2972/10 ATRACTICE | 1.1216 ATRACTICE OF THE | 1/21/121/6 ATRACTICE OF THE OF THE PARTY OF THE OF

Sanday Say VI HJY

JEC 2018

Veshi (Navi 🕪

R.C.C. No.107/2016

Presented on

· :- 17-08-2015

Registered on (

:- 17-08-2015

Decided on

:- 03-02-2016

Duration

:- 00Y.05M.16D

# IN THE COURT OF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE FIRST CLASS VASHI

AT C.B.D. BELAPUR

( Presided over by N. A. Patel)

Regular Criminal Case No.107/2016.

Exhibit Number:- 41/

Maharashtra Pollution Control Board, 3<sup>rd</sup> floor and 4<sup>th</sup> floor, Kalpataru Point Building, Sion (East), Mumbai-400 022. Represented by Shri.S.R. Deshmukh, Regional oficer- Navi Mumbai, Maharashtra Pollution Control Board, having office at Raigadh Bhawan, 7<sup>th</sup> floor, Sector-11, C.B.D. Belapur, Navi Mumbai 400 614.

Prosecution

狄

#### Versus

1] M/s. Reliable Export, Reliable House, Opp. Huma Mall, L.B.S. Marg, Kanjurmarg (West), Mumbai- 400 078.

2] Shn. Aron Dlima, Executive Director, M/s. Reliable Exports, Reliable House, Opp. Huma Mall, L.B.S. Marg, Kanjurmarg (West), Mumbai- 400 078.

Accused.

Offence: U/sec. 15 r.w. Section 16 of The Environment (Protection)
Act, 1986 and the Environment Impact Assessment Notification 2006.

Appearances:

Mr. M.S. Mangela, the Learned Advocate of the Complainant. Mr. M.B. Sandanshiv, the Learned Advocate of the Accused.

### JUDGMENT

(Delivered on 03<sup>rd</sup> February 2016)

The accused persons facing the trial for the commission of the offences punishable 15 r.w. Section 16 of The Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 and the Environment Impact Assessment Notification 2006.

# 2] Factual matrix of the case of the complainant are as under:-

The accused carried out construction activity without obtaining prior Environmental Clearance from the Government of Maharashtra and thereby violated the provisions of Environment Impact Assessment. Notification 2006 and thereby committed an offence punishable under section 15 r.w. Section 16 of The Environment (Protection) Act, 1986. Therefore the complainant filed the present complaint against accused.

- The accused appeared. Charge of accused is framed vide Exhibit No. 7.
- Following points arise for my determination and I have recorded my findings with reasons thereon is as below:-



**POINTS** 

**FINDINGS** 

Does the complainant prove that, accused carried out construction activity without obtaining prior Environmental Clearance from the Government of Maharashtra and thereby violated the provisions of Environment Impact Assessment Notification 2006 and thereby committed an offence punishable under section 15 r.w. Section 16 of The Environment (Protection) Act, 1986?

....In the affirmative

2 What Order?

Sr. No.

... as per final order

## :: <u>REASONS</u> ::

## AS TO POINT NO.1 and 2:

The accused by filing the application vide Exhibit No.8 pleaded the guilty voluntarily. I hold the accused guilty. Heard the accused on point of sentence. He prayed for leniency and releasing him on fine only as the offence is committed by the company. The complement and his advocate also prayed for the maximum fine. I have considered the argument of both counsels. It is admitted fact that, there is permission of construction, however there is further construction and proposal of which is pending. Considering this fact in my view it—will be proper to release the accused on fine. Hence, accused are convicted. Hence order:-

### :: <u>O R D E R</u> ::

The accused 1 and 2 are convicted vide section 148(2) of Cr.P.C. for the offence i.e. violation of provision of Environment Impact Assessment Notification 2006 punishable under section 15 r.w. Section 16 of The Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 and accused No.1 and 2 sentenced to pay fine of Rs.25,000/- (Rs. Twe ty Five Thousand Only) jointly or severally in default accused No.2 is suffer simple imprisonment for three months.

Date:03.02.2016. Place: C.B.D. Belapur

Patel) 2<sup>nd</sup> Jt.Judicial Magistrate, F.C., ∀eshi.

स्तारम्क शविष्ठ क्षेत्राणी व फीजवारी व्याचालक

बाधी, नघी गुंबई.

27 DEC TOTA