hence, while considering developments in the vicinity of these estuaries it should be ensured that their ecological quality does not deteriorate.

- (vii) Release of effluents meeting MPCB/CPCB norms in the estuarine segment where tidal flushing is high, should be permitted only after proper studies to quantify initial and far-field dilutions as well as after examining the probable impacts of release on the estuarine ecology. Wherever feasible, the new industry should be persuaded to convey the treated effluent to the open sea at a properly identified site.
- (viii) Organic waste, particularly sewage; has been the major contaminant in the estuaries/creeks and costal waters along Maharashtra. Domestic sewage if treated and disinfected is not harmful to aquatic life provided its release does not cause DO depletion (except in the immediate vicinity of release), it is therefore vital to assess quantitatively the capacity of different segments of each creeks/estuary to assimilate organic waste. The best approach for this purpose is to model each creek/estuary using a proven numerical model with well-defined objectives. Numerical modelling of estuaries requires detailed information on bathymetry, tides, currents, salinity, freshwater inflow, DO, BOD, nutrients, chlorophyll, primary productivity etc of the entire creek/estuarine stretch. Though it is ideal to model a creek/estuary for different freshwater flow conditions, summer environmental setting must be considered for the creek/estuaries since it is the critical period with respect to effluent release. It should be appreciated that such a study would take 2-3 years to complete for each estuary but the output would be extremely useful to integrate plans for developments along the estuarine banks within acceptable impacts on the water quality.
- (ix) The coastal water of Maharashtra has a high potential to dilute and disperse contaminants and coastal industries should be encouraged to release the treated effluents meeting MPCB norms to the coastal waters and not in creeks/estuaries.

#### 9.2 Area-Specific

The findings and recommendations made below are intended to be a general guideline while planning developments particularly setting-up of industries in the area adjoining coastal water bodies like creeks/estuaries. However, since the impact of release of effluent on the aquatic ecology depends on several factors such as the quality and quantity of effluent released, location of the release, local ecology etc. adequate studies are generally required to identify the site for the discharge of effluent as well as to assess the impact of release on ecology. Most of the creeks/estuaries are relatively free from contamination by anthropogenic heavy metals (except for low level accommodation in some instances), however contamination by nutrients was evident. The major anthropogenic contaminants in these estuaries were therefore organic wastes that depleted DO in the waste-receiving waters.

## i) Dahanu

The water and sediment qualities were within expected ranges, however, organic pollution induced biological productivity especially at the primary level was recorded. The diversity of phytoplankton and zooplankton were in the normal ranges but benthos was poor. The existing effluent releases had not grossly deteriorated the creek ecology except for relatively high temperature in the immediate vicinity of the cooling water release location.

### ii) Tarapur

The creek transporting effluents to the coastal waters was highly degraded and resembled a sewer during low tide. The effluents entering the creek had caused severe deterioration of the creek ecology with low DO and pH and high SS, and nutrients in water; elevated levels of Zn and Cr in sediment: high bacterial counts (TVC and TC): and high primary production, phytopigments and cell counts supported by good benthic standing stock instead of zooplankton. The results indicated organic pollution induced biological production.

A detailed study should be undertaken aimed at collection, treatment and release of effluents from establishments discharging in this creek to a suitable location off the open coast in the Arabian Sea.

## iii) Bassein (Ulhas Estuary)

The coastal water of Bassein did not reveal any gross impact of fluxes of pollutant transported through the Ulhas Estuary. Inner and middle estuarine segments, which received a variety of wastes from a large number of industries and urban-areas however exhibited low pH and low DO and high concentrations of nutrients. The sediment from the inner estuary had high burden of selective metals (Cr, Co, Zn, Hg etc). The microbial populations (TVC, TC, FC) were elevated both in water and sediment. Phytopigments were in very high concentrations in the inner segment of the estuary with unusually high phytoplankton cell counts associated with organic pollution. Zooplankton standing stock was high in the estuary than coastal waters. Zooplankton community structure though comparable between coastal and estuarine segments revealed modifications with congregations of the carnivores. Low benthic productivity off Bassein revealed an enhancement at middle and upper segment. The pollution induced high primary production was not adequately supported by secondary grazing cycle but partially supported by pollutant tolerant benthic organisms especially in the inner segments. Such trends were common in the organic polluted coastal system along Maharashtra.

#### iv) Manori

Manori Creek which received domestic waste water was under considerable environmental strain with low and variable pH and DO and high nutrients and probability of active denitrification. The sediment contamination in the creek was limited to Pb and Hg. Creek sustained high bacterial populations (TVC, TC, FC) in water and sediment. Phytoplankton standing stock indicated an enhanced primary production probably due to enrichment of nutrients, however zooplankton and benthic productivities remained above normal and high respectively, suggesting their inducement by primary production - a trend normally noticed in the organic polluted coastal system.

#### v) Versova

Versova Creek which received voluminous domestic wastewater was also under environmental stress with variable DO falling to zero at low tide in some instances. Relatively higher level of  $PO_4^{3^-}$ -P and  $NH_4^+$ -N while low concentration of  $NO_3^-$ -N could be an indication of depleted DO levels occurring in the area. Sediments did not indicate any serious metal contamination except for marginal increase in Cu and Hg concentrations. Bacteria populations were very high both in water and sediment. Biological productivity in terms of phytoplankton and zooplankton was high and macrobenthos was low. The generic/faunal diversity of phytoplankton and zooplankton was in the normal range but group diversity of benthos was low. Organic pollution induced biological production was noticed in the creek segments.

#### vi) Mahim

The impact of wastewater on the water quality of the Mahim Creek was clearly evident. Significant reduction in DO and high levels of PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup>P, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N and PHc observed at creek station indicated the severity of organic pollution. The impact of pollution was however low along the open coast. The sediment contamination was in terms of relative high values of Hg, Cd, Pb and Zn. Bacterial counts were very high both in water and sediment. Standing stock of phytoplankton, zooplankton and benthos were high in the inner creek with normal generic/faunal diversity.

#### vii) Bandra

The water in the vicinity of the Bandra marine outfall had a fairly good water quality. However occasional drop in DO and high  $NH_4^+$ -N indicated some impact of sewage released at this site. The metal levels in sediment were generally in the expected ranges . Bacteria counts were comparatively low both in water and sediment. Phytoplankton and zooplankton standing stock were high and variable with normal diversity. However, benthic biomass and group diversity were poor.

#### viii) Worli

The water quality in the vicinity of the Worli marine outfall was good with high DO, low BOD, normal nutrients and low PHc. The metal content in sediment did not indicate any serious contamination except a marginal increase in Hg. Bacterial population was low. Biological productivity in terms of phytoplankton, zooplankton and benthos were variable but as expected for coastal waters.

#### ix) Thane Creek

Although Thane Creek received large volumes of domestic as well as industrial wastewater, good tidal flushing rendered this creek in a relatively better health than the near by Versova and Mahim creeks. In the land locked inner segment of the creek, the DO levels were tide dependent with values sometimes falling to <1 ml/l during low tide. The increase in concentration of nutrients however occurred in the inner creek. The openshore coastal waters had good water quality and the impact of wastewater releases was only marginal in this zone. Heavy metal content of sediment indicated wide spatial and temporal variability. Part of the creek recorded marginally high content of Pb, Cd, Hg and Zn. Bacteria counts were high both in the creek and open coastal segments. Phytoplankton distribution revealed wide spatial, seasonal and temporal variation with higher values and bloom formations confined to inner segment. Zooplankton and macrobenthic standing stock were high especially in the upper creek which coincided with high primary production during dry periods and the trend was comparable with earlier data. The creek revealed organic pollution induced biological production which may not represent a diverse ecosystem.

#### x) Patalganga Estuary

The water quality was tide-dependant in different segments of the estuary. The pH, DO and nutrients values were highly variable in the inner and the middle segments. Low DO (0.2 ml/l) indicated excess loading of organic matter that lead to high BOD and high nutrients. Heavy metals in sediment varied widely with marked elevations of Cr, Co, Ni, Mn, Zn and Hg. However bacterial counts were relatively low both in water and sediment. Biological standing stock in terms of phytoplankton, zooplankton and macrobenthos varied widely, temporally, spatially as well as seasonally. The estuary sustained high primary productivity associated with organic loading, high standing stock of zooplankton and low benthic production and diversity. The estuary indicated organic pollution induced biological productivity.

