



Presented on : 16/10/2014 Registered on : 16/10/2014 Decided on : 15/03/2018 Duration : Y. M. D.

03 04 27

# IN THE COURT OF CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, PUNE

(Presided Over by Satyasheela T. Katare)

# REG.CRIMINAL CASE NO. 3811/2014

**Exh. No.28** 

Maharashtra Pollution Control Board 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, Kalpataru Point Building, Sion (East), Mumbai- 400022.

(Represented by Mr. A.D. Mohekar Regional Officer-Pune Maharashtra Pollution Control Board Floor, Jog Center, Wakadewadi, Mumbai-Pune Highway, Pune- 411 003

.....COMPLAINANT

#### -VERSUS-

M/s. Sanskruti and Essen Associates Sr. No. 175/3, 172/2, Wakad Link Road, Next to Omega Paradise, Behind Hotel Sayaji, Wakad, Pune 27.

Mr. Bhagwan Venkatro Peddawad Partner M/s. Sanskruti and Essen Associates Sr. No. 175/3, 172/2, Wakad Link Road, Next to Omega Paradise, Behind Hotel Sayaji, Wakad, Pune 27.

2] Mr. Satish Bhimsen Agarwal Partner M/s. Sanskruti and Essen Associates

R.C.C No. 3811/2014 Judgmen CNR NO. MHPU04-018561-201

Sr. No. 175/3, 172/2, Wakad Link Road, Next to Omega Paradise, Behind Hotel Sayaji, Wakad, Pune 27.

.....<u>ACCUSED</u>

Offence under section 15 read with section 16 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 and the Environment Impact Assessment Notification, 2006 (EIA Notification, 2006).

•			
An	nes	ıraı	nces
446	$\nu - \iota$	<u>u.</u>	

Ld. Adv. Natu -Gadre for the Complainant.

Ld. Adv. Barbhai for the accused.

#### **JUDGMENT**

(Delivered on 15th day of March, 2018)

O1. The complainant Mr. A.D. Mohekar, Regional Officer of the Maharashtra Pollution Control Board, at Pune [For short "MPCB"] alleging that accused have committed the offence under section 15 read with section 16 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 and the Environment Impact Assessment Notification, 2006 (EIA Notification, 2006) [For short "MPCB Board"]. He is authorized to file complaint under the Provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986.

### Following are the facts in nutshell:-

02. It is contended that accused no. 1 is a Partnership firm constituted under the provision of the Partnership Act, 1932. The accused No.1 company is represented by Mr. Bhagwan Venkatrao Peddwad, and Mr. Satish Bhimsen

Againval, who are partners of the accused No.1 company, They are nominated by the accused No.1 company for the execution of the total construction of proposed project namely "Shonest Tower" at S. NO. 175/3, 172/2 at Wakad link Road at Wakad, Pune 27. Both the partners of the accused No.1 are directly in-charge of and responsible to the company for the conduct of business of the company as well as the company and responsible for the above project and compliance of various provisions of the above Environmental Laws including the EIA, Notification, 2006. It is contention of the complainant that accused have carried out excess construction of total BUA admeasuring 20,046 sq.mtr at the site without prior permission of the complainant board.

- 03. It is further contended that the complainant board has filed the complaint against accused persons for the offence under section 15 read with section 16 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 and the Environment Impact Assessment Notification, 2006 (EIA Notification, 2006). Hence, the complainant filed this complaint against accused.
- O4. Accused No. 1 and 2 appeared before the Court. Today they remained present before the Court. The charge has been framed against them, to which they pleaded guilty and submitted that this is their first offence and they want to voluntarily plead guilty for the offence leveled against them on behalf of the company as well as themselves. They submitted separate application in respect of plead guilty before the Court at Exh.25. Consequences of plead guilty were narrated to all accused. However, the said accused persons remained firm on their decision to confess the guilt with prayer for minimum punishment.
- 05. The learned advocate for the complainant board submitted that

accused be punished with maximum fine. Accused submitted that this is the first case filed against them. They do not have antecedents. So also, they submitted that leniency be shown while passing the sentence and prayed for minimum fine. As accused voluntarily pleaded guilty, it appears to be first offence of accused. Therefore, in my opinion it is just and proper to direct accused to pay maximum fine amount. Hence, I pass the following order:

## ORDER

1. Accused persons are hereby convicted vide section 246(3) of the Code of the Criminal Procedure for the offence under section 16 punishable under section 15 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 and the Environment Impact Assessment Notification, 2006 (EIA Notification, 2006) and sentenced to suffer simple imprisonment till rising of the Court and to pay fine of Rs. 60,000/- (Rs. Sixty Thousand Only) each in default simple imprisonment for 40 days each.

The copy of judgment be supplied to the accused free of cost.

(Pronounced in opén Court.)

Salt 15/03/18

Chief Judicial Magistrate, Pune.

Date: 15/03/2018

Fire 15/3/2018

For Asan Supid 15/3/18

compared I white



Asst. Supic National Magnetical