#### xi) Amba Estuary

The Amba Estuary was characterized by normal water quality expected for unpolluted environments and the impacts of releases of domestic and industrial effluents appeared to be minor in terms of marginal increase in  $NO_3^-$ -N and  $NH_4^+$ -N than expected. Negative impact of anthropogenic release was not evident on the sediment quality. Bacterial counts were low both in water and sediment. The distribution of phytoplankton, zooplankton and macrobenthos were highly variable with normal generic/faunal diversity. However, organic load induced high primary production during dry period was evident. The high primary production supported high benthic production instead of secondary production suggesting reduction in transfer efficiency of the food chain. The biological production during postmonsoon was better than premonsoon.

#### xii) Thal (RCF, DP)

Though this site received industrial wastewater, the water quality was relatively good with normal seasonal and temporal variation. The metal content generally represented lithogenic concentration. Bacterial counts were low in water and sediment. Standing stock of phytoplankton and zooplankton were generally high with high generic/group diversity. Macrobenthic standing stock was low with low diversity.

#### xiii) Alibaug

The water quality of the region was as expected for a clean nearshore marine environment. However, elevation in nutrients was occasionally noticed. The heavy metal content in sediment represented lithogenic source The bacterial counts in water and sediment were low. The standing stock of phytoplankton, zooplankton and macrobenthos were in the normal ranges with expected faunal/generic diversity.

#### xiv) Kundalika Estuary

Water quality of Kundalika Estuary varied widely with polluted upper and middle segments due to poor flushing. However, coastal water revealed good and comparable water quality in both seasons. The heavy metal contents varied widely with high values at upper segment. Higher concentration of Cd, Hg, and Pb occurred at the effluent disposal site. Bacterial counts were relatively high in the estuary than open coastal system under the influence of sewage. Phytoplankton, zooplankton and macrobenthic standing stocks were high and varied widely with spatial, seasonal and temporal trends. Generic/faunal diversity of these parameters in the estuary did not reveal any significant modifications. The estuary revealed organic enrichment associated biological productivity at different trophic levels.

#### xv) Murud

The coastal water of Murud was relatively free from anthropogenic pollutants and the prevailing water quality represented natural background levels. The sediment sustained relatively high levels of chromium and copper which in absence of significant anthropogenic source appear to be associated with rich basaltic hinterland. Microbiological counts in water and sediment were low. Phytoplankton both in terms of pigments and population indicated good primary productivity with high generic diversity. Zooplankton and benthic standing stock and diversity were variable with normal to high values. In general, the creek sustained better biological production potential as compared to the open coastal waters.

#### xvi) Savitri Estuary

The impact of the wastewater on the estuarine ecology at different segments was clearly evident. Thus the status of water quality in the inner estuary is distinctly different from that of the lower estuary. The environmental deterioration in respect of phosphate, nitrate, nitrite and ammonia was observed at the effluent release site. Metals in sediment varied widely without any discernible trends. Bacterial counts were relatively low in the coastal area but increased in the estuarine segments. Phytoplankton, zooplankton and benthic standing stocks were low with wide spatial, temporal and seasonal variability. Phytoplankton generic counts were high. Zooplankton and benthic faunal diversity was normal with relatively low values at the upper segment of the estuary.

#### xvii) Vashishti estuary

The coastal water quality had not changed appreciably over the years. However, in the estuarine segments wide variation in water quality parameters with spatial, temporal and seasonal trends was evident. Reduction in DO with high nutrients indicated that the estuary occasionally was under stress due to ongoing discharges. The contents of heavy metals like Cr, Mn, Co, Ni, Cu and Zn were higher at the upper segment but further studies are required to identify the source of these metals which is suspected to be anthropogenic. Bacterial counts were high both in the coastal and estuarine segments. Biological productivity indicated spatial, temporal and seasonal variability. The standing stock of phytoplankton and zooplankton was relatively high in the estuary than the open coastal waters suggesting organic pollution induced biological productivity in the estuary. However, benthic standing stock was better at the coastal segment than that of estuary. Diversity of phytoplankton and benthos was comparable between coastal and estuarine segments, but, the zooplankton diversity was reduced in the estuary.

#### xviii) Enron DP

Enron jetty area though received discharges from power plant, the water quality, sediment quality and biological parameters were in normal ranges with expected natural variation for coastal water.

#### xix) Jaigad/Shastri Estuary

The estuary does not receive any discharges of wastewater and sustained normal water quality. Hence, it represented a water body with normal water quality and sediment quality along with expected biological characteristics. However, bacterial counts were high both in water and sediment.

#### xx) Ratnagiri

The coastal water off Ratnagiri was relatively free from anthropogenic fluxes of pollutants and the water quality represented the natural background. However, inner part of Mirya Bay was severely affected by pollution due to very poor flushing and was characterized by low DO, high phosphate, ammonia and phenols. The heavy metal content in sediment was highly variable with high values of Mn, Cr, Fe, Cu and Hg in the immediate nearshore area. Higher populations of bacteria in water and sediment were recorded in the Bay than the coastal waters. Biological standing stock in terms of phytoplankton, zooplankton and macrobenthos were in the normal range and exhibited spatial, temporal and seasonal changes.

#### xxi) Bhatye Creek

In the absence of any known source of anthropogenic effluents, the creek represented the normal water quality with stable pH, low BOD, high DO and nutrients as generally observed in the unpolluted coastal waters. Sediment and biological characteristics were also normal and comparable with the rest of the unpolluted environments of the west coast. However, bacterial counts were high and comparable with the adjacent coastal segments.

#### xxii) Pawas Creek

Overall, in absence of any major anthropogenic release the creek water quality represented unpolluted environment. Bacterial counts were relatively low as compared to that at Ratnagiri. Sediment quality and biological characteristics revealed normal trend and compared well with rest of the coastal segments of south Maharashtra.

#### xxiii) Vijaydurg

In the absence of anthropogenic fluxes, the water quality of Vijaydurg Creek may be considered to represent unpolluted marine environment. Water and sediment qualities were normal with some variability as expected for coastal areas. Bacterial counts were higher than that of adjacent coastal segments. Biological characteristics also indicated normal distribution of phytoplankton, zooplankton and macrobenthos with variable generic/faunal diversity.

#### xxiv) Deogad

As the creek did not receive any industrial and domestic effluents, the water quality represented a least polluted marine environment off Maharashtra. Sediment was free from any contamination. Bacterial counts were relatively low than that of adjacent coastal system. Biological characteristics also exhibited normal standing stock with variable generic/faunal diversity.

#### xxv) Malvan

In the absence of any known anthropogenic source of effluent, Malvan coast represented an unpolluted marine environment. Some influence of fishery generated waste aided by inefficient flushing in the Bay was however a possibility. Metal contents in the creek and the coastal area were comparable and there was no evidence of enrichment of any particular trace metal in sediments, as expected. Phytoplankton, zooplankton and macrobenthic standing stock were high with good diversity as well as spatial and seasonal variability.

#### xxvi) Vengurla

The prevalence of good water quality, sediment quality and biological characteristics in the absence of any known anthropogenic release was as expected. Biologically Vengurla represented a very productive natural coastal ecosystem.

#### xxvii) Redi

Negative impacts due to the ongoing port activities were not evident. The prevailing water quality was good . Sediment was also free from contamination by trace metals, organic carbon P and PHc. However, bacterial counts were high and comparable with those of the adjacent coastal ecosystems. Biological characteristics also revealed normal trend in their distribution with highly productive natural coastal ecosystem.

Overall, it can be concluded that the present study on the ecology assessment of coastal marine system of Maharashtra clearly revealed healthy water & sediment qualities as well as biological productivity in the open coastal waters of the south than north Maharashtra. However, the bays / creeks and estuaries along coastal Maharashtra showed deterioration to various degrees. Inspite of deterioration the coastal system of north indicated relatively high primary production with low diversity as compared to that of south Maharashtra. However, the zooplankton standing stock showed mixed trend with better diversity in the south than north coastal segments. In general, benthic standing stock and diversity were more in the south than north. The above trends clearly indicated organic load induced biological productivity in the coastal system of Maharashtra. The major source of organic input to the coastal system is through the coastal wetland and end product of biological production apart of anthropogenic fluxes. In this context, the coastal system of north Maharashtra receives more organic load through anthropogenic origin as compared to southern segments. Whereas, the southern coast receives higher organic input through the vast coastal wetland ecosystem than that of north where it is getting reduced due to rapid urbanization and industrialization. Hence, the high biological productivity of the southern coast is mainly attributed to more of natural origin of carbon input to the coastal system, while in the north it was induced by anthropogenic fluxes.

As a result, the high organic load induced primary production which is less likely to support expected level of zooplankton and benthic standing stocks, although in some cases, they are high with reduced diversity and dominance of opportunistic species like carnivores which indicates certain imbalance in the food chain accounting for less transfer of tropic efficiency in the marine food chain. Such ecosystem in polluted areas may likely to represent a modified ecosystem rather than normal as noticed at many places along north Maharashtra than south. In contrast, in the unpolluted areas, the high primary productivity is adequately supported by a balanced and healthy secondary and benthic production with high diversity as noticed in many places along south Maharashtra. However, a detailed study on the carbon and nutrient fluxes to the coastal system is essential for a better understanding of the behavior of coastal ecosystem of Maharashtra.

#### 10 Recommendations

The recommendations given in this report are based on the two sets of studies with respect to water quality, sediment quality and biological parameters conducted at 27 transects along the Maharashtra coast, information made available by MPCB, data-base at NIO and available published literature. Though these water bodies of Konkan region vary considerably in morphology and environmental setting, they have certain common features. Typically (a) the creeks/estuaries are shallow with wide mouth and tidal ingress is substantial in the outer segment but decreases considerably in the inner segment, (b) riverine fresh water discharge into the creek/estuary that is high during July-September decreases considerably over the dry season and becomes insignificant after about December, and (c) presence of prominent sand bars in the mouth zone hinders the out flow of water particularly during springs low tides. These characteristics of the

creek/estuaries have considerable bearing on their flushing behaviour. The general findings/recommendations based on their characteristics are discussed in the previous section (Section 9) and general recommendations given below need to be implemented urgently

- (i) Effluent releases to inner creek/estuarine zone should be discouraged
- (ii) For existing effluent discharges
  - Detailed site specific survey for assimilative capacity for the receiving water body should be conducted
  - The effluent release site should be shifted down stream or additional treatment should be provided to the effluent based on model studies.
- (iii) Effluent releases may be permitted in the lower estuary only after asserting its assimilative capacity.

• More recommendations will be finalized after forthcoming deliberations between NIO Scientists, stake holders and officers of MPCB.

















# MONITORING OF COASTAL MARINE AND ESTUARINE ECOLOGY OF MAHARASHTRA: PHASE I

Part-B (Data sheet)

Individual parameterwise/stationwise data of all transects are given in this section. One set of total tables for Dabhol/Vashishti estuary given here as a representative data sheet.

Parameter	Level		VS1			VS2			VS3			VS4			VS5			VS6			VS7	
		Min	Max	Av	Min	Max	Av	Min	Max	Av	Min	Max	Av	Min	Max	Av	Min	Max	Av	Min	Max	Av
Temperature	S	28.3	28.5	28.4	28.8	28.9	28.9	28.8	28.8	28.8	27.0	30.2	28.8	29.2	29.5	29.4	26.3	30.2	28.6	25.8	25.8	25.8
(°C)	В	28.3	28.5	28.4	28.3	28.4	28.4	28.5	28.5	28.5	27.0	29.3	28.3	29.2	29.8	29.5	28.3	30.0	29.2	25.2	25.2	25.2
		(29.5)	(29.5)	(29.5)	(28.0)	(28.0)	(28.0)	(29.5)	(29.5)	(29.5)	(24.5)	(31.5)	(30.0)	(28.8)	(29.0)	(28.9)	(24.0)	(36.3)	(30.7)	(25.0)	(25.0)	(25.0)
pН	S	7.9	7.9	7.9	8.0	8.0	8.0	7.9	7.9	7.9	7.6	8.0	7.8	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.1	7.3	7.2	7.0	7.1	7.1
	В	8.0	8.1	8.1	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	7.6	8.1	7.9	7.6	7.7	7.7	7.0	7.1	7.1	7.2	7.2	7.2
SS (mg/l)	S	-	-	22*	-	-	40*	-	-	34*	26	28	27	-	-	14*	8	12	10	-	-	8*
	В	-	-	50*	-	-	70*	-	-	60*	44	64	54	-	-	18*	10	16	13	-	-	56*
Salinity (ppt)	S	35.4	35.4	35.4	31.1	31.1	31.1	29.9	30.1	30.0	18.6	32.7	23.4	1.8	1.8	1.8	0.7	12.5	3.1	0.2	0.3	0.3
	В	35.4	35.6	35.5	35.3	35.4	35.4	35.3	35.3	35.3	27.6	34.7	32.8	1.7	1.8	1.8	6.1	16.3	12.1	0.2	0.2	0.2
DO (ml/l)	S	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	5.1	4.6	4.1	4.1	4.1	3.4	5.3	4.6	5.3	5.3	5.3
	В	4.4	4.7	4.6	4.4	4.7	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.7	4.4	3.9	4.1	4.0	1.1	3.9	2.6	5.3	5.5	5.4
BOD (mg/l)	S	-	-	2.5*	-	-	2.8*	-	-	2.8*	1.9	3.4	2.7	-	-	5.2*	3.7	5.4	4.6	-	-	7.5*
	В	-	-	3.5*	-	-	2.4*	-	-	1.4*	1.8	2.4	2.1	-	-	3.0*	3.8	5.8	4.8	-	-	5.4*
PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>3-</sup> -P	S	1.2	1.5	1.4	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.6	2.5	2.0	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.1	1.2	0.4	ND	0.1	0.1
(µmol/l)	В	1.7	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.9	1.9	1.8	2.0	1.9	1.3	2.5	1.7	0.8	0.9	0.9	1.5	11.4	4.0	0.1	0.2	0.2
NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N	S	4.4	5.0	4.7	4.4	6.8	5.6	7.2	6.2	6.7	7.4	16.1	11.3	17.0	23.0	20.0	4.9	32.5	20.4	1.2	1.3	1.3
(µmol/l)	В	5.1	5.3	5.2	4.7	5.3	5.0	3.5	4.2	3.9	4.8	10.0	7.6	14.5	16.1	15.3	1.3	18.9	13.8	1.1	2.7	1.9
NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N	S	1.9	2.0	2.0	5.0	5.8	5.4	7.0	7.2	7.1	3.7	18.9	13.1	18.5	20.2	19.4	0.7	6.2	3.1	0.1	0.2	0.2
(µmol/l)	В	1.2	1.6	1.4	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.8	2.6	2.2	2.4	8.9	4.0	8.3	11.8	10.1	1.2	20.4	13.3	0.1	0.2	0.2
NH4 <sup>+</sup> -N	S	0.9	1.1	1.0	0.6	0.8	0.7	0.4	1.3	0.9	0.1	2.4	0.8	1.5	2.0	1.8	2.1	15.5	6.1	4.6	8.4	6.5
(µmol/l)	В	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.5	2.4	1.5	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.2	1.0	0.6	0.3	0.5	0.4	7.3	38.7	21.7	0.7	2.3	1.5
PHc (µg/l)	1 m	-	-	8*	-	-	11*	-	-	5*	17	17	17	-	-	9*	14	22	18	-	-	3*
Phenol (µg/l)	S	-	-	12*	-	-	36*	-	-	15*	15	34	25	-	-	8*	2	5	3	-	-	ND
PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>3-</sup> -P ( $\mu$ mol/I) NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N ( $\mu$ mol/I) NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N ( $\mu$ mol/I) NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> -N ( $\mu$ mol/I) PHc ( $\mu$ g/I) Phenol ( $\mu$ g/I)	S B S B S B S B 1 m S	1.2         1.7         4.4         5.1         1.9         1.2         0.9         0.7         -	1.5         1.8         5.0         5.3         2.0         1.6         1.1         0.7         -	1.4           1.8           4.7           5.2           2.0           1.4           1.0           0.7           8*           12*	1.5         1.8         4.4         4.7         5.0         1.7         0.6         0.5         -	1.6         1.9         6.8         5.3         5.8         1.7         0.8         2.4         -	1.6           1.9           5.6           5.0           5.4           1.7           0.7           1.5           11*           36*	1.4         1.8         7.2         3.5         7.0         1.8         0.4         0.8         -	1.4 2.0 6.2 4.2 7.2 2.6 1.3 0.9 - -	1.4           1.9           6.7           3.9           7.1           2.2           0.9           0.9           5*           15*	1.6           1.3           7.4           4.8           3.7           2.4           0.1           0.2           17           15	2.5 2.5 16.1 10.0 18.9 2.4 1.0 17 34	2.0 1.7 11.3 7.6 13.1 4.0 0.8 0.6 17 25	0.5 0.8 17.0 14.5 18.5 8.3 1.5 0.3 - -	0.6 0.9 23.0 16.1 20.2 11.8 2.0 0.5 - -	0.6 0.9 20.0 15.3 19.4 10.1 1.8 0.4 9* 8*	0.1 1.5 4.9 1.3 0.7 1.2 2.1 7.3 14 2	1.2           11.4           32.5           18.9           6.2           20.4           15.5           38.7           22           5	0.4 4.0 20.4 13.8 3.1 13.3 6.1 21.7 18 3	ND 0.1 1.2 1.1 0.1 0.1 4.6 0.7 -	0.1 0.2 1.3 2.7 0.2 0.2 8.4 2.3 - -	0.1 0.2 1.3 1.9 0.2 0.2 6.5 1.5 3* ND

Table 4.17.1: Water quality off Vashishti Estuary during March 2007

\*Single Value Air temperature given in parenthesis

Parameter	Level		VS1			VS2			VS3			VS4			VS5			VS6			VS7	
		Min	Max	Av																		
Temperature	S	27.0	27.5	27.3	27.2	27.5	27.4	27.0	27.0	27.0	24.8	27.5	26.5	27.0	27.4	27.2	25.0	27.0	26.3	25.0	25.0	25.0
(22)	В	26.5	27.0	26.8	26.5	26.7	26.6	26.5	26.5	26.5	25.2	26.9	26.3	26.9	27.5	27.2	25.5	27.0	26.4	26.0	26.0	26.0
		(27.5)	(27.5)	(27.5)	(27.0)	(27.0)	(27.0)	(27.0)	(27.0)	(27.0)	(21.2)	(30.5)	(25.4)	(28.5)	(28.5)	(28.5)	(18.5)	(33.0)	(28.2)	(23.5)	(23.5)	(23.5)
pН	S	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	7.9	7.9	7.9	8.0	8.0	8.0	7.2	7.4	7.3	7.2	7.2	7.2
	В	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	7.9	7.9	7.9	8.0	8.0	8.0	7.4	7.6	7.5	7.2	7.2	7.2
SS (mg/l)	S	-	-	22*	-	-	20*	-	-	20*	18	28	23	-	-	20*	6	12	9	-	-	6*
	В	-	-	20*	-	-	22*	-	-	32*	24	32	28	-	-	16*	8	18	13	-	-	8*
Salinity (ppt)	S	34.5	34.6	34.6	34.3	34.5	34.4	34.3	34.3	34.3	27.8	33.2	30.8	28.9	28.9	28.9	5.3	13.6	9.7	7.7	7.7	7.7
	В	34.5	34.5	34.5	34.3	34.3	34.3	33.9	34.3	34.1	29.5	33.4	31.9	29.8	30.2	30.0	9.3	16.6	12.9	12.8	13.2	13.0
DO (ml/l)	S	4.7	4.9	4.8	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.7	4.6	2.7	4.9	4.1	4.0	4.2	4.1	3.1	4.9	4.2	3.5	4.2	3.9
	В	4.7	4.9	4.8	4.5	4.5	4.5	3.8	4.0	3.9	3.8	4.6	4.1	4.0	4.2	4.1	3.3	5.8	4.1	2.9	3.1	3.0
BOD (mg/l)	S	-	-	4.1*	-	-	3.1*	-	-	3.1*	1.2	1.2	1.2	-	-	1.8*	2.5	5.6	4.0	-	-	1.7*
	В	-	-	2.8*	-	-	2.2*	-	-	1.8*	0.9	1.8	1.4	-	-	2.1*	0.5	1.8	1.2	-	-	0.9*
PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>3-</sup> -P	S	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.3	0.6	0.5	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.2	1.4	0.8	0.3	0.5	0.4
(µmol/I)	В	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6	1.0	1.4	1.2	0.6	0.9	0.8	1.0	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.7	1.4	1.8	1.9	1.9
NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N	S	0.9	1.1	1.0	1.3	1.9	1.6	4.1	4.6	4.4	5.0	9.1	7.1	6.0	9.7	7.9	4.3	10.9	7.6	5.2	9.3	7.3
(µmol/I)	В	0.6	1.0	0.8	1.8	2.5	2.2	5.2	5.8	5.5	5.5	8.8	7.2	4.7	5.3	5.0	9.3	9.5	9.4	7.3	8.0	7.8
NO <sub>2</sub> -N	S	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.7	1.1	0.9	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.1	4.4	3.3	3.6	4.4	4.0	1.7	3.9	3.1	2.5	2.6	2.6
(µmol/I)	В	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.9	0.9	0.9	2.1	2.4	2.3	2.1	3.5	2.9	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.4	4.8	3.8	3.7	4.1	3.9
NH4 <sup>+</sup> -N	S	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.6	0.5	0.2	3.1	0.7	0.3	0.7	0.5	2.3	24.4	13.1	7.8	7.9	7.9
(µmol/I)	В	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.1	0.7	0.4	6.1	24.5	12.3	22.5	23.4	23.0

Table 4.17.2: Water quality off Vashishti Estuary during December 2007

\*Single Value Air temperature given in parenthesis

Station	Sand	Silt	Clay	AI	Cr	Mn	Fe	Со	Ni	Cu	Zn	Cd	Hg	Pb	Corg	Р	PHc
Code	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(µg/g)	(µg/g)	(%)	(µg/g)	(%)	(µg/g)	(µg/g)						
VS1	10.4	81.4	8.2	8.4	142	590	9.7	31	94	114	56	0.12	0.06	12.3	2.5	1433	0.6
VS2	0.4	89.8	9.8	8.3	155	639	9.6	32	93	113	68	0.33	0.04	10.1	2.6	592	0.4
VS3	1.7	90.9	7.4	8.4	134	662	9.6	34	95	125	60	0.15	0.05	9.7	2.6	85	2.6
VS4	87.4	8.8	3.8	6.3	348	2395	23.3	130	161	417	248	0.15	0.008	6.3	0.3	122	0.3
VS5	11.1	54.9	34.0	9.4	186	1097	14.2	63	120	224	102	0.25	0.1	3.2	1.5	106	1.6
VS6	92.4	7.6	0	8.0	318	1662	19.6	87	144	319	187	0.23	0.02	4.4	0.3	355	0.3
VS7	87.2	10.2	2.6	7.3	336	1704	20.0	88	146	303	226	0.05	0.02	3.7	0.1	235	0.4

Table 4.17.3: Selected metals and P (μg/g, except Al, Fe, C<sub>org</sub>, in (%), dry wt) in subtidal sediment off Vashishti Estuary during March 2007

\*Dry wt basis except PHc which is in wet wt.

Table 4.17.4: Selected metals and P (µg	/g, except Al, Fe, C <sub>c</sub>	<sub>org</sub> , in (%), dry wt)	in subtidal sediment o	off Vashishti Estuary	<sup>'</sup> during
December 2007		-			

Station	Sand	Silt	Clay	AI	Cr	Mn	Fe	Со	Ni	Cu	Zn	Cd	Hg	Pb	Corg	Р	PHc
Code	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(µg/g)	(µg/g)	(%)	(µg/g)	(%)	(µg/g)	(µg/g)						
VS1	69.6	24.2	6.2	8.4	163	692	7.1	47	97	106	75	0.13	0.02	10.8	2.4	1757	0.1
VS2	24.4	70.2	5.4	8.8	156	805	8.6	49	102	132	85	0.23	0.01	9.9	2.2	1699	0.2
VS3	58.8	34.0	7.2	8.0	196	1651	14.0	78	128	256	162	0.18	ND	9.1	2.2	908	4.8
VS4	96.8	2.0	1.2	5.9	270	2796	20.6	119	175	436	317	0.17	0.01	7.0	0.5	849	0.3
VS5	21.5	72.5	6.0	9.4	169	1386	12.2	79	125	263	131	0.24	0.04	9.0	2.5	1090	0.5
VS6	29.7	61.1	9.2	9.6	159	1317	12.0	78	28	290	136	0.22	0.07	9.2	3.8	1333	4.0
VS7	97.0	2.8	0.2	9.0	208	1371	13.3	76	119	190	113	0.18	0.01	5.0	0.6	1308	0.3

\*Dry wt basis except PHc which is in wet wt

Type of		Pr	emonsoon				P	ostmonsoc	on	
Bacteria	VS1	VS2	VS3	VS4		VS1	VS2	VS3	V	54
				Eb	FI				Eb	FI
TVC	4.2x10 <sup>3</sup>	6.6x10 <sup>3</sup>	16x10 <sup>3</sup>	9.9x10 <sup>3</sup>	5.9x10 <sup>3</sup>	6x10 <sup>3</sup>	4.5x10 <sup>3</sup>	9.8x10 <sup>3</sup>	9.4x10 <sup>3</sup>	6.5x10 <sup>3</sup>
TC	466	826	1142	938	582	810	682	1218	920	615
FC	460	286	722	304	196	316	92	776	430	454
ECLO	312	416	642	372	112	188	108	916	575	220
SHLO	ND	ND	ND	104	ND	10	12	16	95	70
SLO	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
PKLO	ND	ND	12	ND	ND	13	26	ND	ND	0
VLO	736	ND	246	186	60	26	24	72	425	380
VPLO	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	12	14	ND	30	ND
VCLO	736	ND	246	186	60	14	10	72	395	380
PALO	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	14
SFLO	148	16	212	ND	192	18	ND	ND	ND	ND

Table 4.17.5: Microbial counts (no/ml) in water off Vashishti Estuary during 2007

Table of		Prem	onsoon			Postm	nonsoon	
Bacteria	VS5	V	S6	VS7	VS5	VS	36	VS7
		Eb	FI			Eb	FI	
TVC	8x10 <sup>3</sup>	4.7x10 <sup>3</sup>	1.6x10 <sup>3</sup>	2.1x10 <sup>3</sup>	7.2x10 <sup>3</sup>	13.8x10 <sup>3</sup>	7.8x10 <sup>3</sup>	1.6x10 <sup>3</sup>
TC	990	518	141	348	850	2250	860	176
FC	662	162	38	112	464	1010	366	92
ECLO	300	210	61	24	384	1240	420	78
SHLO	ND	ND	26	ND	510	420	225	540
SLO	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
PKLO	Ν	ND	ND	ND	ND	160	385	10
VLO	632	28	16	ND	524	716	82	375
VPLO	632	ND	ND	ND	490	690	70	365
VCLO	ND	28	16	ND	34	26	12	10
PALO	ND	22	ND	ND	145	360	310	860
SFLO	106	116	6	ND	ND	ND	15	2195

ND – Below Detectable Level

Type of		Premo	nsoon		Postmonsoon			
Bacteria	VS1	VS2	VS3	VS4	VS1	VS2	VS3	VS4
TVC	12.5x10 <sup>3</sup>	13x10 <sup>3</sup>	18.8x10 <sup>3</sup>	30x10 <sup>3</sup>	5.1x10 <sup>3</sup>	8x10 <sup>3</sup>	13.6x10 <sup>3</sup>	23.9x10 <sup>3</sup>
TC	2312	2672	7320	17828	736	520	5812	15200
FC	1320	1748	5210	8500	508	112	1956	7700
ECLO	1068	886	2100	1526	244	236	3800	7100
SHLO	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
SLO	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
PKLO	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
VLO	1010	ND	2176	3488	ND	50	1236	1620
VPLO	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	28	ND	ND
VCLO	1010	ND	2176	3488	ND	22	1236	1620
PALO	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
SFLO	288	276	310	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

Table 4.17.6: Microbial counts (no/g; dry wt) in sediment off Vashishti Estuary during 2007

ND – Below Detectable Level

Type of		Premonsoon		Postmonsoon			
Bacteria	VS5	VS6	VS7	VS5	VS6	VS7	
TVC	19.4x10 <sup>3</sup>	24.2x10 <sup>3</sup>	12.6x10 <sup>3</sup>	17.6x10 <sup>3</sup>	22.7x10 <sup>3</sup>	9.4x10 <sup>3</sup>	
TC	6730	14342	4818	5970	10192	4280	
FC	4140	6926	1024	3140	6410	2300	
ECLO	2560	7300	3628	2800	3700	1854	
SHLO	ND	ND	ND	ND	175	ND	
SLO	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
PKLO	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
VLO	ND	1016	ND	ND	792	ND	
VPLO	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
VCLO	ND	1016	ND	ND	792	ND	
PALO	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
SFLO	38	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	

Table 4.17.6 (Contd 2)

ND – Below Detectable Level

Station	Chlorophyll a		Phaeo	ohytin	Ratio of Chl a		
(Date)	(mg/	′m³)	(mg/	'm³)	to Phaeo		
	S	В	S	В	S	В	
VS1	0.2-0.2	0.2-0.4	1.9-3.4	0.5-5.3	0.1-0.1	0.1-0.4	
(26.03.07)	(0.2)	(0.3)	(2.7)	(2.9)	(0.1)	(0.3)	
VS2	0.2-0.4	0.2-0.4	0.5-1.9	1.7-6.2	0.1-0.8	0.1-0.1	
(26.03.07)	(0.3)	(0.3)	(1.2)	(4.0)	(0.5)	(0.1)	
VS3	0.4-0.4	0.2-0.2	0.5-09	2.9-3.5	0.4-0.8	0.1-0.1	
(26.03.07)	(0.4)	(0.2)	(0.7)	(3.2)	(0.6)	(0.1)	
VS4	0.2-0.6	0.2-0.4	0.3-6.7	0.5-4.7	0.1-1.3	0.1-0.4	
(26.03.07)	(0.4)	(0.2)	(3.6)	(2.4)	(0.3)	(0.2)	
VS5	1.8-4.9	1.7-2.8	0.2-1.9	1.1-1.3	0.9-24.5	1.3-2.5	
(27.03.07)	(3.4)	(2.3)	(1.1)	(1.2)	(12.7)	(1.9)	
VS6	0.4-2.3	0.2-1.1	0.2-12.4	0.8-5.3	0.1-4.6	0.1-1.1	
(28.03.07)	(1.2)	(0.8)	(5.8)	(3.1)	(0.8)	(0.4)	
VS7	0.2-0.6	0.2-0.4	1.4-8.0	3.4-6.7	0.1-0.1	0.1-0.1	
(28.03.07)	(0.4)	(0.3)	(4.7)	(5.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	

Table 4.17.7: Range and average (parenthesis) of phytopigments off Vashishti Estuary during March 2007

Table 4.17.8: Range and average (parenthesis) of phytopigments off Vashishti Estuary during December 2007

Station	Chlorophyll a		Phaeo	phytin	Ratio of Chl a		
(Date)	(mg/	/m³)	(mg	/m³)	to Phaeo		
	S	В	S	В	S	B	
VS1	2.2-2.2	2.1-2.1	0.1-0.3	0.1-0.14	3.7-20.1	34.5-42.4	
(10.12.07)	(2.2)	(2.1)	(0.2)	(0.1)	(14.4)	(38.5)	
VS2	2.0-2.0	2.0-2.0	0.3-0.7	0.2-0.6	2.9-8.0	3.2-13.3	
(10.12.07)	(2.0)	(2.0)	(0.5)	(0.4)	(5.5)	(8.3)	
VS3	1.2-2.3	1.0-1.2	0.1-0.6	0.5-0.6	3.7-23.4	1.8-2.3	
(10.12.07)	(1.8)	(1.1)	(0.4)	(0.6)	(13.6)	(2.1)	
VS4	1.5-1.8	1.4-1.8	0.1-0.5	0.1-0.7	3.1-13.5	2.4-28.8	
(09.12.07)	(1.7)	(1.6)	(0.2)	(0.4)	(9.4)	(7.4)	
VS5	1.8-1.9	1.7-1.8	0.3-0.2	0.2-0.4	0.9-5.3	5.0-9.5	
(08.12.07)	(1.9)	(1.8)	(1.2)	(0.3)	(3.1)	(7.3)	
VS6	2.2-15.3	1.4-20.3	0.1-4.7	0.3-5.0	3.2-44.0	0.5-6.7	
(07.12.07)	(4.8)	(4.7)	(1.1)	(1.7)	(15.3)	(3.7)	
VS7	3.9-5.6	3.1-3.3	0.3-0.5	1.4-2.4	7.2-18.5	1.4-2.3	
(07.12.07)	(4.8)	(3.2)	(0.4)	(1.9)	(12.9)	(1.9)	

Station (Date)	Cell ( (nox	count 10 <sup>3</sup> /l)	Total genera (no)		Major genera		
	S	B	S	В	S	В	
VS1	24.8*	42.4*	14*	17*	Pleurosigma	Thalassionema	
(26.03.07)					Navicula	Pleurosigma	
					Thalassiosira	Navicula	
					Leptocylindrus	Thalassiosira	
VS2	46.4*	32.0*	16*	15*	Guinardia	Guinardia	
(26.03.07)					Thalassiosira	Thalassiosira	
					Skeletonema	Skeletonema	
					Pleurosigma	Navicula	
VS3	40.0*	31.2*	18*	15*	Thalassiosira	Thalassionema	
(26.03.07)					Thalassionema	Thalassiosira	
					Ditylium	Guinardia	
					Guinardia	Navicula	
VS4	25.6-40.8	23.0-24.8	14-16	13-15	Ditylium	Thalassiosira	
(26.03.07)	(33.2)	(23.9)	(15)	(14)	Guinardia	Thalassionema	
					Thalassiosira	Ditylium	
					Navicula	Guinardia	
VS5	153.6*	104.0*	20*	22*	Skeletonema	Skeletonema	
(27.03.07)					Anabaena	Thalassionema	
					Guinardia	Thalassiosira	
					Thalassiosira	Chaetoceros	
VS6	61.6-99.2	68.8-96.8	16-21	13-17	Skeletonema	Skeletonema	
(28.03.07)	(80.4)	(82.8)	(19)	(15)	Peridinium	Bacteriastrum	
					Bacteriastrum	Thalassiosira	
					Thalassiosira	Peridinium	
VS7	53.6*	47.2*	21*	19*	Leptocylindrus	Leptocylindrus	
(28.03.07)					Skeletonema	Chaetoceros	
					Navicula	Skeletonema	
					Chaetoceros	Thalassiosira	

Table 4.17.9: Range and average of phytoplankton population off Vashishti Estuary during March 2007

\* Single Value

Station (Date)	Cell c (nox*	ount 10 <sup>3</sup> /I)	Total genera (no)		Major	genera
	S	В	S	В	S	В
VS1	62.4*	56.0*	20*	20*	Thalassiosira	Thalassiosira
(10.12.07)					Thalassionema	Leptocylindrus
					Leptocylindrus	Thalassionema
					Skeletonema	Skeletonema
VS2	52.8*	52.0*	20*	19*	Thalassiosira	Skeletonema
(10.12.07)					Leptocylindrus	Chaetoceros
					Guinardia	Leptocylindrus
					Skeletonema	Thalassiosira
VS3	51.2*	38.4*	18*	17*	Thalassiosira	Leptocylindrus
(10.12.07)					Guinardia	Guinardia
					Thalassionema	Thalassiosira
					Rhizosolenia	Rhizosolenia
VS4	32.8-37.6	30.4-36.8	15-18	16-18	Navicula	Guinardia
(09.12.07)	(35.2)	(33.6)	(17)	(17)	Thalassiosira	Thalassiosira
					Guinardia	Navicula
					Thalassionema	Thalassionema
VS5	44.0*	36.0*	14*	19*	Bacteriastrum	Skeletonema
(08.12.07)					Nitzschia	Guinardia
					Thalassiosira	Thalassiosira
					Guinardia	Bacteriastrum
VS6	68.0-162.4	61.6-105.6	10-14	11-13	Skeletonema	Skeletonema
(07.12.07)	(115.2)	(83.6)	(12)	(12)	Peridinium	Biddulphia
					Bacteriastrum	Leptocylindrus
					Guinardia	Peridinium
VS7	158.4*	87.2*	14*	12*	Skeletonema	Skeletonema
(07.12.07)					Peridinium	Navicula
					Cyclotella	Thalassiosira
					Thalassiosira	Peridinium

# Table 4.17.10: Range and average of phytoplankton population off VashishtiEstuary during December 2007

\* Single Value

Algal genera				Sta	tion			
	VS1	VS2	VS3	VS4	VS5	VS6	VS7	VS8
Amphiprora	2.4	-	-	-	-	0.2	1.6	-
Anabaena	-	-	-	-	14.0	0.2	-	-
Bacteriastrum	-	-	1.1	3.5	4.7	17.9	1.6	1.1
Biddulphia	8.3	-	2.2	1.4	0.6	0.7	4.8	3.4
Campyloneis	-	-	-	-	0.3	-	-	-
Ceratium	2.4	-	-	-	-	0.2	1.6	2.2
Chaetoceros	1.2	4.1	-	2.8	3.4	-	9.5	-
Corethron	-	-	1.1	0.7	0.3	-	-	-
Coscinodiscus	4.8	3.1	2.2	2.8	0.6	1.0	1.6	2.2
Cyclotella	2.4	2.0	2.2	2.1	0.9	2.9	1.6	-
Cymbella	-	-	-	-	0.3	-	-	-
Diploneis	-	-	1.1	1.4	0.3	-	-	2.2
Ditylium	8.3	2.0	12.4	16.8	3.7	0.7	-	1.1
Dityocha	-	-	2.2	0.7	-	-	-	-
Eucampia	-	2.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fragilaria	-	-	-	-	0.3	-	-	-
Guinardia	-	24.7	10.1	11.9	14.9	1.5	-	5.6
Gyrosigma	2.4	2.0	1.1	2.1	0.6	1.2	2.4	2.2
Leptocylindrus	6.0	3.1	-	3.5	0.3	0.7	21.4	1.1
Navicula	13.1	6.1	11.2	8.4	1.2	1.2	8.7	16.9
Nitzschia	2.4	2.0	2.2	4.9	0.9	2.5	3.2	4.5
Oscillatoria	1.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Peridinium	1.2	-	-	0.7	1.2	5.6	7.1	2.2
Pharmidium	-	-	-	0.7	-	5.6	-	-
Pinnularia	-	-	-	-	0.3	0.2	-	-
Pleurosigma	17.7	7.1	5.6	4.2	0.3	0.7	0.8	4.5
Prorocentrum	-	-	1.1	-	-	-	1.6	-
Rhizosolenia	-	4.1	3.4	-	-	0.2	3.2	-
Staurastrum	-	-	-	-	-	0.2	6.3	-
Skeletonema	-	10.2	-	4.2	32.6	38.1	11.1	-
Streptotheca	-	-	1.1	-	0.3	-	-	-
Surirella	2.4	2.0	2.2	2.1	-	0.2	1.6	3.4
Thalassionema	10.7	6.1	19.5	7.7	7.5	0.7	0.8	18.0
Thalassiosira	10.7	19.4	16.9	16.7	9.0	14.2	7.9	27.0
Thalassiothrix	2.4	-	1.1	0.7	1.2	2.5	-	2.2
Triceratium	-	-	-	-	-	0.2	-	-
Trichodesmium	-	-	-	-	-	0.2	-	-
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

Table 4.17.11: Phytoplankton composition (%) off Vashishti Estuary during March 2007

Algal genera				Station	1		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Amphiprora	-	-	0.9	-	-	-	-
Amphora	0.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
Asterionella	-	3.8	-	-	-	-	-
Bacteriastrum	1.4	1.5	8.0	3.5	41.0	2.2	0.3
Biddulphia	1.4	1.5	1.8	1.7	1.0	2.0	-
Ceratium	0.7	0.8	1.8	-	-	-	-
Ceratoulina	1.4	0.8	12.8	1.2	-	-	-
Chaetoceros	3.4	12.2	-	5.8	2.0	-	-
Coscinodiscus	0.7	-	-	1.7	2.0	0.6	0.7
Cyclotella	0.7	0.8	2.7	2.3	2.0	0.8	1.0
Cymbella	-	-	-	-	-	0.2	0.3
Dinophysis	-	-	-	-	2.0	-	-
Diploneis	-	0.8	1.8	0.6	-	-	-
Ditylium	-	0.8	-	-	1.0	0.2	-
Dityocha	-	-	1.8	0.6	-	-	-
Guinardia	4.7	10.7	25.4	14.0	7.0	2.0	-
Gyrosigma	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.7
Hemiaulus	2.0	-	-	2.3	1.0	-	-
Leptocylindrus	14.	12.2	12.0	5.8	1.0	-	-
Melosira	-	1.5	-	-	1.0	0.2	-
Navicula	4.7	5.3	5.4	15.0	2.0	0.6	1.3
Nitzschia	1.4	2.3	2.7	1.7	7.0	0.4	0.7
Oscillatoria	0.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
Peridinium	4.7	3.8	2.7	3.5	2.0	5.4	2.6
Pinnularia	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.3
Planktoniella	1.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pleurosigma	2.0	2.3	1.8	1.7	1.0	0.6	0.3
Prorocentrum	1.4	0.8	0.9	1.2	1.0	-	-
Rhizosolenia	7.4	4.6	8.9	4.1	2.0	-	-
Skeletonema	8.1	14.4	-	1.2	13.0	81.8	88.7
Streptotheca	-	0.8	-	0.6	-	-	-
Surirella	1.4	2.3	0.9	2.3	-	-	-
Synedra	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.7
Thalassionema	14.	3.8	8.0	8.7	4.0	0.4	0.7
Thalassiosira	21.	12.2	9.8	15.3	6.0	0.8	1.0
Thalassiothrix	-	-	0.9	5.2	1.0	0.8	0.7
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

## Table 4.17.12: Phytoplankton composition (%) off Vashishti Estuary during December 2007

Station (Date)	Biomass (ml/100m <sup>3</sup> )	Population (nox10 <sup>3</sup> /100m <sup>3</sup> )	Total groups	Major group (%)
			(no)	
VS1 (26.03.07)	0.8-1.0 (0.9)	11.4-18.5 (15.0)	11-13 (12)	Copepods (77.9), decapod larvae (10.2), <i>Lucifer</i> sp (4.8), fish eggs (3.6), fish larvae (1.3), chaetognaths (1.2), stomatopods (0.5), lamellibranchs (0.2), gastropods (0.2), others (0.1)
VS2 (26.03.07)	1.0-2.5 (1.8)	33.3-74.2 (53.7)	11-11 (11)	Copepods (96.4), fish eggs (1.4), decapod larvae (1.1), <i>Lucifer</i> sp (0.8), lamellibranchs (0.1), fish larvae (0.1), others (0.1)
VS3 (26.03.07)	1.2-4.3 (2.8)	73.3-192.5 (132.9)	10-11 (11)	Copepods (97.5), <i>Lucifer</i> sp (0.9), decapod larvae (0.8), lamellibranchs (0.5), appendicularians (0.2), others (0.1)
VS4 (26.03.07)	0.8-3.6 (1.7)	2.8-61.2 (25.3)	10-14 (12)	Copepods (78.3), lamellibranchs (12.7), decapod larvae (5.3), <i>Lucifer</i> sp (1.8), gastropods (1.2), fish larvae (0.4), fish eggs (0.1), siphonophores (0.1), others (0.1)
VS5 (27.03.07)	0.5-0.5 (0.5)	7.4-14.6 (11.0)	9-9 (9)	Copepods (90.9), lamellibranchs (5.9), decapod larvae (2.7), <i>Lucifer</i> sp (0.2), fish larvae (0.1), gastropods (0.1), others (0.1)

Table 4.17.13: Range and average (parenthesis) of zooplankton off Vashishti Estuary during March 2007

Table 4.17.13 (Contd 2)

Station (Date)	Biomass (ml/100m³)	Population (no x 10³/100m³)	Total groups (no)	Major group (%)
VS6 (28.03.07)	0.1-0.7 (0.4)	0.1-2.0 (1.0)	5-9 (07)	Copepods (88.2), gastropods (6.7), decapod larvae (1.8), lamellibranchs (1.6), fish larvae (0.5), <i>Lucifer</i> sp (0.3), amphipods (0.2), cladocera (0.1), marine insects (0.1), polychaetes (0.1), fish eggs (0.1), others (0.3)
VS7 (27.03.07)	0.1-0.3 (0.2)	0.1-0.3 (0.2)	8-8 (8)	Copepods (80.4), lamellibranchs (6.7), cladocera (4.6), decapod larvae (3.6), gastropods (2.1), amphipods (0.8), fish eggs (0.8), fish larvae (0.5), foraminiferans (0.5), others (0.0)

Station (Date)	Biomass (ml/100m <sup>3</sup> )	Population (nox10 <sup>3</sup> /100m <sup>3</sup> )	Total groups (no)	Major group (%)
VS1 (10.12.07)	1.8-1.9 (1.9)	5.6-12.4 (9.0)	13-14 (14)	Copepods (85.2), decapod larvae (6.0), fish eggs (4.3), ostracods (3.2), <i>Lucifer</i> sp (1.1), siphonophores (0.1), others (0.1)
VS2 (10.12.07)	2.0-3.8 (2.9)	35.1-76.3 (55.7)	14-16 (15)	Copepods (94.9), decapod larvae (1.9), <i>Lucifer</i> sp (1.0), fish eggs (1.0), appendicularians (0.6), ostracods (0.3), fish larvae (0.1), chaetognaths (0.1), others (0.1)
VS3 (10.12.07)	5.2-8.7 (7.0)	87.4-97.2 (92.3)	13-13 (13)	Copepods (96.2), fish eggs (1.8), decapod larvae (1.3), <i>Lucifer</i> sp (0.3), fish larvae (0.2), foraminiferans (0.1), others (0.1)
VS4 (09.12.07)	0.1-2.5 (1.0)	1.4-18.6 (8.2)	10-14 (13)	Copepods (72.7), fish eggs (15.1), decapod larvae (5.4), lamellibranchs (3.5), siphonophores (1.3), fish larvae (0.9), chaetognaths (0.3), appendicularians (0.2), medusae (0.2), gastropods (0.2), <i>Lucifer</i> sp (0.1), others (0.1)

Table 4.17.14: Range and average (parenthesis) of zooplankton off Vashishti Estuary during December 2007

Table 4.17.14 (Contd 2)

Station (Date)	Biomass (ml/100m <sup>3</sup> )	Population (nox10 <sup>3</sup> /100m <sup>3</sup> )	Total groups	Major group (%)
			(no)	
VS5 (08.12.07)	0.2-0.2 (0.2)	3.0-3.6 (3.3)	12-13 (13)	Copepods (81.7), decapod larvae (9.3), <i>Lucifer</i> sp (2.9), fish larvae (2.7), appendicularians (2.0), chaetognaths (0.4), medusae (0.3), siphonophores (0.2), cladocerans (0.1), marine insects (0.1), lamellibranchs (0.1), gastropods (0.1), others (0.1)
VS6 (07.12.07)	0.1-5.1 (1.3)	0.2-11.5 (2.8)	7-11 (9)	Copepods (48.3), medusae (24.7), gastropods (11.7), lamellibranchs (8.0), amphipods (1.8), decapod larvae (1.7), polychaetes (1.4), chaetognaths (1.2), fish larvae (0.7), <i>Lucifer</i> sp (0.2), marine insects (0.1), fish eggs (0.1), others (0.1)
VS7 (07.12.07)	0.1-0.4 (0.3)	0.2-0.8 (0.5)	5-12 (9)	Copepods (93.2), amphipods (2.5), gastropods (1.5), fish larvae (1.1), <i>Lucifer</i> sp (0.4), lamellibranchs (0.4), marine insects (0.2), fish eggs (0.2), isopods (0.1), appendicularians (0.1), decapod larvae (0.1), medusae (0.1), others (0.1)

Faunal group	Station						
	VS1	VS2	VS3	VS4	VS5	VS6	VS7
Foraminiferans	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Siphonophores	+	-	I	+	-	-	-
Medusae	-	-	I	+	-	-	-
Ctenophores	-	-	I	+	-	-	-
Chaetognaths	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Polychaetes	+	-	-	+	-	+	-
Cladocerans	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
Ostracods	-	-	I	-	+	-	-
Copepods	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Amphipods	+	-	+	+	+	+	+
Mysids	-	-	I	+	-	-	-
<i>Lucifer</i> sp	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Decapod larvae	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Stomatopods	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
Gastropods	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Lamellibranchs	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Appendicularians	+	+	+	+	-	-	-
Fish eggs	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Fish larvae	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Isopods	-	-	+	+	-	-	-
Acetes sp	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
Marine insects	-	-	-	-	-	+	-

Table 4.17.15: Abundance of zooplankton off Vashishti Estuary during March 2007

(+) Present; (-) Absent

Faunal group	Station						
	VS1	VS2	VS3	VS4	VS5	VS6	VS7
Foraminiferans	+	+	+	+	-	-	-
Siphonophores	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
Medusae	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
Ctenophores	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
Chaetognaths	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Polychaetes	+	+	+	+	-	+	-
Cladocerans	+	+	I	+	+	-	-
Ostracods	+	+	+	+	-	-	-
Copepods	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Amphipods	+	+	-	+	+	+	+
Mysids	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
<i>Lucifer</i> sp	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Decapods larvae	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Gastropods	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Lamellibranchs	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Appendicularians	+	+	+	+	+	-	+
Fish eggs	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Fish larvae	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Isopods	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
Marine insects	-	+	-	+	+	+	+

Table 4.17.16: Abundance of zooplankton off Vashishti Estuary during December 2007

(+) Present; (-) Absent

Station	Biomass (g/m²; wet wt)	Population (no/m²)	Faunal groups (no)	Major group
VS1	2.26-4.68	1375-4100	3-8	Polychaetes,
	(3.56)	(2363)	(6)	foraminiferans
VS2	0-1.03	0-975	0-5	Polychaetes,
	(0.63)	(468)	(3)	foraminiferans
VS3	0.26-1.63	375-950	2-4	Polychaetes,
	(0.70)	(744)	(3)	pelecypods
VS4	0.01-3.28	25-175	1-2	Pelecypods,
	(1.48)	(94)	(2)	polychaetes
VS5	0.3-2.15	600-2125	1-6	Polychaetes
	(1.02)	(1114)	(2)	
VS6	0.08-0.50	150-1225	3-4	Polychaetes,
	(0.36)	(818)	(3)	tanaids,
				pelecypods
VS7	0.07-0.7	250-1575	3-4	Tanaids,
	(0.39)	(1039)	(3)	polychaetes
Overall	0-4.68	0-4100	0-8	Polychaetes,
average	(1.16)	(949)	(3)	tanaids,
_				pelecypods

Table 4.17.17: Range and average (parenthesis) of subtidal macrobenthos off
Vashishti Estuary during March 2007

Table 4.17.18: Range and average (parenthesis) of subtidal macrobenthos off Vashishti Estuary during December 2007

Station	Biomass (g/m²; wet wt)	Population (no/m <sup>2</sup> )	Faunal groups (no)	Major group
VS1	1.40-3.80 (2.37)	1125-2675 (1956)	1*	Polychaetes
VS2	2.70-6.74 (4.27)	1450-2475 (2094)	1-3 (2)	Polychaetes
VS3	3.57-18.98 (9.55)	2650-9975 (5212)	1-5 (3)	Polychaetes
VS4	0.2-2.33 (0.97)	125-275 (212)	1-3 (2)	Polychaetes
VS5	9.48-48.12 (23.33)	2800-4625 (3163)	3-7 (5)	Polychaetes
VS6	37.19-72.51 (49.9)	52450-80275 (63625)	4-6 (5)	Pelecypods, amphipods
VS7	0.17-9.62 (3.37)	250-24675 (8139)	2-6 (4)	Amphipods, pelecypods
Overall average	0.17-72.51 (13.39)	125-80275 (12057)	1-7 (3)	Pelecypods polychaetes, amphipods

\*Single value

Faunal group	Station								
	VS1	VS2	VS3	VS4	VS5	VS6	VS7	Average	
Phylum Protozoa									
Foraminiferans	16.4	16.0	3.4	-	-	-	-	7.35	
Phylum Cnidaria									
Anthozoans	0.3	1.3	-	-	1.7	-	-	0.47	
Hydrozoans	I	1.3	-	-	-	-	-	0.09	
Phylum Ascheln	ninthes								
Nematodes	5.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.08	
Phylum Chaetog	Inatha								
Chaetognaths	0.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.09	
Phylum Mollusc	а								
Gastropods	5.8	9.4	0.8	-	1.7	0.7	-	3.21	
Pelecypods	7.7	6.6	16.0	67.0	0.5	22.1	1.3	8.95	
Phylum Annelid	la								
Polychaetes	62.5	65.4	79.0	33.0	93.2	45.9	30.7	62.32	
Phylum Arthrop	oda								
Copepods	0.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.2	
Cumaceans	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.8	1.7	
Tanaidaceans	0.3	-	-	-	1.2	31.3	57.2	13.09	
Amphipods	0.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.09	
Phylum Echinodermata									
Ophiuroids	-	-	-	-	1.7	-	-	0.29	
Phylum Vertebra	Phylum Vertebrata								
Fish Larvae	-	-	0.8	-	-	-	-	0.09	

# Table 4.17.19: Percentage composition (%) of subtidal macrobenthos off Vashishti Estuary during March 2007

Faunal group				St	ation			
• •	VS1	VS2	VS3	VS4	VS5	VS6	VS7	Average
Phylum Cnidaria								
Anthozoans	-	-	0.1	-	3.0	-	-	0.1
Phylum Rhynchocoela								
Nemertines	-	-	0.4	-	-	-	-	0.02
Phylum Ascheli	ninthes							
Nematodes	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.5	0.1
Phylum Molluso	a	-	-		-			
Gastropods	-	-	0.1	2.8	0.4	6.6	-	5.0
Pelecypods	-	0.3	0.1	2.8	0.6	79.4	39.7	63.7
Phylum Annelie	da							
Polychaetes	100.0	98.9	98.7	91.5	94.1	2.2	2.4	16.5
Phylum Sipunc	ula							
Sipunculan	-	1.2	0.2	-	-	-	-	0.03
worm								
Phylum Echiur	da		-					
Echiurids	-	-	-	-	0.2	-	-	0.01
Phylum Arthrop	oda		-					
Cumaceans	-	-	-	-	-	0.01	-	0.01
Anomurans	-	-	0.1	-	-	-	0.2	0.02
Amphipods	-	0.6	0.1	2.8	0.2	11.8	51.9	13.9
Tanaids	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	0.2	0.1
Phylum Echino	dermata	•			•			
Ophiuroids	-	-	-	-	1.4	-	-	0.1
Invertebrate	-	-	-	-	-	-	5.1	0.5
larvae								
Phylum Chorda	ta							•
Fish larvae	-	-	0.1	-	0.2	-	-	0.01

# Table 4.17.20: Percentage composition (%) of subtidal macrobenthos off Vashishti Estuary during December 2007